Annex E

INSPECTION RATES FOR PART A2 INSTALLATIONS

Risk based inspection performance per authority for those authorities that reported having permitted A2 installations

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Bassetlaw	1	0	1	14	0	3	1	467%	0%	
Manchester	0	1	0	4	3	1	1	400%	300%	
Gloucester	0	1	0	4	2	1	1	400%	200%	
Knowsley	0	0	2	6	0	2	0	300%	N/A	The local authority has decided all A2 installation will visited twice within the year. Reason to keep a good two way working reltionship between operator and inspector not only working within the system but with possible other environmental issues.
Cardiff	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	300%	0%	
Neath and Port Talbot	0	2	0	5	0	2	2	250%	0%	
Cheshire West UA	0	0	3	7	0	3	0	233%	N/A	
Bury	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	200%	N/A	
Mid Devon	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	200%	N/A	
Rotherham	0	4	0	8	10	4	4	200%	250%	
Bolsover	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	200%	100%	One full inspection carried over from 2011/12 inspection programme therefore one additional inspection carried out.
Exeter	1	0	1	6	1	3	1	200%	100%	
Harlow	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	200%	100%	
High Peak	0	3	0	6	3	3	3	200%	100%	
Plymouth	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	200%	100%	
Rossendale	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	200%	100%	
Ashfield	0	3	1	8	0	4	3	200%	0%	
Caerphilly	0	2	1	6	0	3	2	200%	0%	
Chesterfield	0	2	0	4	0	2	2	200%	0%	
Ribble Valley	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	200%	0%	
Sandwell	0	5	1	12	0	6	5	200%	0%	One of our A2 sites is no longer operational and has not been for some time, (it now has housing on the site). We would therefore like it removed from our list of A2s but cannot overide previous years submissions. We endeavour to resolve this issue this next financial year.
Stoke-on-Trent	0	3	2	8	0	5	3	160%	0%	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Sheffield	2	1	0	7	0	5	3	140%	0%	Resources as before
Gateshead	0	2	1	4	3	3	2	133%	150%	
South Gloucestershire UA	0	3	0	4	0	3	3	133%	0%	
North Lincolnshire	0	4	3	8	7	7	4	114%	175%	Please Note we have an extra A2 that we have been unable to record. We have an A1 storing and unloading of Iron Ore that through a direction is now regulated as an A2 but there is no where to record this.
Telford & Wrekin	3	2	4	13	0	12	5	108%	0%	
Amber Valley	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Anglesey	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Barrow-in-Furness	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Blaeneau Gwent	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Braintree	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Breckland	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Bromsgrove	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Broxtowe	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Calderdale	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Cherwell	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Cheshire East UA	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Chichester	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Dacorum	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Derby	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Derbyshire Dales	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Doncaster	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Eastleigh	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Erewash	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Flintshire	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Forest of Dean	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Great Yarmouth	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Halton	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Hambleton	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Hinckley & Bosworth	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Horsham	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Huntingdonshire	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Isle of Wight / Medina	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
King's Lynn & West Norfolk	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Kingston upon Hull	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Kirklees	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Lewes	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Liverpool	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
London PHA	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Maidstone	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Medway	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Melton	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Mid Sussex	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	
Milton Keynes	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Newark & Sherwood	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Newcastle upon Tyne	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
North Devon	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Northampton	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Nottingham	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Nuneaton & Bedworth	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Oxford	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Portsmouth	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Rochdale	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Scarborough	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Selby	0	0	3	3	0	3	0	100%	N/A	Two of the three installation were risk assessed after the routine inspeciton and moved in to the medium risk category.
Slough	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Solihull	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
South Derbyshire	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
South Hams	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	One of the processes shut down during the year and the permit was revoked, so the visit was to check compliance with the permit surrender
South Norfolk	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
South Staffordshire	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Stockton-on-Tees	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Sunderland	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Tameside	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Test Valley	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Thurrock	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Torfaen	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Vale of White Horse	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Warrington	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
West Dorset	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	100%	N/A	
Worcester	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	100%	N/A	
Harborough	1	0	0	2	8	2	1	100%	800%	
Bristol City UA	0	1	0	1	2	1	1	100%	200%	
Cannock Chase	1	1	0	3	3	3	2	100%	150%	
Blackburn & Darwen	0	3	1	4	3	4	3	100%	100%	
Bolton	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Bridgend	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Broadland	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Burnley	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Charnwood	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Chelmsford	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Colchester	0	1	2	3	1	3	1	100%	100%	
Cornwall UA	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Cotswold	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Denbighshire	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Dudley	1	2	2	6	3	6	3	100%	100%	
East Riding of Yorkshire	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
East Staffordshire	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Gedling	0	2	1	3	2	3	2	100%	100%	
Harrogate	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Leeds	1	3	3	8	4	8	4	100%	100%	
Leicester	0	2	1	3	2	3	2	100%	100%	
Maldon	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Newcastle under Lyme	0	2	1	3	2	3	2	100%	100%	
Newham	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
North East Derbyshire	0	3	1	4	3	4	3	100%	100%	
North East Lincolnshire	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
North Kesteven	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
North Warwickshire	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
North West Leicestershire	1	0	4	6	1	6	1	100%	100%	
Pendle	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Poole	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Rugby	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Rushcliffe	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Salford	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
South Tyneside	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	Hashimoto has now changed its trading name to Faltec. Discussions and site visits have taken place at the site throughout February, March and April regarding a potential surrender of the A2 permit Ref 003/1/6.4(a) A2 and a new application for Part B coating process. It has been decided to keep the A2 permit and work has now been completed for the intallation of a new more efficent oxidising plant. The permit will need to be rewritten reflecting the change to the process and the name change of the company.
St Helens	0	3	2	5	3	5	3	100%	100%	
Stroud	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Swale	0	2	1	3	2	3	2	100%	100%	
Tamworth	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Teignbridge	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
Tower Hamlets	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Uttlesford	0	1	1	2	1	2	1	100%	100%	
West Lindsey	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Wigan	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Wrexham	1	1	3	6	2	6	2	100%	100%	
Wychavon	0	1	3	4	1	4	1	100%	100%	
York City UA	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	100%	100%	
Walsall	1	5	2	9	5	9	6	100%	83%	
Barnsley	1	1	2	5	1	5	2	100%	50%	Internal targets are to spnd recommended hours on each A2 permit rather thann just visits.
Bournemouth	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	100%	0%	Due to staff shortages.
Chiltern	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	100%	0%	
Herefordshire	0	3	1	4	0	4	3	100%	0%	staff shortages/maternity leave
Peterborough	1	0	2	4	0	4	1	100%	0%	
Rother	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	100%	0%	
South Ribble	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	100%	0%	
Swindon B.C.	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	100%	0%	During the year one A2 premises risk assessed at the start of the year as Medium was regraded as Low because of signifcant improvement and thus a second (check) inspection was deemed not necessary.
Wakefield	0	4	4	7	4	8	4	88%	100%	One A2 coating installation, CCL Decorative Sleeves in Castleford, has bee operating below the relevant threshold and formally declared "reduced operating levels". As such this installation was not inspected. However, the solvent management plan for calendar year 2012 showed that operating levels are now back above the threshold and the installation is therefore back on the inspection plan for 2013/14.
Bradford	1	2	2	5	3	6	3	83%	100%	
Birmingham	1	1	2	4	2	5	2	80%	100%	One of the processes is mothballed
Northumberland UA	0	3	1	3	3	4	3	75%	100%	Swarland Brick Company Ltd (A2-1/05) mothballed on 20/12/2010 and therefore no inspections carried out since.

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Durham UA	0	1	6	5	0	7	1	71%	0%	Two processes have been mothablled for the 2012 - 2013 period, therefore did not require an inspection. Question 16.5: One check inspection for the medium risk process was not completed during the 2012 - 2013 period - staffing issues (sickness and workloads of existing staff) being contributory factors
Mole Valley	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	
Newport	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	"Full Inspection = 100% (50%) However, 1 Inspections cannot be conducted due the site mothballed Therefore stats should be 100% as all inspections that can be done are done."
Purbeck	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	As in previous years we have two A2 Processes. Only one is operating and therefore being inspected. The other is not operating at all since the Permit was granted. They are still willing to pay the annual subsistence fee; it effectively has been mothballed. This is being reviewed every 12 months and the operator has been advised to inform us in advance if and when the process is likely to start operating.
Southampton	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	
Swansea	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	50%	N/A	We have 2 A2's in Swansea. One is no longer in operation and the equipment has been removed from site, but we have an ongoing contaminated land issue.We are in close contact with the EA/NRW and the company regarding this matter. The second A2 never began production and the company are looking to transfer or surrender the permit at some point.
Redcar & Cleveland UA	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	50%	100%	The low risk installation has been fully mothballed for 3 years and therefore no inspection was carried out.
Corby	2	0	0	2	0	4	2	50%	0%	

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Eden	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	50%	0%	Reactive work in respect of our rendering process and varying their Permit and processing FOI requests has resulted in little time for proactive visits. For 2012/13 Eden DC received 356 complaints of odour which required processing including analysis of their investigation information. Numerous FOI requests have been recieved further to the current ongoing civil action by residents. Time spent on this has been days woth of work. Also, the Permit issued in 2006 was varied and updated and issued in Jan 2013. This incorporated 7 variations, the new SG note & advances in BAT. As part of that process 7 meetings were held in 2012/13 with the operator lasting 2 plus hours, many many emails containing information were recieved and processed and replies sent in addition to telephone conversations held. Hours spent on this process totalled 390 hours for 2012/13 at a cost of £18537.29! This work is not refelcetd in the survey questions. Finally the Operator appealed various Permit conditions in March 2013 thus a hearing statement had to be prepared by the lead officer, not the legal dept.
Powys	1	0	2	2	0	4	1	50%	0%	One A2 installation - non-ferrous metals - has ceased trading and is in administration. Therefore inspection was not possible. Powys CC are currently seeking advice in respect of permit revokation.
Allerdale	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	The officer who previously dealt with the LAPPC regime at Allerdale Borough Council left the authority in April 2012, although he did complete the LPCSS Survey 2011/2012 on the councils behalf. In 2012/2013 visits were made to both of the A2 installations in order to introduce the new officers implementing the regime and to gather background information on each process where there were gaps in our records. Based on this information an inspection plan has been drawn up for 2013/2014.
Carmarthenshire	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0%	N/A	Full inspections have not been carried out because the installations are not operational and have not been for the last few years.

	Installations			Inspections Carried Out		Inspections Expected		Inspections Rates		
Authority Name	**High**	**Med.**	**Low**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Full**	**Check**	**Reasons**
Ealing	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	An new installation has been transferred from an A1 installation regulated by the EA to the Local Authority as an A2. It has been treated as a new application in this instance however no formal application this was as a transfer of regulator.
Lancaster	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	The one A2 process Lancaster has (Hansons Building Products Ltd - Brick Manufacture) is currently not operating, therefore no inspections were made.
Waverley	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	Site closed and inoperative. Operator has not submitted surrender forms or undertaken remedial works but no activity on site for 2 plus years.
Wellingborough	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
West Lancashire	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0%	N/A	
Ashford	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0%	0%	The operator of our only A2 installation ceased production early May 2009 and remains mothballed to date. Hence no inspection was undertaken.
Torridge	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0%	0%	One A2 installation is not operational at the moment, the other has left the site and has submitted a surrender application.

Authorities which have yet to risk assess any of their installations

Carlisle
East Hampshire