Tribunal Procedure Committee

Consultation on possible changes to the Tribunal

Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (Property Chamber)

Rules 2013 and the Tribunal Procedure (Upper

Tribunal) (Lands Chamber) Rules 2010 concerning

costs in leasehold cases and residential property

cases

Questionnaire

We would welcome responses to the following questions set out in the

consultation paper. Please return the completed questionnaire by 01

February 2018 to:

Tony Allman-Secretary to the Tribunal Procedure Committee

1st Floor Piccadilly Exchange

2 Piccadilly Plaza Manchester

M1 4AH

Email: tpcsecretariat@justice.gsi.gov.uk

Respondent name		
Organisation		
,	Is it appropriate to amend the Property Chamber Rules to include a cap on the award of rule 13(1)(b) costs in <u>residential property cases</u> other than applications under the Mobile Homes Act 1983 or the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960 (which are the subject of question 3 below)? If so, why? If not, why not? Please provide your reasons. If so, in what amount should the cap be? Please provide your reasons.	
Comments:		
3)	Is it appropriate to amend the Property Chamber Rules to include a cap	
	on the award of rule 13(1)(b) costs in applications under the Mobile	
	Homes Act 1983 or the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act	
	1960? If so, why? If not, why not? Please provide your reasons.	
4)	If so, in what amount should the cap be? Please provide your reasons.	
Comments:		
5)	Is it appropriate to amend the Property Chamber Rules to include a cap	
	on the award of rule 13(1)(b) costs in <u>leasehold cases</u> ? If so, why? If not,	
	why not? Please provide your reasons.	
6)	If so, in what amount should the cap be? Please provide your reasons.	
Comments:		
1		

	If a cap (or caps) is/are appropriate, is it/are they best achieved by drafting in the manner illustrated above?
8)	If not, why not? Do you have any other drafting suggestions?
Comm	n <u>ents:</u>
9)	Do you have any other suggestions as regards how rule 13(1)(b) costs in these cases should be dealt with in the Property Chamber Rules?
Comm	nents:
10)	If you consider it appropriate to amend the Property Chamber Rules in
	the respects you have identified in your answers to the questions above,
	is it also appropriate to amend the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) Rules likewise? If so, why? If not, why not? Please provide your reasons.
Comm	nents:
Generally 11)Do you have any further comment?	
Comm	nents: