

Summary of 2009 Fast Stream Recruitment Report

Total: All Fast Stream Schemes (*excluding In-Service Fast Stream Competition)

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Grand Total	585	14,911	25.5	629	4.2%	57

Graduate Fast Stream

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Central Departments ¹	279	9,813	35.2	341	3.5%	44
Houses of Parliament	6	489	81.5	4	0.8%	0
Diplomatic Service	48	2,017	42.0	38	1.9%	2
Science / Engineering	18	236	13.1	20	8.5%	0
Total	351	12,555	35.8	403	3.2%	46

¹ For the Central Departments option, more Fast Streamers are recruited than are needed to fill the vacancies declared by departments. This enables us to meet unforeseen demand. Any Fast Streamers unassigned by the end of the year are assigned first the following year.

Other Fast Stream Schemes

	Vacancies	Applications by first preference	Ratio of applications to vacancies	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
Economists	185	1,188	6.4	176	14.8%	7
Statisticians	28	665	23.8	29	4.4%	2
Technology in Business	21	503	24.0	21	4.2%	2
Total	234	2,356	10.1	226	9.6%	11

In-Service Fast Stream Competition*

	Candidates	Recommended for appointment	Overall success rate (recommended as % of applicants)	Declined appointment
	136	70	51.5%	0

Fast Stream Recruitment Report 2009 – In-Service Fast Stream Competition

Gender

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Candidates	66	48.5%	67	49.3%	3	2.2%	136
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	51	45.5%	58	51.8%	3	2.7%	112
Recommended for Appointment	29	41.4%	39	55.7%	2	2.9%	70

Ethnic origin

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Candidates	107	78.7%	22	16.2%	7	5.1%	136
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	86	76.8%	19	17.0%	7	6.3%	112
Recommended for Appointment	57	81.4%	9	12.9%	4	5.7%	70

Disability

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-Respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Candidates	124	91.2%	9	6.6%	3	2.2%	136
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	101	90.2%	8	7.1%	3	2.7%	112
Recommended for Appointment	62	88.6%	6	8.6%	2	2.9%	70

Fast Stream Recruitment Report 2009 – Analysis by Gender

Section A – breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	8,333	55.9%	6,489	43.5%	89	0.6%	14,911
Recommended for Appointment	357	56.8%	269	42.8%	3	0.5%	629

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	6,816	54.3%	5,662	45.1%	77	0.6%	12,555
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	5,749	55.3%	4,602	44.3%	49	0.5%	10,400
Passed Online Test	1,772	55.8%	1,376	43.4%	26	0.8%	3,174
Invited to E-tray	1,932	55.9%	1,497	43.3%	28	0.8%	3,457
Attended E-tray	1,788	56.3%	1,360	42.8%	26	0.8%	3,174
Passed E-tray	591	53.4%	506	45.8%	9	0.8%	1,106
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	590	53.4%	505	45.7%	9	0.8%	1,104
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	552	53.1%	479	46.1%	9	0.9%	1,040
Recommended for Appointment	205	50.9%	195	48.4%	3	0.7%	403

Economists

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	794	66.8%	390	32.8%	4	0.3%	1,188
Sift Stages							
Application Assessment	703	66.7%	348	33.0%	3	0.3%	1,054
Interview Stages							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	445	64.5%	242	35.1%	3	0.4%	690
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	354	63.6%	202	36.3%	1	0.2%	557
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	178	65.4%	94	34.6%	0	0.0%	272
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	175	65.5%	92	34.5%	0	0.0%	267
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	170	65.4%	90	34.6%	0	0.0%	260
Recommended for Appointment	121	68.8%	55	31.3%	0	0.0%	176

Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	350	52.6%	311	46.8%	4	0.6%	665
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	283	55.0%	230	44.7%	2	0.4%	515
Passed Online Test	177	59.6%	119	40.1%	1	0.3%	297
Application Assessment	187	59.7%	125	39.9%	1	0.3%	313
Passed Application Assessment	118	55.9%	92	43.6%	1	0.5%	211
Interview Stages							
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	118	56.2%	91	43.3%	1	0.5%	210
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	91	61.1%	58	38.9%	0	0.0%	149
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	44	58.7%	31	41.3%	0	0.0%	75
Completed E-Tray	42	61.8%	26	38.2%	0	0.0%	68
Passed E-Tray	42	61.8%	26	38.2%	0	0.0%	68
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	42	61.8%	26	38.2%	0	0.0%	68
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	39	62.9%	23	37.1%	0	0.0%	62
Recommended for Appointment	14	48.3%	15	51.7%	0	0.0%	29

Technology in Business

	Male		Female		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	373	74.2%	126	25.0%	4	0.8%	503
Sift Stages							
Completed On-Line Test	294	74.1%	102	25.7%	1	0.3%	397
Passed On-Line Test	144	81.4%	33	18.6%	0	0.0%	177
Completed E-Tray	91	81.3%	21	18.8%	0	0.0%	112
Passed E-Tray	79	80.6%	19	19.4%	0	0.0%	98
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	78	80.4%	19	19.6%	0	0.0%	97
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	64	81.0%	15	19.0%	0	0.0%	79
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	29	80.6%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	36
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	29	80.6%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	36
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	25	80.6%	6	19.4%	0	0.0%	31
Recommended for Appointment	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	0.0%	21

Section B – performance by gender at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	8,333	-	6,489	-	89	-	14,911	-
Recommended for Appointment	357	4.3%	269	4.1%	3	3.4%	629	4.2%

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	6,816	-	5,662	-	77	-	12,555	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	5,749	84.3%	4,602	81.3%	49	63.6%	10,400	82.8%
Passed Online Test	1,772	26.0%	1,376	24.3%	26	33.8%	3,174	25.3%
Invited to E-tray	1,932	28.3%	1,497	26.4%	28	36.4%	3,457	27.5%
Attended E-tray	1,788	26.2%	1,360	24.0%	26	33.8%	3,174	25.3%
Passed E-tray	591	8.7%	506	8.9%	9	11.7%	1,106	8.8%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	590	8.7%	505	8.9%	9	11.7%	1,104	8.8%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	552	8.1%	479	8.5%	9	11.7%	1,040	8.3%
Recommended for Appointment	205	3.0%	195	3.4%	3	3.9%	403	3.2%

Economists

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	794	-	390	-	4	-	1,188	-
Sift Stages								
Application Assessment	703	88.5%	348	89.2%	3	75.0%	1,054	88.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	445	56.0%	242	62.1%	3	75.0%	690	58.1%
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	354	44.6%	202	51.8%	1	25.0%	557	46.9%
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	178	22.4%	94	24.1%	0	0.0%	272	22.9%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	175	22.0%	92	23.6%	0	0.0%	267	22.5%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	170	21.4%	90	23.1%	0	0.0%	260	21.9%
Recommended for Appointment	121	15.2%	55	14.1%	0	0.0%	176	14.8%

Statisticians

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	350	-	311	-	4	-	665	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	283	80.9%	230	74.0%	2	50.0%	515	77.4%
Passed Online Test	177	50.6%	119	38.3%	1	25.0%	297	44.7%
Application Assessment	187	53.4%	125	40.2%	1	25.0%	313	47.1%
Passed Application Assessment	118	33.7%	92	29.6%	1	25.0%	211	31.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	118	33.7%	91	29.3%	1	25.0%	210	31.6%
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	91	26.0%	58	18.6%	0	0.0%	149	22.4%
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	44	12.6%	31	10.0%	0	0.0%	75	11.3%
Completed E-Tray	42	12.0%	26	8.4%	0	0.0%	68	10.2%
Passed E-Tray	42	12.0%	26	8.4%	0	0.0%	68	10.2%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	42	12.0%	26	8.4%	0	0.0%	68	10.2%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	39	11.1%	23	7.4%	0	0.0%	62	9.3%
Recommended for Appointment	14	4.0%	15	4.8%	0	0.0%	29	4.4%

Technology in Business

	Male		Female		Non-Respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of male applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of female applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	373	-	126	-	4	-	503	-
Sift Stages								
Completed On-Line test	294	78.8%	102	81.0%	1	25.0%	397	78.9%
Passed On-Line Test	144	38.6%	33	26.2%	0	0.0%	177	35.2%
Completed E-Tray	91	24.4%	21	16.7%	0	0.0%	112	22.3%
Passed E-Tray	79	21.2%	19	15.1%	0	0.0%	98	19.5%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	78	20.9%	19	15.1%	0	0.0%	97	19.3%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	64	17.2%	15	11.9%	0	0.0%	79	15.7%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	29	7.8%	7	5.6%	0	0.0%	36	7.2%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	29	7.8%	7	5.6%	0	0.0%	36	7.2%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	25	6.7%	6	4.8%	0	0.0%	31	6.2%
Recommended for Appointment	17	4.6%	4	3.2%	0	0.0%	21	4.2%

Fast Stream Recruitment Report 2009 – Analysis by Ethnicity

Section A – breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	11,932	80.0%	2,724	18.3%	255	1.7%	14,911
Recommended for Appointment	550	87.4%	67	10.7%	12	1.9%	629

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	10,371	82.6%	1,967	15.7%	217	1.7%	12,555
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	8,704	83.7%	1,532	14.7%	164	1.6%	10,400
Passed Online Test	2,781	87.6%	333	10.5%	60	1.9%	3,174
Invited to E-tray	3,001	86.8%	382	11.1%	74	2.1%	3,457
Attended E-tray	2,760	87.0%	347	10.9%	67	2.1%	3,174
Passed E-tray	968	87.5%	108	9.8%	30	2.7%	1,106
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	967	87.6%	107	9.7%	30	2.7%	1,104
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	910	87.5%	103	9.9%	27	2.6%	1,040
Recommended for Appointment	364	90.3%	29	7.2%	10	2.5%	403

Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	774	65.2%	399	33.6%	15	1.3%	1,188
Sift Stages							
Application Assessment	700	66.4%	343	32.5%	11	1.0%	1,054
Interview Stages							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	471	68.3%	212	30.7%	7	1.0%	690
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	392	70.4%	162	29.1%	3	0.5%	557
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	218	80.1%	53	19.5%	1	0.4%	272
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	216	80.9%	50	18.7%	1	0.4%	267
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	210	80.8%	49	18.8%	1	0.4%	260
Recommended for Appointment	142	80.7%	33	18.8%	1	0.6%	176

Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	465	69.9%	189	28.4%	11	1.7%	665
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	375	72.8%	135	26.2%	5	1.0%	515
Passed Online Test	250	84.2%	44	14.8%	3	1.0%	297
Application Assessment	263	84.0%	46	14.7%	4	1.3%	313
Passed Application Assessment	177	83.9%	30	14.2%	4	1.9%	211
Interview Stages							
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	176	83.8%	30	14.3%	4	1.9%	210
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	121	81.2%	26	17.4%	2	1.3%	149
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	67	89.3%	7	9.3%	1	1.3%	75
Completed E-Tray	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Passed E-Tray	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	55	88.7%	6	9.7%	1	1.6%	62
Recommended for Appointment	26	89.7%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	29

Technology in Business

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	322	64.0%	169	33.6%	12	2.4%	503
Sift Stages							
Completed On-Line Test	257	64.7%	130	32.7%	10	2.5%	397
Passed On-Line Test	132	74.6%	42	23.7%	3	1.7%	177
Completed E-Tray	82	73.2%	27	24.1%	3	2.7%	112
Passed E-Tray	74	75.5%	21	21.4%	3	3.1%	98
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	73	75.3%	21	21.6%	3	3.1%	97
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	59	74.7%	17	21.5%	3	3.8%	79
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	32	88.9%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	36
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	32	88.9%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	36
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	27	87.1%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	31
Recommended for Appointment	18	85.7%	2	9.5%	1	4.8%	21

Section B – performance by ethnic origin at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of ethnic minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	11,932	-	2,724	-	255	-	14,911	-
Recommended for Appointment	550	4.6%	67	2.5%	12	4.7%	629	4.2%

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (% of ethnic minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	10,371	-	1,967	-	217	-	12,555	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	8,704	83.9%	1,532	77.9%	164	75.6%	10,400	82.8%
Passed Online Test	2,781	26.8%	333	16.9%	60	27.6%	3,174	25.3%
Invited to E-tray	3,001	28.9%	382	19.4%	74	34.1%	3,457	27.5%
Attended E-tray	2,760	26.6%	347	17.6%	67	30.9%	3,174	25.3%
Passed E-tray	968	9.3%	108	5.5%	30	13.8%	1,106	8.8%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	967	9.3%	107	5.4%	30	13.8%	1,104	8.8%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	910	8.8%	103	5.2%	27	12.4%	1,040	8.3%
Recommended for Appointment	364	3.5%	29	1.5%	10	4.6%	403	3.2%

Economists

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of ethnic minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	774	-	399	-	15	-	1,188	-
Sift Stages								
Application Assessment	700	90.4%	343	86.0%	11	73.3%	1,054	88.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	471	60.9%	212	53.1%	7	46.7%	690	58.1%
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	392	50.6%	162	40.6%	3	20.0%	557	46.9%
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	218	28.2%	53	13.3%	1	6.7%	272	22.9%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	216	27.9%	50	12.5%	1	6.7%	267	22.5%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	210	27.1%	49	12.3%	1	6.7%	260	21.9%
Recommended for Appointment	142	18.3%	33	8.3%	1	6.7%	176	14.8%

Statisticians

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of ethnic minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	465	-	189	-	11	-	665	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	375	80.6%	135	71.4%	5	45.5%	515	77.4%
Passed Online Test	250	53.8%	44	23.3%	3	27.3%	297	44.7%
Application Assessment	263	56.6%	46	24.3%	4	36.4%	313	47.1%
Passed Application Assessment	177	38.1%	30	15.9%	4	36.4%	211	31.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	176	37.8%	30	15.9%	4	36.4%	210	31.6%
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	121	26.0%	26	13.8%	2	18.2%	149	22.4%
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	67	14.4%	7	3.7%	1	9.1%	75	11.3%
Completed E-Tray	61	13.1%	6	3.2%	1	9.1%	68	10.2%
Passed E-Tray	61	13.1%	6	3.2%	1	9.1%	68	10.2%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	13.1%	6	3.2%	1	9.1%	68	10.2%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	55	11.8%	6	3.2%	1	9.1%	62	9.3%
Recommended for Appointment	26	5.6%	3	1.6%	0	0.0%	29	4.4%

Technology in Business

	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of white applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of ethnic minority applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	322	-	169	-	12	-	503	-
Sift Stages								
Completed On-Line test	257	79.8%	130	76.9%	10	83.3%	397	78.9%
Passed On-Line Test	132	41.0%	42	24.9%	3	25.0%	177	35.2%
Completed E-Tray	82	25.5%	27	16.0%	3	25.0%	112	22.3%
Passed E-Tray	74	23.0%	21	12.4%	3	25.0%	98	19.5%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	73	22.7%	21	12.4%	3	25.0%	97	19.3%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	59	18.3%	17	10.1%	3	25.0%	79	15.7%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	32	9.9%	3	1.8%	1	8.3%	36	7.2%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	32	9.9%	3	1.8%	1	8.3%	36	7.2%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	27	8.4%	3	1.8%	1	8.3%	31	6.2%
Recommended for Appointment	18	5.6%	2	1.2%	1	8.3%	21	4.2%

Fast Stream Recruitment Report 2009 – Analysis by Disability

Section A – breakdown of total field of candidates remaining at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	14,091	94.5%	697	4.7%	123	0.8%	14,911
Recommended for Appointment	532	84.6%	92	14.6%	5	0.8%	629

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	11,853	94.4%	592	4.7%	110	0.9%	12,555
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	10,137	97.5%	179	1.7%	84	0.8%	10,400
Passed Online Test	3,108	97.9%	34	1.1%	32	1.0%	3,174
Invited to E-tray	3,028	87.6%	398	11.5%	31	0.9%	3,457
Attended E-tray	2,799	88.2%	345	10.9%	30	0.9%	3,174
Passed E-tray	897	81.1%	199	18.0%	10	0.9%	1,106
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	897	81.3%	197	17.8%	10	0.9%	1,104
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	841	80.9%	190	18.3%	9	0.9%	1,040
Recommended for Appointment	324	80.4%	75	18.6%	4	1.0%	403

Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	1,136	95.6%	47	4.0%	5	0.4%	1,188
Sift Stages							
Application Assessment	1,009	95.7%	42	4.0%	3	0.3%	1,054
Interview Stages							
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	650	94.2%	38	5.5%	2	0.3%	690
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	523	93.9%	33	5.9%	1	0.2%	557
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	258	94.9%	14	5.1%	0	0.0%	272
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	253	94.8%	14	5.2%	0	0.0%	267
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	246	94.6%	14	5.4%	0	0.0%	260
Recommended for Appointment	165	93.8%	11	6.3%	0	0.0%	176

Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	627	94.3%	33	5.0%	5	0.8%	665
Sift Stages							
Completed Online Test	500	97.1%	13	2.5%	2	0.4%	515
Passed Online Test	288	97.0%	8	2.7%	1	0.3%	297
Application Assessment	288	92.0%	24	7.7%	1	0.3%	313
Passed Application Assessment	196	92.9%	14	6.6%	1	0.5%	211
Interview Stages							
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	196	93.3%	13	6.2%	1	0.5%	210
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	138	92.6%	10	6.7%	1	0.7%	149
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	68	90.7%	6	8.0%	1	1.3%	75
Completed E-Tray	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Passed E-Tray	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	89.7%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	68
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	90.3%	5	8.1%	1	1.6%	62
Recommended for Appointment	25	86.2%	3	10.3%	1	3.4%	29

Technology in Business

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number
Applications	475	94.4%	25	5.0%	3	0.6%	503
Sift Stages							
Completed On-Line Test	385	97.0%	11	2.8%	1	0.3%	397
Passed On-Line Test	173	97.7%	4	2.3%	0	0.0%	177
Completed E-Tray	103	92.0%	9	8.0%	0	0.0%	112
Passed E-Tray	89	90.8%	9	9.2%	0	0.0%	98
Interview Stages							
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	89	91.8%	8	8.2%	0	0.0%	97
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	71	89.9%	8	10.1%	0	0.0%	79
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	31	86.1%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	36
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	31	86.1%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	36
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	26	83.9%	5	16.1%	0	0.0%	31
Recommended for Appointment	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	21

Section B – performance of disabled/non-disabled at each stage

The number of candidates invited to E-tray might be higher than the number who pass the online test: disabled candidates may seek exemption from the online test and proceed straight to E-tray.

All Fast Stream Schemes

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	14,091	-	697	-	123	-	14,911	-
Recommended for Appointment	532	3.8%	92	13.2%	5	4.1%	629	4.2%

Individual Schemes

Graduate Fast Stream

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	11,853	-	592	-	110	-	12,555	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	10,137	85.5%	179	30.2%	84	76.4%	10,400	82.8%
Passed Online Test	3,108	26.2%	34	5.7%	32	29.1%	3,174	25.3%
Invited to E-tray	3,028	25.5%	398	67.2%	31	28.2%	3,457	27.5%
Attended E-tray	2,799	23.6%	345	58.3%	30	27.3%	3,174	25.3%
Passed E-tray	897	7.6%	199	33.6%	10	9.1%	1,106	8.8%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	897	7.6%	197	33.3%	10	9.1%	1,104	8.8%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	841	7.1%	190	32.1%	9	8.2%	1,040	8.3%
Recommended for Appointment	324	2.7%	75	12.7%	4	3.6%	403	3.2%

Economists

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	1,136	-	47	-	5	-	1,188	-
Sift Stages								
Application Assessment	1,009	88.8%	42	89.4%	3	60.0%	1,054	88.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Economic Assessment Centre	650	57.2%	38	80.9%	2	40.0%	690	58.1%
Attended Economic Assessment Centre	523	46.0%	33	70.2%	1	20.0%	557	46.9%
Passed Economic Assessment Centre	258	22.7%	14	29.8%	0	0.0%	272	22.9%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	253	22.3%	14	29.8%	0	0.0%	267	22.5%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	246	21.7%	14	29.8%	0	0.0%	260	21.9%
Recommended for Appointment	165	14.5%	11	23.4%	0	0.0%	176	14.8%

Statisticians

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	627	-	33	-	5	-	665	-
Sift Stages								
Completed Online Test	500	79.7%	13	39.4%	2	40.0%	515	77.4%
Passed Online Test	288	45.9%	8	24.2%	1	20.0%	297	44.7%
Application Assessment	288	45.9%	24	72.7%	1	20.0%	313	47.1%
Passed Application Assessment	196	31.3%	14	42.4%	1	20.0%	211	31.7%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Statistical Assessment Centre	196	31.3%	13	39.4%	1	20.0%	210	31.6%
Attended Statistical Assessment Centre	138	22.0%	10	30.3%	1	20.0%	149	22.4%
Passed Statistical Assessment Centre	68	10.8%	6	18.2%	1	20.0%	75	11.3%
Completed E-Tray	61	9.7%	6	18.2%	1	20.0%	68	10.2%
Passed E-Tray	61	9.7%	6	18.2%	1	20.0%	68	10.2%
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	61	9.7%	6	18.2%	1	20.0%	68	10.2%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	56	8.9%	5	15.2%	1	20.0%	62	9.3%
Recommended for Appointment	25	4.0%	3	9.1%	1	20.0%	29	4.4%

Technology in Business

	Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondents		Total	
	Number	Success rate (as % of non-disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of disabled applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of non-respondent applicants)	Number	Success rate (as % of total applicants)
Applications	475	-	25	-	3	-	503	-
Sift Stages								
Completed On-Line Test	385	81.1%	11	44.0%	1	33.3%	397	78.9%
Passed On-Line Test	173	36.4%	4	16.0%	0	0.0%	177	35.2%
Completed E-Tray	103	21.7%	9	36.0%	0	0.0%	112	22.3%
Passed E-Tray	89	18.7%	9	36.0%	0	0.0%	98	19.5%
Interview Stages								
Invited to Fast Stream Assessment Centre	89	18.7%	8	32.0%	0	0.0%	97	19.3%
Attended Fast Stream Assessment Centre	71	14.9%	8	32.0%	0	0.0%	79	15.7%
Passed Fast Stream Assessment Centre	31	6.5%	5	20.0%	0	0.0%	36	7.2%
Invited to TiB Assessment Centre	31	6.5%	5	20.0%	0	0.0%	36	7.2%
Attended TiB Assessment Centre	26	5.5%	5	20.0%	0	0.0%	31	6.2%
Recommended for Appointment	18	3.8%	3	12.0%	0	0.0%	21	4.2%

Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by University of First Degree

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		TiB		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Aberdeen	80	1	10	4	3		1		94	5	5.3%
University of Abertay	9						2		11	0	0.0%
Anglia Ruskin University	16		1				1		18	0	0.0%
Aston University	59		7		5		8		79	0	0.0%
University of Bath	145	2	26	9	6	1	4	1	181	13	7.2%
Bath Spa University	10								10	0	0.0%
University of Bedfordshire	11				2		1		14	0	0.0%
University of Birmingham	309	6	26	1	13	2	10	1	358	10	2.8%
Birmingham City University	20						8	1	28	1	3.6%
University College Birmingham	3								3	0	0.0%
Bishop Grosseteste University College	1								1	0	0.0%
University of Bolton	9		1		2		3		15	0	0.0%
Bournemouth University	18						3		21	0	0.0%
The Arts University College at Bournemouth	1								1	0	0.0%
University of Bradford	24		7		1		2		34	0	0.0%
University of Brighton	42				5		3		50	0	0.0%
University of Bristol	343	15	28	6	13	1	6	1	390	23	5.9%
Brunel University	84	2	21	3	8		8	1	121	6	5.0%
Buckinghamshire New University	6				2		1		9	0	0.0%
University of Cambridge	706	51	33	8	18	2	4		761	61	8.0%
Canterbury Christ Church University	21		1				1		23	0	0.0%
Cardiff University	219		14	1	15	1	7		255	2	0.8%
University of Central Lancashire	36		3	1	8	1	1		48	2	4.2%
Central School of Speech and Drama	3								3	0	0.0%
University of Chester	17				2		1		20	0	0.0%
University of Chichester	4				1				5	0	0.0%
City University	49	1	10		3		7		69	1	1.4%
Conservatoire for Dance and Drama	1								1	0	0.0%
Coventry University	40		9		2		1		52	0	0.0%
University for the Creative Arts	2								2	0	0.0%
University of Cumbria	2								2	0	0.0%
De Montfort University	41	1	1		2		2		46	1	2.2%
University of Derby	16	1			3		3		22	1	4.5%
University of Dundee	41		7		2		3		53	0	0.0%
Durham University	588	33	20	6	24	2	14	1	646	42	6.5%
University of East Anglia	138	5	13	2	4		5		160	7	4.4%
University of East London	27		3		3		3		36	0	0.0%
Edge Hill University	4						1		5	0	0.0%
University of Edinburgh	318	19	24	4	13		12		367	23	6.3%
University of Essex	83		19	2	13		5		120	2	1.7%

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		TiB		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Exeter	238	2	20	1	5		2		265	3	1.1%
University College Falmouth	3								3	0	0.0%
University of Glamorgan	23				4		2		29	0	0.0%
University of Glasgow	187	3	17	4	9		4		217	7	3.2%
Glasgow Caledonian University	21		2		5		3		31	0	0.0%
University of Gloucestershire	9								9	0	0.0%
University of Greenwich	46		7		5		7		65	0	0.0%
Heriot-Watt University	19		9	1	5		2		35	1	2.9%
University of Hertfordshire	44		6	1	9		5		64	1	1.6%
University of Huddersfield	23				3		2		28	0	0.0%
University of Hull	87	1	6	1	4		6		103	2	1.9%
Keele University	98	2	4		4		4		110	2	1.8%
University of Kent	198	4	12	1	12		6		228	5	2.2%
Kingston University	86		13		5		6		110	0	0.0%
Lancaster University	166	4	6		6		7		185	4	2.2%
University of Leeds	415	12	14	5	12	1	14		455	18	4.0%
Leeds Metropolitan University	30	1			2		4	1	36	2	5.6%
Leeds Trinity University College	7						1		8	0	0.0%
University of Leicester	134	2	14	2	7		5		160	4	2.5%
University of Lincoln	29				1				30	0	0.0%
University of Liverpool	198	6	8	2	8		6		220	8	3.6%
Liverpool Hope University	8				1				9	0	0.0%
Liverpool John Moores University	50		1		3		5		59	0	0.0%
London Metropolitan University	76		12		13		6		107	0	0.0%
University of London											
Birkbeck College	23	1	5	2	3		1		32	3	9.4%
Courtauld Institute of Art	5								5	0	0.0%
Goldsmiths College	44				3		3		50	0	0.0%
Heythrop College	13						1		14	0	0.0%
Imperial College London	85	5			8	1	8	2	101	8	7.9%
King's College London	230	7	1		11	1	13		255	8	3.1%
London Business School	1								1	0	0.0%
London School of Economics and Political Science	157	10	40	11	10	1	2		209	22	10.5%
Queen Mary	138	2	13		11		10		172	2	1.2%
Royal Holloway	121	4	15	4	2	1	8		146	9	6.2%
Royal Veterinary College	2								2	0	0.0%
School of Oriental and African Studies	76	3	20	1			1		97	4	4.1%
University College London	315	14	26	3	8	1	12		361	18	5.0%
Unspecified	31	1	6						37	1	2.7%
University of the Arts, London	10								10	0	0.0%

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		TiB		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
Loughborough University	87		14	1	2		2		105	1	1.0%
University of Manchester	428	11	39	8	12	1	7		486	20	4.1%
Manchester Metropolitan University	64		6		2		3		75	0	0.0%
University College of St. Mark & St. John	2								2	0	0.0%
Middlesex University	43		4		4		4	1	55	1	1.8%
Napier University	10								10	0	0.0%
University of Newcastle Upon Tyne	229		7	2	12		4		252	2	0.8%
Newman University College	1								1	0	0.0%
Northampton	8		1		1		1		11	0	0.0%
Northumbria University	76	1	1		14	1	4		95	2	2.1%
Norwich University College of the Arts	1								1	0	0.0%
University of Nottingham	496	18	39	12	15		14	1	564	31	5.5%
Nottingham Trent University	85		3				1		89	0	0.0%
Open University	47	3	5	1	10	1	4		66	5	7.6%
Open University in Wales	1								1	0	0.0%
Oxford University	831	83	45	18	16	1	8	2	900	104	11.6%
Oxford Brookes University	61	1	4	1	6		8		79	2	2.5%
University of Plymouth	70		2		6		3		81	0	0.0%
University of Portsmouth	60		5	1	7		3		75	1	1.3%
Queen Margaret University	4								4	0	0.0%
Queen's University Belfast	84	3	8		5		9		106	3	2.8%
Ravensbourne College of Design & Communication	2								2	0	0.0%
University of Reading	162	2	13		12		5		192	2	1.0%
Robert Gordon University	3						1		4	0	0.0%
Roehampton University	14								14	0	0.0%
Royal Agricultural College	1								1	0	0.0%
Royal College of Music	1								1	0	0.0%
Royal Northern College of Music	3						1		4	0	0.0%
University of Salford	29		1		1		3		34	0	0.0%
University of Sheffield	368	7	37	7	11		14	2	430	16	3.7%
Sheffield Hallam University	50		1		1		3		55	0	0.0%
South Bank University	23				4		8		35	0	0.0%
University of Southampton	190	2	14	2	8	1	10	1	222	6	2.7%
Southampton Solent University	19				1				20	0	0.0%
University of St Andrews	186	10	9		6		12		213	10	4.7%
St. Mary's University College	3								3	0	0.0%
Staffordshire University	14		3		3		1		21	0	0.0%
Stirling University	31		15		2		2		50	0	0.0%
University of Strathclyde	83		13	2	9		1		106	2	1.9%
University Campus Suffolk	1								1	0	0.0%

University	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		TiB		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
University of Sunderland	8				1		2		11	0	0.0%
University of Surrey	47	2	19		9	1	3		78	3	3.8%
University of Sussex	139	4	25	2	11	1	6		181	7	3.9%
University of Teesside	9								9	0	0.0%
Thames Valley University	10				1				11	0	0.0%
Trinity Laban Conservatoire of Music & Dance	2								2	0	0.0%
UHI Millennium Institute			1						1	0	0.0%
University of Ulster	42		1		2		4	1	49	1	2.0%
University of Wales											
Aberystwyth	103	2	7	1	5		1		116	3	2.6%
Bangor	31				3	1	2		36	1	2.8%
Swansea	7		2		3		1		13	0	0.0%
Unspecified	111		11		3		2		127	0	0.0%
University of Warwick	343	11	48	13	15	2	11	1	417	27	6.5%
University of the West of England	72	2	11	1	5		5		93	3	3.2%
University of the West of Scotland	5		1		1		1		8	0	0.0%
University of Westminster	82		11		8		3		104	0	0.0%
University of Winchester	8				1				9	0	0.0%
University of Wolverhampton	34				1		4		39	0	0.0%
University of Worcester	4								4	0	0.0%
Writtle College	2								2	0	0.0%
University of York	304	12	29	2	19	1	6	2	358	17	4.7%
York St. John University	2				1				3	0	0.0%
Overseas	275	6	152	15	47	2	24		498	23	4.6%
Not stated	52	2	20	1	7		5	1	84	4	4.8%
Grand Total	12,555	403	1,188	176	665	29	503	21	14,911	629	4.2%

Fast Stream Recruitment Report – Analysis by Degree Type

Degree Type	GFS		Economists		Statisticians		TIB		Total		Overall Success Rate
	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	Applicants	Successful Candidates	
Allied Medicine	40	1	0		3		1		44	1	2.3%
Architecture	31	1	1				3		35	1	2.9%
Biological Sciences	668	16	3	1	44	3	30		745	20	2.7%
Business	631	3	34	1	50	2	49	4	764	10	1.3%
Creative Arts	224	4	2		1		14	1	241	5	2.1%
Economics	627	21	984	134	101	4	26	2	1,738	161	9.3%
Education	40				3		1		44	0	0.0%
Engineering	286	9	4	1	18		50	2	358	12	3.4%
Financial	84		16		12		4		116	0	0.0%
Humanities	3,881	173	15	4	21	1	60	4	3,977	182	4.6%
Languages	1,093	39	3	2	3		12	2	1,111	43	3.9%
Librarian	6								6	0	0.0%
Mathematical Science	344	9	14	1	218	13	23		599	23	3.8%
Medicine & Dentistry	29	2			1		1		31	2	6.5%
Multi Discipline	631	22	31	10	18	1	19	1	699	34	4.9%
Physical Sciences	646	11	5	2	48	1	45	2	744	16	2.2%
Social Science	3,151	92	75	20	115	4	66	1	3,407	117	3.4%
Technology	134		1		9		98	2	242	2	0.8%
Veterinary Sciences	9						1		10	0	0.0%
Total	12,555	403	1,188	176	665	29	503	21	14,911	629	4.2%

Diversity Trends in the whole Fast Stream (i.e. all Fast Stream schemes combined)

Gender

Competition Vacancies		Applications							Recommended for Appointment						
		Male		Female		Non-respondent		Total	Male		Female		Non-respondent		Total
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	585	8,333	55.9%	6,489	43.5%	89	0.6%	14,911	357	56.8%	269	42.8%	3	0.5%	629
2008	552	7,981	55.1%	6,444	44.5%	69	0.5%	14,494	292	51.2%	275	48.2%	3	0.5%	570
2007	427	7,343	53.9%	6,229	45.7%	47	0.3%	13,619	208	54.7%	171	45.0%	1	0.3%	380
2006	469	7,637	54.8%	6,246	44.8%	62	0.4%	13,945	233	48.9%	240	50.4%	3	0.6%	476
2005	497	7,376	56.9%	5,508	42.5%	73	0.6%	12,957	280	55.6%	220	43.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004	507	5,255	61.1%	3,343	38.9%	*8,598	273	58.5%	194	41.5%	467
2003	546	10,676	53.3%	9,356	46.7%	20,032	256	50.2%	254	49.8%	510
2002	509	7,181	50.1%	7,147	49.9%	14,328	197	47.9%	214	52.1%	411
2001	512	6,175	52.4%	5,602	47.6%	11,777	204	48.5%	217	51.5%	421
2000	560	7,487	52.0%	6,922	48.0%	14,409	223	52.3%	203	47.7%	426
1999	445	5,220	53.2%	4,594	46.8%	9,814	153	50.0%	153	50.0%	306
1998	367	4,931	54.6%	4,106	45.4%	9,037	144	61.3%	91	38.7%	235

Ethnic Origin

Competition Vacancies		Applications							Recommended for Appointment						
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		Total	White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		Total
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	585	11,932	80.0%	2,724	18.3%	255	1.7%	14,911	550	87.4%	67	10.7%	12	1.9%	629
2008	552	12,092	83.4%	2,159	14.9%	243	1.7%	14,494	505	88.6%	53	9.3%	12	2.1%	570
2007	427	11,625	85.4%	1,838	13.5%	156	1.1%	13,619	339	89.2%	36	9.5%	5	1.3%	380
2006	469	11,849	85.0%	1,912	13.7%	184	1.3%	13,945	416	87.4%	53	11.1%	7	1.5%	476
2005	497	10,857	83.8%	1,937	14.9%	163	1.3%	12,957	461	91.5%	36	7.1%	7	1.4%	504
2004	507	7,140	83.0%	1,259	14.6%	199	2.3%	*8,598	428	91.6%	25	5.4%	14	3.0%	467
2003	546	15,702	78.4%	3,275	16.3%	1,055	5.3%	20,032	455	89.2%	40	7.8%	15	2.9%	510
2002	509	11,671	81.5%	2,432	17.0%	225	1.6%	14,328	363	88.3%	40	9.7%	8	2.0%	411
2001	512	9,683	82.2%	1,941	16.5%	153	1.3%	11,777	383	90.9%	32	7.6%	6	1.4%	421
2000	560	12,076	83.8%	2,154	15.0%	179	1.2%	14,409	392	92.0%	29	6.8%	5	1.2%	426
1999	445	8,412	85.7%	1,296	13.2%	106	1.1%	9,814	287	93.8%	18	5.9%	1	0.3%	306
1998	367	7,884	87.2%	1,098	12.2%	55	0.6%	9,037	226	96.2%	8	3.4%	1	0.4%	235

University of First Degree

Competition Vacancies		Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge			Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	585	13,250	88.9%	1,661	11.1%	14,911	464	73.8%	165	26.2%	629
2008	552	12,714	87.7%	1,780	12.3%	14,494	404	70.9%	166	29.1%	570
2007	427	11,945	87.7%	1,674	12.3%	13,619	261	68.7%	119	31.3%	380
2006	469	12,216	87.6%	1,729	12.4%	13,945	328	68.9%	148	31.1%	476
2005	497	11,353	87.6%	1,604	12.4%	12,957	354	70.2%	150	29.8%	504
2004	507	7,216	83.9%	1,382	16.1%	*8,598	300	64.2%	167	35.8%	467
2003	546	18,214	90.9%	1,818	9.1%	20,032	328	64.3%	182	35.7%	510
2002	509	13,122	91.6%	1,206	8.4%	14,328	298	72.5%	113	27.5%	411
2001	512	10,846	92.1%	931	7.9%	11,777	303	72.0%	118	28.0%	421
2000	560	13,289	92.2%	1,120	7.8%	14,409	289	67.8%	137	32.2%	426
1999	445	8,880	90.5%	934	9.5%	9,814	214	69.9%	92	30.1%	306
1998	367	8,142	90.1%	895	9.9%	9,037	154	65.5%	81	34.5%	235

Disability

Competition Vacancies		Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	585	14,091	94.5%	697	4.7%	123	0.8%	14,911	532	84.6%	92	14.6%	5	0.8%	629
2008	552	13,633	94.1%	738	5.1%	123	0.8%	14,494	490	86.0%	72	12.6%	8	1.4%	570
2007	427	13,132	96.4%	486	3.6%	1	0.0%	13,619	347	91.3%	33	8.7%	0	0.0%	380
2006	469	13,502	96.8%	356	2.6%	87	0.6%	13,945	438	92.0%	35	7.4%	3	0.6%	476
2005	497	12,546	96.8%	323	2.5%	88	0.7%	12,957	466	92.5%	34	6.7%	4	0.8%	504
2004	507	8,324	96.8%	274	3.2%	*8,598	433	92.7%	34	7.3%	467
2003	546	19,550	97.6%	482	2.4%	20,032	492	96.5%	18	3.5%	510
2002	509	14,061	98.1%	267	1.9%	14,328	395	96.1%	16	3.9%	411
2001	512	11,510	97.7%	267	2.3%	11,777	409	97.1%	12	2.9%	421
2000	560	14,210	98.6%	199	1.4%	14,409	418	98.1%	8	1.9%	426
1999	445	9,627	98.1%	187	1.9%	9,814	299	97.7%	7	2.3%	306
1998	367	8,875	98.2%	162	1.8%	9,037	223	94.9%	12	5.1%	235

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.

Diversity Trends in the Graduate Fast Stream only

Gender

Competition Vacancies		Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Male		Female		Non-respondent			Male		Female		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	351	6,816	54.3%	5,662	45.1%	77	0.6%	12,555	205	50.9%	195	48.4%	3	0.7%	403
2008	313	5,307	53.1%	4,650	46.5%	43	0.4%	10,000	176	46.8%	198	52.7%	2	0.5%	376
2007	211	4,804	52.1%	4,383	47.5%	32	0.3%	9,219	129	51.8%	119	47.8%	1	0.4%	249
2006	219	5,004	52.9%	4,414	46.7%	38	0.4%	9,456	136	41.7%	188	57.7%	2	0.6%	326
2005	273	4,523	55.3%	3,607	44.1%	49	0.6%	8,179	185	55.1%	148	44.0%	3	0.9%	336
2004	255	3,934	59.9%	2,630	40.1%	*6,564	167	55.5%	134	44.5%	301
2003	304	9,204	52.1%	8,455	47.9%	17,659	162	45.0%	198	55.0%	360
2002	251	5,473	49.2%	5,659	50.8%	11,132	111	43.4%	145	56.6%	256
2001	272	4,786	51.4%	4,530	48.6%	9,316	138	45.8%	163	54.2%	301
2000	254	5,720	51.0%	5,491	49.0%	11,211	139	50.7%	135	49.3%	274
1999	189	3,798	52.3%	3,461	47.7%	7,259	81	45.3%	98	54.7%	179
1998	180	3,575	54.2%	3,020	45.8%	6,595	76	55.9%	60	44.1%	136

Ethnic Origin

Competition Vacancies		Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent			White		Ethnic Minority		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	351	10,371	82.6%	1,967	15.7%	217	1.7%	12,555	364	90.3%	29	7.2%	10	2.5%	403
2008	313	8,436	84.4%	1,412	14.1%	152	1.5%	10,000	341	90.7%	25	6.6%	10	2.7%	376
2007	211	7,920	85.9%	1,184	12.8%	115	1.2%	9,219	230	92.4%	14	5.6%	5	2.0%	249
2006	219	8,105	85.7%	1,227	13.0%	124	1.3%	9,456	297	91.1%	24	7.4%	5	1.5%	326
2005	273	6,974	85.3%	1,100	13.4%	105	1.3%	8,179	318	94.6%	12	3.6%	6	1.8%	336
2004	255	5,600	85.3%	808	12.3%	156	2.4%	*6,564	284	94.4%	8	2.7%	9	3.0%	301
2003	304	13,862	78.5%	2,811	15.9%	986	5.6%	17,659	333	92.5%	16	4.4%	11	3.1%	360
2002	251	9,235	83.0%	1,752	15.7%	145	1.3%	11,132	236	92.2%	19	7.4%	1	0.4%	256
2001	272	7,808	83.8%	1,389	14.9%	119	1.3%	9,316	278	92.3%	18	6.0%	5	1.7%	301
2000	254	9,510	84.8%	1,558	13.9%	143	1.3%	11,211	252	92.0%	17	6.2%	5	1.8%	274
1999	189	6,293	86.6%	875	12.1%	91	1.3%	7,259	174	97.2%	4	2.2%	1	0.6%	179
1998	180	5,836	88.5%	721	10.9%	38	0.6%	6,595	131	96.3%	4	2.9%	1	0.8%	136

University of First Degree

Competition Vacancies		Applications				Total	Recommended for Appointment				Total
		Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge			Non-Oxbridge		Oxbridge		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	351	11,018	87.8%	1,537	12.2%	12,555	269	66.7%	134	33.3%	403
2008	313	8,627	86.3%	1,373	13.7%	10,000	239	63.6%	137	36.4%	376
2007	211	7,951	86.2%	1,268	13.8%	9,219	150	60.2%	99	39.8%	249
2006	219	8,159	86.3%	1,297	13.7%	9,456	199	61.0%	127	39.0%	326
2005	273	6,998	85.6%	1,181	14.4%	8,179	212	63.1%	124	36.9%	336
2004	255	5,327	81.2%	1,237	18.8%	*6,564	163	54.2%	138	45.8%	301
2003	304	15,979	90.5%	1,680	9.5%	17,659	208	57.8%	152	42.2%	360
2002	251	10,079	90.5%	1,053	9.5%	11,132	165	64.5%	91	35.5%	256
2001	272	8,471	90.9%	845	9.1%	9,316	204	67.8%	97	32.2%	301
2000	254	10,221	91.2%	990	8.8%	11,211	163	59.5%	111	40.5%	274
1999	189	6,427	88.5%	832	11.5%	7,259	108	60.3%	71	39.7%	179
1998	180	5,801	88.0%	794	12.0%	6,595	69	50.7%	67	49.3%	136

Disability

Competition Vacancies		Applications						Total	Recommended for Appointment						Total
		Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent			Non-Disabled		Disabled		Non-respondent		
		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total		Number	% of total	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
2009	351	11,853	94.4%	592	4.7%	110	0.9%	12,555	324	80.4%	75	18.6%	4	1.0%	403
2008	313	9,409	94.1%	510	5.1%	81	0.8%	10,000	311	82.7%	61	16.2%	4	1.1%	376
2007	211	8,879	96.3%	340	3.7%	0	0.0%	9,219	219	88.0%	30	12.0%	0	0.0%	249
2006	219	9,160	96.9%	241	2.5%	55	0.6%	9,456	292	89.6%	32	9.8%	2	0.6%	326
2005	273	7,913	96.7%	215	2.6%	51	0.6%	8,179	303	90.2%	30	8.9%	3	0.9%	336
2004	255	6,332	96.5%	232	3.5%	*6,564	274	91.0%	27	9.0%	301
2003	304	17,233	97.6%	426	2.4%	17,659	346	96.1%	14	3.9%	360
2002	251	10,919	98.1%	213	1.9%	11,132	244	95.3%	12	4.7%	256
2001	272	9,117	97.9%	199	2.1%	9,316	289	96.0%	12	4.0%	301
2000	254	11,042	98.5%	169	1.5%	11,211	268	97.8%	6	2.2%	274
1999	189	7,119	98.1%	140	1.9%	7,259	172	96.1%	7	3.9%	179
1998	180	6,474	98.2%	121	7.8%	6,595	133	94.9%	3	5.1%	136

* The fall in 2004 reflects the introduction of online self-assessment, which has proved an effective mechanism for discouraging unrealistic applications. Since then, an application is deemed to have been submitted only if the applicant has completed the self-assessment and proceeds to take the online tests.