

Transforming Care for North and Mid Hampshire

Aim of the Review

- To reach a conclusion on the configuration of acute services in North and Mid Hampshire.
- To develop services that improve quality and contribute to the financial target as described in the STP.
- To work in parallel with the Solent Acute Alliance and the New Models of Care STP workstreams, and to test the acute service reconfiguration proposals against emerging findings.

Scope of the Review

North Hampshire CCG, West Hampshire CCG and Hampshire Hospitals NHS FT wish to explore the viability of three options:

- Do minimal.
- Building of CTH on Greenfield site.
- Moving Acute services from Winchester to either Basingstoke or UHS.

Process for Review

This review is being commissioned by North Hampshire CCG, West Hampshire CCG and Hampshire Hospitals NHS FT as part of the H&IoW STP.

Wherever possible this review will draw on the existing evidence and programme such as the extensive work done by HHFT and partner organisations on the critical treatment hospital and the acquisition business case, the Alton Services review, the Better Local Care programmes and commissioning plans to ensure minimal duplication of effort.

A key element will be the facilitation of the discussions between the leadership teams across the three organisation to reach a common understanding and an agreed way forward.

An outline of the key stages of the review is set out below:-

- Independent evaluation of the three options defined in the scope.
- Hold clinical workshops to develop consensus and validate the clinical model.
- Independent evaluation of the impact a reduction of c20% acute activity would have on these three options.

Evaluation of the Options

As part of the review evaluation criteria and weightings must be agreed at an early stage with the partner organisations. The options must be worked up in sufficient detail to allow a full

clinical and financial, qualitative and quantitative assessment to take place. There must also be sufficient detail to allow the emergent preferred option to progress to public consultation. Points to consider in the evaluation will include:

- Affordability
- Clinical deliverability
- Equality impact
- Pressure on other providers and their ability to deliver additional demand
- Deliverability of the programme
- Likelihood of internal and external support
- Public acceptability

Governance

A programme Board comprising of the Accountable Officers and senior clinical leadership from the three organisations will develop the governance arrangements with the successful supplier.

Timescales

Finalise specification with NHSE and NHSI.	26 September 2016
Identify external supplier.	30 September 2016
Review starts.	3 October 2016
Review ends.	30 January 2017
Findings to HHFT, WH CCG and NH CCG, and potential public consultation.	February 2017