

OPEN

NATIONAL DNA DATABASE ETHICS GROUP

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 3
Stakeholder consultation document: Ethical dimensions of the application of next generation sequencing technologies to criminal investigations.	Page 5
Table 1: Table overview of ethical issues arising in connection with Next Generation Sequencing technologies	Page 8
Glossary of terms and acronyms	Page 11
Annex A: Background document – Ethical dimensions of next generation sequencing	Page 14

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

The National DNA Database Ethics Group (EG) is responsible for providing independent advice on ethical issues surrounding the operations of the DNA database to Home Office ministers and the National DNA Database Strategy Board. In fulfilling this remit, the EG is aware of its responsibility to keep abreast of developments in DNA technologies which have the potential to be applied to criminal investigations so that their ethical impacts can be considered as early as possible.

Over the past year, the EG has considered on numerous occasions, developments in next generation sequencing (NGS) and their ethical implications. The minutes of these meetings are available to be read at the EG [website](#).

Whilst considering the developments in NGS the EG became aware of the complexities surrounding the application of NGS technologies and therefore decided to undertake a mapping exercise for the application of NGS technologies for criminal investigations. Whilst this exercise attempts to outline the variety of NGS technologies which are in development it does not attempt to suggest ways for specifically addressing the ethical issues which might arise with their implementation. Going forward the EG will address these issues in turn, giving priority to the technologies which are most likely to be implemented.

To develop its understanding of NGS the EG invited a group of scholars from Kings College London, which included an EG member, to write an independent piece of work on the Ethical Dimensions of Next Generation Sequencing Technologies. Whilst this paper does not reflect the views of the EG as a whole, the paper has informed the thinking of the group. This paper is provided at Annex A.

HOW TO RESPOND TO THE STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

We would be grateful for your responses to the 4 questions set out below. It would be especially helpful if respondents would provide the rationale for their responses including any supporting information or evidence as appropriate. Views on any other aspect of the document 'Ethical dimensions of the application of next generation sequencing technologies to criminal investigations' are also welcome.

Responses to the stakeholder consultation should be submitted to the NDNAD Ethics Group Secretariat at: NDNADEG@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk by **Friday 12 August 2016**.

OPEN

QUESTIONS

1. Are you aware of any new DNA technologies which are in development or whose development is being considered, which are not included in table 1 on pages 8-10?
2. Do you believe that the EG has captured all the relevant ethical issues arising from NGS technologies or can you suggest further issues?
3. Which areas of NGS do you think the EG's efforts should be focused in order to ensure that the most pressing concerns in relation to criminal investigations are addressed by the EG in a timely fashion?
4. The EG intends to develop a set of principles to guide its own ethical considerations, and to guide others who are minded to develop or who are considering new developments, in the areas of forensics and biometrics. What are your views on this approach?

NEXT STEPS

The EG will review the responses received at its next meeting in September 2016 and will make agreed revisions prior to publication on its website along with the independent paper by academics from Kings College. The EG will update its document as NGS technologies develop and their applications to criminal investigations become better defined.

ANNEX A Ethical dimensions of next generation sequencing technologies. Paper prepared by Professor Barbara Prainsack, Dr Barbara Daniel and Dr Denise Syndercombe-Court, all from Kings College London.

STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF THE APPLICATION OF NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING TECHNOLOGIES TO CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

Author: National DNA Database Ethics Group

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) is a term used to describe DNA sequencing technologies, whereby multiple pieces of DNA are sequenced in parallel, thereby allowing large sections of the human genome to be sequenced rapidly. The name is a catch-all-phrase that refers to high-throughput sequencing rather than the previous Sanger sequencing technology, which was much slower. NGS is also known as Massive Parallel Sequencing and the terms can be used interchangeably. Within this document the term NGS refers to technologies that provide more wide-ranging information than the standard DNA short tandem repeat (STR) profiling techniques that measure the number of repeats at a specific region of non-coding DNA within an autosomal chromosome.
- 1.2 NGS sequencing technologies have developed rapidly over the past decade while the costs associated with sequencing have declined. Whilst need and utility, and not the availability and affordability of NGS technologies, should be the driver for their introduction into criminal investigations, declining costs increase the feasibility of their introduction. It is therefore timely that the ethical issues associated with the application of NGS in criminal investigations are considered. In this document, the Ethics Group (EG) provides an outline of the NGS technologies that are likely to become available in the next 10 years and of the ethical challenges associated with the application of these technologies for forensic purposes.
- 1.3 This document has been developed to provide advice and to inform the thinking of those individuals who are minded to consider the development of next generation sequencing technologies and those who are considering the application of these technologies for the investigation of crimes. It is also for use by the public who are interested in these techniques within a forensic context.

2.0 Approach

- 2.1 As with the application of all new technologies for forensic investigation purposes, all practices involving NGS technologies require ethical consideration, if possible prior to introduction. Ethical concerns identified by the EG and wider stakeholders need to be considered in conjunction with arguments put forward by members of the wider public. Moreover, it is important to bear in mind that there may well be ethical

OPEN

issues associated with *not* introducing NGS technologies if those technologies are available and can impact on preventing and solving crimes and eliminating individuals from investigations and suspicion. Also the right “to enjoy the benefits of scientific progress and its applications”, which is stipulated in Article 15 of the United Nations *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights* (1976), could be seen to prescribe an (at least ethical) obligation to consider the potential benefits of technology use in the context of criminal investigation very seriously.

- 2.2 The Ethics Group will consider the ethical issues associated with NGS techniques at an early stage of their introduction into police work to help inform consideration. The approach to be taken by the group is to invite those developing and introducing the tests to their meetings to inform the committee about the capabilities and limitations of the tests. Together with other stakeholders we aim to debate and scrutinise the new tests and consider their public good as well as considering how the privacy, confidentiality, autonomy, dignity and freedom of individuals might be balanced with the introduction of the tests. The group will debate these issues over a series of meetings until they form a collective and consensual view of the issues. The Ethics Groups views will be fed to the DNA Database Strategy Board and Home Office Ministers as well as those developing the technologies.

3.0 Context

- 3.1 Whilst developing this document, the EG have been cognisant about the following principles which are integral to the ethical consideration of any new technology for criminal investigation purposes.

3.2 Individual and collective rights and interests

- 3.2.1 Ethical considerations of genetic technologies to assist with criminal investigations have often focused on individuals’ interests and rights and the necessity for the state to provide justification for interventions which might impact on an individual’s autonomy. However, a broader approach to considering ethical issues is required. Moral and ethical thinking should consider collective interests and rights alongside individual ones. The protection of society from harm (including the harm of being a victim of crime) in a way that is consistent with justice to individuals and groups of individuals, is in itself an important moral imperative. It is also important to be especially vigilant to safeguard the interests of groups, whether or not identified by law, who may be particularly at risk from the actions being considered.
- 3.2.2 An initial consideration to any NGS technology should be ‘*Should our society be doing this and why?*’. This should involve consideration of the rights and interests of individuals, groups of individuals and society as a whole, as well as the effect of the approach being considered on the ‘moral climate’, including trust in public services and respect for the rights and dignities of others.

OPEN

3.3 Trustworthy technology use and institution

3.3.1 A key factor in mitigating the harms that might arise from NGS is to ensure that technologies are used in trustworthy ways and governed by trustworthy institutions. Transparency, as well as open and authentic communication are factors that help build public trust and confidence in the organisations that are involved in the testing (and later on use) of the new technologies. To ensure that the public are well informed about NGS technologies a coordinated approach is required, by the Government who introduce the policies, the police forces who commission the tests and the forensic providers of the services, in order to create an environment of openness and to stimulate public understanding and debate.

4.0 Future Monitoring

4.1 The EG is aware of its own role in exploring the above issues; demonstrating appropriate consideration and making these open to the public. It is inevitable that some of the by-products of these technologies are still unknown therefore the EG will continue to monitor these.

Acknowledgment

The EG is grateful to Dr Barbara Daniel and Dr Denise Syndercombe-Court from Kings College London for their contributions to a paper on Ethical Dimensions of NGS which provided a comprehensive overview of the emerging issues with NGS technologies.

Table 1: Table overview of ethical issues arising in connection with Next Generation Sequencing technologies

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		SNP-based phenotypic profiling	SNP-based analysis of degraded samples	Sequencing of STR alleles	WGS or WES (assuming entire sequence will be centrally stored)	Focused mitochondrial or Y-chromosome sequencing	Epigenetic analyses: tissue origin and age	Metagenomics: Microbiome analysis
A	What is the potential public benefit?	Additional intelligence (and potentially also evidence), thus improving crime detection and conviction	Ability to obtain DNA profile from a sample that otherwise would have to be discarded, thus improving crime detection and conviction	Additional discriminatory power and increased ability to interpret mixed DNA profiles. It will increase match statistics for complex mixtures and relatedness testing.	Additional intelligence (and potentially also evidence), thus improving crime detection and conviction	Y-STR: improvement of crime detection and conviction, esp. in sexual crimes	Additional intelligence (and potentially also evidence), thus improving crime detection and conviction. There are also potential benefits in the identification of unidentified remains and determining the age of an individual.	Additional intelligence (and potentially also evidence), thus improving crime detection and conviction
B	What is the potential public harm?	If the probabilistic nature of information is not properly understood or	n/a	No additional harms greater than current STR analysis although the	Concentration of genetic and genomic information in the hands of	Depends on how it is used (used in individual cases only?)	If the probabilistic nature of information is not properly	If the probabilistic nature of information is not properly

OPEN

		<p>conveyed, innocent people could be unduly implicated in investigations which could be hugely upsetting; racial stereotypes could be reinforced.</p> <p>There is also the risk that out of blind trust in the technology, public funds could be spent on this technology when the actual benefit may be very small.</p> <p>Risk that analysis could reveal information about distant genetic relationships and reinforce views about familial criminality.</p>		<p>public would need to understand that it wasn't their entire genome being sequenced. STR sequencing kits might only be available with other SNP tests for identification, phenotype and geography -in which case there would be greater issues.</p>	<p>public agencies including potentially information about health; increase in bio-surveillance; use of public funds when benefit is low.</p>	<p>Loaded to central database? Available for routine searches?) For an assessment of the different scenarios, see NDNAD EG paper on Y-STR analysis.</p> <p>Y-STR analysis can provide information about male infertility (although rare the information might be unknown to the donor).</p> <p>Use for familial searching</p>	<p>understood or conveyed, innocent people could be unduly implicated in investigations. There is also the risk that out of blind trust in the technology, public funds could be spent on this technology when the actual benefit may be very small</p>	<p>understood or conveyed, innocent people could be unduly implicated in investigations .</p> <p>There is also the risk that out of blind trust in the technology, public funds could be spent on this technology when the actual benefit may be very small.</p> <p>Has the potential to highlight sensitive information about the likelihood of certain diseases.</p>
C	What individuals or	Offenders. Moreover, ethnic	Offenders Victims	Offenders Victims	Depending on scenario.	People with Y-chromosomes	Offenders, Victims,	

OPEN

	groups would be most affected?	minorities are likely to be most affected if the harm described in 1B is materialised.	Suspects	Suspects	People from whom subject samples are taken (arrestees, suspects); offenders (if crime scene samples are sequenced); possibly the entire population	whose DNA profile is stored in the NDNAD, or males who have a male relative (in a direct line) whose DNA profile is stored	Suspects.	
D	Threat to human rights or moral entitlements?	Presumption of innocence Right to privacy Public understanding of the technology and acceptance	n/a	Public understanding of the technology and acceptance	Right to privacy	Undue discrimination. Right to privacy. Proportionality of the use of familial genetic information in minor offences.		
E	Risk of error or injustice?	If probabilistic nature of the information is misunderstood, there is a risk of wrongful convictions	If technology is not properly validated and quality controlled		Depending on how the sequence would be used			
F	Other							

Glossary of terms and acronyms

Biometric Information	Information about an individual's physical characteristics such as fingerprints or eye colour, which are distinctive and measureable.
Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA)	The chemical in the cells of an organism that carries that organism's heritable material used in the development, functioning and reproduction of all known living organisms. DNA is a nucleic acid and consists of two strands coiled around each other to form a DNA double helix. Each DNA strand is composed of smaller units called nucleotides and the sequence of these nucleotides encodes biological information.
DNA Profile	A numerical representation of the characteristics of certain sections of (typically non-coding) DNA obtained following the analysis of a DNA sample which can be uploaded to a database and compared with other DNA profiles.
DNA 17 Profile	A profile produced using the latest system of DNA profiling technology which examines 16 sections of DNA, plus a gender marker to produce a numerical DNA profile that can be loaded onto the National DNA Database. The methodology used creates greater discrimination between profiles than the previous SGM + methodology and reduces the probability of chance matches between individuals.
Epigenetics	This is the study of (partly heritable) changes in gene expression due to external or environmental factors that affect how genes are read, rather than changes in the underlying DNA sequence.
Familial Searching	Involves searching the database for DNA profiles that do not match fully to a comparison profile, but where an unusually high number of loci match. This could indicate a biological relationship such as parent, child, sibling, cousin, uncle etc.
Low copy number (LCN)	A modified version of DNA profiling that is performed when the amount of DNA recovered from a biological sample is very limited. The number of PCR cycles is increased compared to standard SGM plus, which enhances the sensitivity of the technique and improves the likelihood of detecting DNA.
Random Match Probability	The probability that a DNA profile matches a randomly drawn person from the general population. If the random match probability is high, then any suspected link between the DNA and a person needs to be treated with caution.

OPEN

Metagenomics	Is the study of the diversity of species in a microbial sample which has been recovered from the environment. It allows the study of all genes in all organisms which are present in a given complex sample.
Mixed DNA Profile	A profile where DNA from more than one individual is present. A mixed DNA profile is evident when more than two copies of DNA are observed at a region. [See also <i>DNA profile</i>]
National DNA Database (NDNAD)	Established in 1995, it is an electronic, centralised database holding the DNA profiles taken from both individuals and crime scenes. The database can be searched to provide police with a match linking an individual to a crime scene and <i>vice versa</i> .
National DNA Database Strategy Board (NDNAD SB)	A board comprising representatives from ACPO, the Home Office, the DNA Ethics Group and the Forensic Science Regulator as well as representatives from other bodies that provides governance and oversight for the operation of the NDNAD.
Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) or Massive Parallel Sequencing (MPS)	This is the terms used to describe a number of high throughput approaches to DNA sequencing that allow the sequencing of DNA much more rapidly and cheaper than previously.
Partial DNA Profile	This is the term used to describe a profile when results have been obtained at some but not all of the sections of DNA which were analysed. Partial profiles are often obtained from samples recovered from crime scenes as the DNA may have been subject to conditions which have degraded it, which means that not all regions of DNA of interest are intact.
Phenotype	The physical manifestation of an individual's genotype combined with the effects of exposure to environmental factors (e.g. the hair colour, facial features, or personality traits of a person)
Phenotypic profiling	The use of DNA analysis in order to obtain information about externally visible traits, and/or the likely ethnic background, of a person. The information cannot be obtained from traditional STR profiles but requires a special type of analysis.
Rapid DNA Technology	Technology which has the ability to produce a DNA profile much faster than can be done using conventional technology and is also portable.
Second generation multiplex (SGM, SGM+)	A system of DNA profiling which was used in the UK until July 2014 which examines 10 sections of DNA plus a gender marker to produce a numerical DNA profile that can be loaded onto the National DNA Database. At each of the 10 areas an individual has two copies of DNA, one inherited from each of their parents.

OPEN

Short Tandem Repeat (STR)	Sections of DNA dispersed within coding and non-coding regions of the human genome that contain hundreds of repeats of a short sequence of DNA (2-6 nucleotides). Different people have different numbers of repeats and when a number of regions are analysed, the chance of two people having the same number of repeats at all loci is small. This is the underlying principle of DNA profiling.
Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (also referred to as SNPs – pronounced “snips”)	This is a variation at the level of single nucleotide bases that occurs at a specific position in a sequence of DNA.
Whole –exome sequencing	The sequencing of protein-coding genes in a genome.
Whole-genome sequencing	A range of techniques aimed at mapping the sequence of entire genomes (i.e. the entire DNA of a person not only protein coding genes) are subsumed under this definition.
Y-STR profile	See <i>STR profile</i> but restricted to regions found only on the Y-chromosome (which is only present in males).

OPEN

Annex A – Background document

ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING

AUTHORS

Professor Barbara Prainsack, NDNAD EG Member & Kings College London

Dr Barbara Daniel, Kings College London

Dr Denise Syndercombe-Court, Kings College London

Conflicts of Interests of Authors:

Professor Barbara Prainsack

Professor Prainsack has no conflicts of interest.

Dr Barbara Daniel

Dr Daniel's has no conflicts of interest.

Dr Denise Syndercombe-Court

Dr Syndercombe-Court's department employs a Knowledge Transfer Partnership associate who is jointly funded by Illumina who is looking at the concordance between NGS and the existing methodologies for the markers that are currently stored on the National DNA Database.

Dr Syndercombe-Court is a member of the European Forensic Genetics Network of Excellence (EuroForGen), funded by the EU which undertakes research using NGS techniques and considers the legal and ethical issues associated with new technologies across Europe.

1.0 Brief & Course of Action

1.1 Many novel NGS techniques are now ready to be validated and implemented for a variety of forensic uses. The manufacturers are currently seeking guidance before they finalise and lock down their systems for:

- Scientific/technical standards requirements that feed into the configuration/ format of the system(s) and validation studies
- Legal requirements for the access to, use/non use of genetic information that could disclose medical, ancestry or physical characteristics
- Ethical principles/requirements on the access to and use of genetic information that could disclose medical, ancestry or physical characteristics

1.2 Technology has progressed at a rapid pace. Ethical dimensions still need to be discussed and agreed to clarify the boundaries for NGS technologies and for future developments. NGS technologies are already used in the medical domain, such as in the area of gene and cancer therapy. In the forensic domain, a particular area of interest are advances in research in markers for physical appearance, including ageing. This requires ethical deliberation.

1.3 Kings College London (KCL) is actively engaged in research and is collaborating with various suppliers and manufacturers of this technology and have presented work they have done to date. As KCL is the current leading UK organisation for the NGS technology, it was proposed that National DNA Database Ethics Group (EG) member, Dr Barbara Prainsack met with Dr Barbara Daniel, both of KCL, to discuss the topic and to prepare the following discussion paper for the EG.

ETHICAL DIMENSIONS OF NEXT GENERATION SEQUENCING (NGS)

2.0 Background

- 2.1 For a long time the main DNA-based technology used for policing and forensic purposes were short tandem repeat (STR) profiles. STR profiling utilises the fact that individuals (except monozygotic twins and multiples) have different numbers of repeats of chains of nucleotides at the same location of their genome; the more different loci are compared, the chance that two different people coincidentally have the same number of repeats in all loci decreases. STR profiles in databases consist of combinations of numbers representing the STR count at given loci. It is not possible to make useful inferences regarding a person's externally visible traits or disease risk based on an STR profile.
- 2.2 Spurred by the rapid advance of high-throughput technologies, genetic and genome-based analysis has become much cheaper and faster in recent years. While police and forensic databases still use STR profiles, other genome-based technologies have become available and are used to support the investigation of individual cases. There are clear ethical challenges related to these developments. The purpose of this paper is to map these challenges to aid discussions in the NDNAD EG.

3.0 SNP analysis

- 3.1 Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) refer to variations at the level of single bases (nucleotides). They represent the most common type of genetic polymorphism in humans. Common and rare SNPs have been shown to underpin many diseases and traits.
- 3.2 *Traits and ancestry.* Findings of genome-wide association (GWA) studies have provided a wealth of information relevant to forensically useful phenotypes and traits. Many traits useful for forensics are highly

OPEN

heritable (over 80%) including eye and hair colour. For skin colour predictive values are currently significantly poorer.¹ Commercial kits are already available that include autosomal SNPs targeted at identification and ancestry estimation.²

- 3.3 A number of genetic models are emerging for the probabilistic prediction of quantitative traits by combining SNP results and haplotypes. SNPs can also give indications of a likely genetic ancestry (so-called ancestry informative markers, AIMs). In admixed societies such as UK, composite and specific genetic ancestry of people's respective grandparents can be of forensic value in criminal investigations particularly for examples in cases of unidentified crime victims.
- 3.4 Important advances are currently being made in the area of SNP-based inferences for male pattern baldness, hair structure, and facial features (see Kayser *et al.* 2015ⁱ for an overview) although the current research on determining body height from genetic markers has not revealed particularly useful sets, despite the high heritability.
- 3.5 *Identification despite degraded DNA samples.* Resolving profiles from poor quality DNA is a frequent necessity from DNA sample 'stains' recovered from crime scenes. For a while it was believed that SNPs are less sensitive to DNA degradation than STRs as polymerase chain reaction (PCR, a DNA amplification method) will often 'stutter' incorrectly across poly-nucleotide repeats in poor quality DNA (although this can be limited by use of smaller PCR amplicon products containing the STR loci of interest). It was originally thought that research looking at panels of 100,000's of SNPs would allow selection of around seventy SNPs with moderate to high minor allele frequencies

¹ See e.g. Identitas V1 Forensic Chip which includes several markers allowing inferences regarding EVC and ancestry; see identitascorp.com; <https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21728995-500-dna-identichip-gives-a-detailed-picture-of-a-suspect/>; see Kayser 2015 for an overview.

² E.g. by *Illumina* (<http://www.illumina.com/areas-of-interest/forensic-genomics.html>); *Thermo Fisher Scientific* (<https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/industrial/human-identification/next-gen-sequencing-for-forensics.html>).

The strategy of these two companies is different in that Illumina aims to replace the capillary electrophoresis (CE) method by NGS, while Thermo Fisher Scientific seeks to supplement CE by NGS. Also other companies (Qiagen and Promega) are developing strategies.

across all populations and that this would be sufficient for unequivocal identification matching of a given individual (Budowle 2007) and that SNPs would replace STR-based analysis at least for complex mixtures (especially for low-copy DNA where there is drop out and drop in of STR alleles). Replacement of the current technology is not considered likely today, partly because of the worldwide investment in STR databases, but also because improvements in chemistries now allows more probative analysis of degraded DNA. It is much more likely that identification SNPs will be used as a supplement to STRs in the future.

- 3.6 Last but not least, 'ultra-deep' sequencing on the basis of SNPs has also enabled the differentiation between sets of identical twins (who always have the same STR profile).

4.0 Next generation sequencing (NGS)

- 4.1 Very broadly speaking, there are three main approaches used within next generation sequencing: (1) the use of specific gene panels (including anything from ten to several hundreds of selected genes), (2) whole exome sequencing looking at the protein coding part of the DNA, which contains about 85 % of known disease causing variants (WES) (Choi *et al.* 2009)ⁱⁱ and whole genome sequencing (WGS).³
- 4.2 It is likely that whole genome sequencing of individuals will be cost effective for forensic application within a few years. However focused sequencing of mitochondrial, Y and other already forensically employed STR loci has obvious advantages for reduced cost and simplicity moreover, the massive datasets produced by NGS technologies pose considerable problems for data storage (Brion *et al.* 2010ⁱⁱⁱ and Weber-Lehmann *et al.* 2014)^{iv}. These approaches are also likely to offer a more useful approach when dealing with low template DNA.⁴ PCR is a

³ We leave shotgun sequencing – i.e. the reconstruction of a long strands of DNA on the basis of random 'shots' at different parts of it that will then be assembled together – out of this overview as it is not suitable for forensic analysis; two sequencing experiments of samples from the same person, e.g. from a crime scene stain and a subject sample, may yield different results because they look at different targets

⁴ Low template DNA, also referred to as low copy number DNA (LCN), signifies DNA from samples that contain less than the 250pg (>100pg) necessary to produce a complete profile using the standard number of PCR cycles.

competitive process and more likely to be successful if only a limited number of SNPs are targeted. Currently, looking beyond STRs for identification requires additional material to be available for analysis, which may be problematic when the crime scene sample is compromised. NGS solutions will enable different approaches – identification, phenotypics, geographic ancestry and age – to be reported simultaneously. Some companies are producing different sets to comply with legislative differences between different jurisdictions.

- 4.3 The developed sets will almost certainly include almost all of the currently available STR identification markers. An additional advantage will come from the increased allelic variation provided through sequence differences within an STR repeat revealed with NGS. In addition to improving match statistics the approach will allow for increased precision when interpreting complex mixtures and when calculating relatedness statistics. NGS will therefore enable STR-based identification of known offenders with increased predictive value, and also provide high confidence ancestry, phenotype and relatedness predictions for those samples where there is no match on a DNA database, all at a manageable cost from a single sample.

5.0 Epigenetics

- 5.1 Variations not only in the DNA sequence but in how genes are regulated and expressed offer new ways of identification, which could help distinguish monozygotic ('identical') twins or multiples who share the same DNA sequence (Li *et al.* 2013)^v. Typically these approaches are looking for differences in a chemical modification of the sequence, rather than a difference in the sequence; the methylation of the cytosine residue is one such modification commonly used. These epigenetic changes will vary within genes controlling the function of different tissues, offering insights into tissue origin, but they are also environment driven and are helpful in determining the age of the person who has left a stain (Bocklandt *et al.* 2010)^{vi}.

6.0 Metagenomics

- 6.1 The current focus of metagenomic microbial studies has been on animals with the expectation that techniques will be transferable to humans in the future. The main studies of the human microbiome for forensic application have been based on biological warfare agents and more recently saliva (Hasan *et al*, 2014)^{vii}. Analysis currently focuses on pure cultures within the laboratory, therefore consideration has to be made about the extra information obtained from forensic samples from different sources and possibly multiple contributors.
- 6.2 The use of shotgun amplification in a metagenomics approach, instead of the traditional 16S rRNA, produces a much wider analysis of the genome and as a result a vast increase in data. Current difficulties include assigning reads obtained from reference genomes because large areas of the genome have not yet been sequenced, therefore making this data presently unavailable. As investigation and research in to this area progress, these 'orphan reads' will be able to be aligned. At that point, more information will be available for other researchers to use.

7.0 Ethical challenges

- 7.1 If SNP data correlating with known (not externally visible) phenotypes are held in national databases, then governments could query the databases to assess if associations for aggressive behaviour or criminally relevant traits or phenotypes are evident. When research in this field advances, profiles of 'risky' individuals, even in the absence of (re-)offending, could then be retained for longer periods than those of others. Similarly, if SNP data were divulged to third parties (such as employers or insurance companies), discrimination on the basis of supposed genetic risks could ensue. At present, most countries have legislation in place that prohibits the use of forensic DNA samples or profiles for any other purpose than forensic identification^{viii}.

- 7.2 Moreover, SNP analysis could yield more in-depth information about the possible distant genetic relatedness between individuals whose profiles are stored in the database. While the approach, known as ‘familial searching’ or ‘genetic proximity testing’ in the context of STR profiles, has helped to solve several cases in the US and the UK, its efficacy could improve further with the use of SNP testing. This, however, would also exacerbate the concerns voiced in connection with the approach. Furthermore, genetic proximity testing could reinforce views about the alleged prevalence of criminality in certain families; reveal to relatives that a genetic relative has a profile on the database; or even reveal a genetic link (or lack thereof) between individuals unaware of it. For example, this might reveal paternity information that the parties involved had not asked for and that potentially could disrupt social and familial structures (Haimes *et al.* 2006^{ix} and Greely *et al.* 2006^x). Finally, it has been argued that the use of genetic proximity testing, reinforces existing demographic disparities in the criminal justice system, in which arrests and convictions differ widely based on race, ethnicity, geographic location, and social class (Greely *et al.* 2006^{xi}, Bieber *et al.* 2006^{xii} and Kim *et al.* 2011^{xiii}).
- 7.3 Whilst some panels of SNPs and other markers have been shown to provide accurate predictions of what someone looks like, or where they are likely to have originated from, concerns have been voiced with regard to making inferences about externally visible traits (EVC) and other traits from DNA material. All forensic interpretation is made using a probabilistic approach and it is vital that any prediction is made with a full understanding of the likely error rates, that the tests are fully validated with blind testing and are presented for intelligence use only, in order to avoid over-interpretation of the data. It has been argued that because of its probabilistic nature, phenotypic profiling is especially prone to misinterpretation (Cho & Sankar *et al.* 2004^{xiv}). It has also been argued that due to its probabilistic nature, insofar as ‘predictions’ of EVC are concerned, it is of utmost importance that criminal investigators keep in mind that the perpetrator may look very different from what the test result suggests (i.e. he may have blue eyes despite

OPEN

the test ‘predicting’ brown eyes with 68 per cent likelihood), and that intelligence led mass screening should not be based on EVC predictions (M’Charek *et al.* 2012)^{xv}.

- 7.4 There are already examples where EVCs have been used to produce ‘photofits’ of potential suspects that may be erroneous (and examples of facial comparisons provided by companies using this approach oddly have managed to predict the same hair style). Such an approach, currently based on very limited information and which certainly does not build in an ageing effect, can be very dangerous, although the separate predictions can be useful intelligence. Despite that, an EVC approach used in an historic murder in Spain, solved by traditional STR typing, accurately predicted the North African ancestry, dark eyes and dark hair, but the skin tone of the individual arrested was not as predicted. We already know that skin tone predictions are more prone to error and it may be better to use an approach where one can be more certain about the prediction – not pale skin, for example – along with the certainty of any prediction.
- 7.5 Also in the realm of STR profiles, new challenges lie ahead. The EG has already looked into the issue of identifying male lineages as a result of new Y-chromosomal markers (Y STR markers) being proposed for use in DNA profiling in England and Wales. The EG advised in favour of the use of Y-STR information in connection with serious crimes without opening the door to routine/speculative searches of genealogical links between males.⁵ The use of NGS, and newer STR approaches, will provide this genetic information by default, however, and so its governance must be considered.
- 7.6 But also currently available autosomal (i.e. non-chromosomal) STR markers can provide 1st and 2nd degree relationships to be ascertained if necessary, and the NGS platforms will allow the

⁵ Specifically the EG advised that: “Provided that searches on the basis of small numbers of markers (including Y-STR marker/s) will be governed by the same rules as familial searching on the basis of autosomal markers – i.e. if they were used for the most serious crimes only, and only after approval from the NDNAD Strategy Board in each individual case, then the EG does not see a need for a public consultation on this matter. If, however, searches including small numbers of Y-STR markers were used in a wider range of cases (e.g. volume crimes), then there may be a case for a public consultation.” (EG’s DNA20+ Position Paper, October 2014)

OPEN

possibility of going further without a separate workflow to reveal relationships that most people would be unaware of and could result in suggestions of a 'criminal gene'.

- 7.7 Also the use of human models in a metabiomic approach will pose ethical challenges. It is a sensitive technique that produces large volumes of data, of which some will have the potential to highlight sensitive information, such as the likelihood of certain diseases. For instance, a few studies have looked into gut microbiota in the faeces of animals to determine links between gut microbiota and obesity. This moves on from looking at the microbes that are present in a sample to which ones are active and the active processes that are occurring within a human body.
- 7.8 A way to address many of the ethical concerns is to create analysis pipelines as a safeguard to ensure that only forensically useful information is obtained rather than sensitive information. In the future, discussions will be needed in order to determine whether information which has been identified as forensically useful but also as sensitive can be included in forensic analyses. Due to the uncertainty related to the capabilities of these methods and the vast areas where studies need to be carried out, at present it is believed that such methods should be used as a theoretical investigative tool rather than being used to produce active conclusions. This is, however, a developing area and will need a watching oversight.

8.0 Error rates

- 8.1 The error rates of these new technologies have not been fully explored, or not sufficiently within a worldwide population. While we may be able to predict that someone has blue eyes with 91% certainty, if you are Dutch, how does that translate across populations? Moreover, eye colour is one of the best EVC methods available. Blind studies of geographical ancestry are very promising but again cannot provide certainty because of individuals of mixed ancestry and the lack of research that has been undertaken in some areas of the world. It is

OPEN

important that predictions do not produce false positives and the uncertainties must be made clear; already some companies are producing 'heat maps' of likely ancestry that may be used to drive an inappropriate investigation, and revealing this descriptive information to the public in a high profile case is likely to undermine the usefulness of the approach in the future if revealed to be wrong.

- 8.2 Reliable software: In the case of NGS data, it is very difficult to analyse the sequence manually. The reporting of NGS results thus depends on the availability of reliable software. An additional complication is that reliability thresholds for clinical use are not likely to be sufficient for forensic analysis, and that the standards and parameters for validation cannot, as is typically the case in the context of medical applications, remain entirely up to commercial companies and thus unavailable to scrutiny by public authorities (Borsting & Morling 2015)^{xvi}.
- 8.3 With the potential availability of sequence that can be looked at to reveal potential susceptibilities to disease, once such a condition has been revealed the question as to whether there should be searches of medical databases (many of which will be freely available to all, offering individual although anonymised, data) needs to be considered. A recent forensic ethics workshop was of the view that forensic and medical databases should be separately compartmentalised and that there should be no cross interrogation. This is, however, not a new area for forensic geneticists – even prior to DNA analysis disease, or disease susceptibility, was often revealed to the individual scientist, but never taken further due to the code of practice held by the individual or institution. Should we be looking for information that will influence appearance? One might argue that some genes that predict height will also be related to conditions that produce extremes of height in an individual, sufficient to be considered a disease, but should we target genes that might reveal the presence of a cleft palate in an individual, or albinism, for example? However the likely availability of such information in every analysis is something that will need a consideration of governance structures.

9.0 References

-
- ⁱ Kayser M. Forensic DNA phenotyping: predicting human appearance from crime scene material for investigative purposes. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* (2015) 18, 33-4
- ⁱⁱ Choi M, Scholl UI *et al.* Genetic diagnosis by whole exome capture and massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. (2009) 106(45),19096-19101.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Brion M, Quintela I *et al.* New technologies in the genetic approach to sudden cardiac death in the young. *Forensic science international*. (2010) 203(1),15-24.
- ^{iv} Weber-Lehmann J, Schilling E *et al.* Finding the needle in the haystack: Differentiating “identical” twins in paternity testing and forensics by ultra-deep next generation sequencing. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* (2014) 9, 42-46.
- ^v Li C, Zhao, S *et al.* Differences of DNA methylation profiles between monozygotic twins’ blood samples. *Molecular biology reports* (2013); 40(9), 5275-5280.
- ^{vi} Bocklandt S, Lin W *et al.* Epigenetic predictor of age. *PLoS one*. (2010) 6(6), e14821-e14821.
- ^{vii} Hasan NA, Young BA *et al.* Microbial Community Profiling of Human Saliva Using Shotgun Metagenomic Sequencing. *PLoS ONE* (2014) 9(5): e97699.
- ^{viii} Wienroth M, Morling N, Williams R. Technological Innovations in Forensic Genetics: Social, Legal and Ethical Aspects. *Recent Advances in DNA and Gene Sequences* (2014) 8 98-103.
- ^{ix} Haimes, E. Social and ethical issues in the use of familial searching in forensic investigations: insights from family and kinship studies. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* (2006) 34(2), 263-276.
- ^x Greely HT, Riordan, DP. Family ties: the use of DNA offender databases to catch offenders' kin. *The Journal Of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. (2006) 34(2), 248-262.
- ^{xi} Greely HT, Riordan, DP. Family ties: the use of DNA offender databases to catch offenders' kin. *The Journal Of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. (2006) 34(2), 248-262.
- ^{xii} Bieber, FR. Turning base hits into earned runs: improving the effectiveness of forensic DNA data bank programs. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* (2006) 34(2), 222-233.
- ^{xiii} Kim J, Mammo D *et al.* Policy implications for familial searching. *Investigative genetics* (2011) 2(1), 1.
- ^{xiv} Cho MK & Sankar P. Forensic genetics and ethical, legal and social implications beyond the clinic. *Nature genetics* (2004) 36, S8-S12.
- ^{xv} M'charek A, Toom V & Prainsack B. Bracketing off populations does not advance ethical reflection on EVCs: a reply to Kayser and Schneider. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* (2012) 6(1), e16-e17.
- ^{xvi} Børsting C & Morling N. Next generation sequencing and its applications in forensic genetics. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* (2015) 18: 78-89.