

Consultation on the transitional arrangements for the 2017 business rates revaluation

Summary of Responses and Government's Response



© Crown copyright, 2016

Copyright in the typographical arrangement rests with the Crown.

You may re-use this information (not including logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence. To view this licence, http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/ or write to the Information Policy Team, The National Archives, Kew, London TW9 4DU, or email: psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

This document/publication is also available on our website at www.gov.uk/dclg

If you have any enquiries regarding this document/publication, complete the form at http://forms.communities.gov.uk/ or write to us at:

Department for Communities and Local Government Fry Building 2 Marsham Street London SW1P 4DF Telephone: 030 3444 0000

For all our latest news and updates follow us on Twitter: https://twitter.com/CommunitiesUK

November 2016

ISBN: 978-1-4098-4949-0

Introduction

- 1. The next business rates revaluation takes effect from 1 April 2017 and will update rateable values to reflect the market as at 1 April 2015. This will ensure business rate bills more closely reflect the property market and that all businesses are getting a fair deal.
- 2. The revaluation will not raise any more or less tax. In September the Government announced the multiplier would reduce to ensure the revaluation does not raise any more in rates. Using the latest data on the revaluation the government will now reduce the small business non-domestic multiplier for 2017/18 from 48.4p to 46.6p. The national non-domestic multiplier will fall from 49.7p to 47.9p. The multipliers will be confirmed after either the Local Government Finance Report for 2017-18 has been approved by the House of Commons or 1 March 2017, whichever is earlier.
- 3. The Government is putting in place exceptional measures to help businesses with the revaluation through the transitional relief scheme. We are providing the same transitional relief to small and medium businesses as was provided at the previous revaluation in 2010. No small property will see more than a 5% increase next year before inflation due to the revaluation benefiting 500,000 small businesses.
- 4. The majority of businesses across the country will be unaffected or better off by the changes, with many looking forward to their bills falling as the system is made fairer across the whole of England. This is on top of measures which mean that from next April, businesses will benefit from the biggest ever cut in business rates in England worth £6.7 billion over the next 5 years. As a result, 600,000 businesses across the country will pay no business rates at all.
- 5. For the small minority of businesses who face an increase in the bills, any rise will be capped at 5% in the first year for small properties, with a dedicated system of transitional relief worth £3.6 billion to help business owners adjust to the new bills. In total properties in London will benefit from transitional relief worth over £1bn over the life of the scheme. Over 140,000 properties in London will benefit from transitional relief of which over 100,000 are small properties.
- 6. The Government are required by law to ensure (as far as is practicable) that the transitional arrangements are self funding. Therefore, the cost of the relief for those ratepayers facing increases must be funded from other ratepayers. On 28 September the Government published a consultation paper on the transitional arrangements. Two options were presented for consultation:

_

¹ The draft 2017 rating lists published on 30 September 2017 and RPI inflation for September.

Option 1: Transitional Arrangements 2017 revaluation (before inflation) funded by caps on reductions								
	Property Size	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22		
	Small	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%		
Upwards Cap	Medium	12.5%	17.5%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%		
	Large	33.0%	29.0%	30.0%	27.0%	13.0%		
Downwards	Small	20.0%	30.0%	35.0%	55.0%	55.0%		
Сар	Medium & Large	4.1%	5.6%	5.9%	5.8%	4.8%		

Note: these are year on year caps on increases. For instance, the maximum increase for small properties over 5 years would be 64%. But a small property with an increase of 7% would reach their full bill in year 2. Medium is above £28,000 rateable value in London and £20,000 elsewhere. Large above £100,000.

Option 2: Transitional Arrangements 2017 revaluation (before inflation) funded by 3 caps on reductions								
	Property Size	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22		
Upwards Cap	Small	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%		
	Medium	12.5%	17.5%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%		
	Large	45.0%	50.0%	50.0%	16.0%	5.0%		
Dannanda	Small	20.0%	30.0%	35.0%	55.0%	55.0%		
Downwards Cap	Medium	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%		
Jap	Large	4.1%	4.6%	5.9%	5.8%	4.8%		

Note: these are year on year caps on increases. For instance, the maximum increase for small properties over 5 years would be 64%. But a small property with an increase of 7% would reach their full bill in year 2. Medium is above £28,000 rateable value in London and £20,000 elsewhere. Large above £100,000.

7. The Government stated a preference at consultation for Option 2. Views were invited by 26 October.

Summary of Responses

8. In total 173 responses were received from a range of different groups as shown in the table below:

	Number of	
Respondent Type	Respondents	Proportion of Total
Sector body	28	16%
Rating agents	13	8%
LA	40	23%
Ratepayer	89	51%
Professional Body	3	2%
Total	173	100%

Question 1: what are your views on option 1?

Question 2: do you support option 1?

Do you support Optio	n 1?		
Respondent Type	yes	no	no answer
Sector body	7%	82%	11%
Rating agents	15%	69%	15%
LA	3%	93%	5%
Ratepayer	13%	85%	1%
Professional Body	0%	67%	33%
Total	10%	85%	5%

- Option 1 offered more protection to large ratepayers than option 2 but fewer reductions for medium businesses. It received limited support across all types of respondents. Overall 85% of respondents did not support option 1 and only 10% supported it.
- 10. The principal reason given for not supporting option 1 (especially amongst ratepayers) was that it did not provide enough help for large businesses facing increases. Almost half of all responses said the cap on increases in option 1 was too high including two thirds of ratepayers. Almost a quarter of respondents rejected option 1 on the grounds that it did not allow medium businesses to see their reductions fast enough.

Question 3: what are your views on option 2? Question 4: do you support option 2?

11. Option 2 allows medium properties to see their reductions come through faster than option 1 but has a higher cap on increases for large properties.

Do you support Option	n 2?		
Respondent Type	yes	no	no answer
Sector body	14%	75%	11%
Rating agents	15%	69%	15%
LA	75%	23%	3%
Ratepayer	6%	92%	2%
Professional Body	0%	67%	33%
Total	24%	71%	5%

- 12. Option 2 was supported by 24% of respondents. A significant proportion of this support came from local government (75% of local government responses supported option 2). 92% of ratepayers did not support option 2.
- 13. As with option 1, the principal reason given for not supporting option 2 was that it did not provide enough help for large businesses facing increases. This was given as a reason by over half of respondents including three quarters of ratepayers. Almost all of those who supported option 2 did so because it allows medium businesses benefitting from the revaluation to see their gains faster than under option 1.

Other comments

14. Many respondents suggested that we should end the practice of capping reductions in bills at the revaluation and instead fund transitional relief through either a supplement on the multiplier or from general taxation. Some suggested higher or different rateable value thresholds for different locations or sectors and some suggested that transitional relief should be abolished. A few respondents also restated their support for more frequent revaluations as they felt it would reduce the need for transitional relief.

Government's Response

- 15. Having regard to the responses, the Government continues to believe it is important to provide the greatest support to small and medium businesses seeing increases and to also allow those small and medium businesses seeing reductions to gain quickly from the revaluation.
- 16. The transitional relief scheme has been developed using detailed and actual data on the 2017 revaluation prepared independently by the Valuation Office Agency and we are required by law to ensure the resulting scheme will be revenue neutral. In order to meet this legal requirement, and to continue to support small and medium businesses, the Government has found it is necessary for the 2017 revaluation to provide less relief for large businesses than in 2010. However, based upon the latest data from the Valuation Office Agency², we are able to provide slightly more support than offered at consultation. The Government will introduce the following transitional relief scheme for the 2017 revaluation:

Final: Trans	Final: Transitional Arrangements 2017 revaluation (before inflation) funded by 3 caps on reductions									
	Property Size	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22				
Llauranda	Small	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	15.0%	15.0%				
Upwards Cap	Medium	12.5%	17.5%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%				
	Large	42.0%	32.0%	49.0%	16.0%	6.0%				
Davissianda	Small	20.0%	30.0%	35.0%	55.0%	55.0%				
Downwards Cap	Medium	10.0%	15.0%	20.0%	25.0%	25.0%				
July	Large	4.1%	4.6%	5.9%	5.8%	4.8%				

Note: these are year on year caps on increases. For instance, the maximum increase for small properties over 5 years would be 64%. But a small property with an increase of 7% would reach their full bill in year 2. Medium is above £28,000 rateable value in London and £20,000 elsewhere. Large above £100,000.

17. The Government understands concerns raised by many large ratepayers that the caps on increases in bills under the transitional relief scheme are higher than those adopted for the 2010 transitional relief scheme. However, the type of transitional relief we can provide for the 2017 rating list depends on how individual rate bills are changing at the 2017 revaluation. As such, it is not possible to replicate the 2010 transitional relief scheme for the 2017 rating list and still meet the legal requirement for the scheme to be revenue neutral.

² The data used for the final scheme is from the draft 2017 rating lists published on 30 September 2017.

18. This transitional relief scheme will be worth £3.6 bn over 5 years. For those ratepayers facing increases, London will benefit more than anywhere else in the country from the transitional relief scheme. In total properties in London will benefit from transitional relief worth over £1bn over the life of the scheme. Over 140,000 properties in London will benefit from transitional relief of which over 100,000 are small properties. More details on the final scheme are at Annex A.

Transitional Relief Final Scheme

	Costs and yields of Transitional Relief by Sector							
	£m	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22		Total
Control	Yield	38	23	10	4	2		78
Central	Cost	-279	-221	-128	-84	-64		-776
la di cata	Yield	277	131	60	32	20		521
Industry	Cost	-142	-82	-47	-24	-14		-309
Office	Yield	264	141	73	40	25		543
Oilice	Cost	-183	-72	-31	-12	-5		-303
Other	Yield	449	291	182	120	88		1,131
Olilei	Cost	-676	-399	-223	-137	-98		-1,534
Retail	Yield	584	352	184	99	64		1,282
Relaii	Cost	-332	-164	-81	-38	-19		-633
Total	Yield	1,612	937	510	295	200		3,555
Total	Cost	-1,612	-937	-510	-295	-200		-3,555
		Costs	and yields of	f Transitional	Relief by Re	gion		
	£m	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22		Total
Central	Yield	38	23	10	4	2		78
Central	Cost	-279	-221	-128	-84	-64		-776
East	Yield	177	98	53	32	23		383
Lasi	Cost	-88	-52	-29	-17	-12		-198
East	Yield	90	49	26	17	13		194

	£m	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	To	tal
Control	Yield	38	23	10	4	2		78
Central	Cost	-279	-221	-128	-84	-64	-7	76
East	Yield	177	98	53	32	23	3	883
Easi	Cost	-88	-52	-29	-17	-12	-1	98
East	Yield	90	49	26	17	13	1	94
Midlands	Cost	-80	-51	-32	-19	-13	-1	95
London	Yield	210	120	63	33	18	4	44
London	Cost	-590	-271	-128	-66	-40	-1,0	95
North	Yield	94	59	35	21	14	2	223
East	Cost	-36	-23	-14	-9	-6	_	-88
North	Yield	276	161	88	51	35	6	311
West	Cost	-96	-58	-33	-20	-13	-2	220
South	Yield	206	120	66	38	26	4	156
East	Cost	-189	-102	-54	-30	-19	-3	394
South	Yield	157	93	52	30	20	3	353
West	Cost	-89	-55	-30	-16	-10	-2	201
West	Yield	164	92	47	25	16	3	344
Midlands	Cost	-91	-57	-35	-21	-14	-2	217
Yorkshire	Yield	199	122	70	45	32	4	168
& Humber	Cost	-75	-46	-26	-14	-9	-1	70
T. (.)	Yield	1,612	937	510	295	200	3,5	55 l

Total	Yield	1,612	937	510	295	200	3,555
lotal	Cost	-1,612	-937	-510	-295	-200	-3,555

^{*}Totals may not add up due to rounding