

Review of an Environmental Permit under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2010 (“EPR”)

Decision document recording our decision-making process

We have decided to vary the Permit for G.M.P. Baird Limited, 47 Tonge Bridge Way operated by G.M.P. Baird Limited, as a result of an application made by the Operator.

The Permit number is EPR/GP3896CS

The Variation notice number is EPR/GP3896CS/V004

What this document is about

This is a decision document, which accompanies a variation notice.

This decision document:

- explains how the application has been determined
- provides a record of the decision-making process
- shows how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- justifies the specific conditions in the permit other than those in our generic permit template.

Preliminary information and use of terms

We refer to the Permit (both existing and as varied) as “the **Permit**” in this document; and to the variation of the Permit as “the **Variation**”.

The Operator of the Installation is G.M.P. Baird Limited: we call G.M.P. Baird Limited “the **Operator**” in this document. We refer to G.M.P. Baird Limited’s 47 Tonge Bridge Way facility as “the **Installation**”.

The Application was duly made on 23 December 2014.

How this document is structured

- Our decision
- The legal framework
- How we took our decision
- Key issues in the determination
- Annex 1 – the decision checklist
- Annex 2 – web publicising

1 Our decision

We have issued a Variation, which will allow the Operator to operate their facility as an Installation, subject to the conditions in the varied Permit.

This Variation does several different things:

- **First**, it gives effect to our decisions following the identification of the Operator as undertaking a “newly prescribed activity” (NPA) under the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED);
- **Second**, it takes the opportunity to bring earlier variations into an up-to-date, consolidated Permit. The consolidated Permit should be easier to understand and use; and
- **Third**, it modernises the entire Permit to reflect our current template. The template reflects our modern regulatory permitting philosophy and was introduced because of a change in the governing legislation. This took place when the Pollution Prevention and Control (England and Wales) Regulations 2000 (“PPC”) were replaced in 2008 by a new statutory regime under the Environmental Permitting Regulations 2007 (now the 2010 version).

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have disappeared because of the new regulatory approach, it does not affect the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way.

We consider that, in reaching our decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the Permit will continue to ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The original Permit, issued on 13 July 1994, ensured that the facility would be operated in a manner which would ensure the protection of the environment specified in the existing Guidance at the time. To the extent that we have substantively altered the Permit as a result of this variation, the new requirements will deliver a higher level of protection to that which was previously achieved.

As we explained above, we do not address changes to the Permit in this document, to the extent that they give effect to either the consolidation of earlier variations, or introduce new template conditions.

2 The legal framework

The original Permit was granted on 13 July 1994 under the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and regulated under the Waste Management Licensing Regulations 1994.

The Installation will be subject to the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) 2010/75/EU and regulated under the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 (SI 2010 No 675). The IED was transposed in England and Wales by the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales)(Amendment) Regulations 2013 on 27 February 2013.

The IED seeks to achieve a high level of protection for the environment taken as a whole from harmful effects of industrial activities. It does so by requiring each of the industrial installations to have a permit from the competent authority (in England, the Environment Agency, or for smaller Installations, the relevant Local Authority). The IED has increased the number of activities that require an Installations permit. These are predominantly regulated as “waste operations” and include (when exceeding specific thresholds described in IED):

- hazardous waste treatment for recovery;
- hazardous waste storage;
- biowaste treatment – recovery and/or disposal;
- treatment of slags and ashes
- metals shredding;
- pre-treatment of waste for incineration/co-incineration;
- biological production of chemicals; and
- independently operated wastewater treatment works serving only industrial activities subject to the Directive

Article 11 of the IED requires the relevant authority (the Environment Agency in this case) to ensure that the Installation is operated in such a way that all the appropriate preventative measures are taken against pollution, in particular through the application of Best Available Techniques (BAT). Under Article 15(2), the Permit must contain emission limit values (ELVs) (or equivalent parameters or technical measures) for any pollutants likely to be emitted from the Installation in significant quantities. These ELVs are to be based on BAT, but also on local factors and EU Environmental Quality Standards. The overarching requirement is to ensure a high level of protection for the environment and human health.

We are required by Article 13 of the IED to keep abreast of developments in BAT. In addition, Article 13 requires us to carry out a periodic review of the permit’s conditions, and to update them if necessary.

The IED also requires the European Commission to organise an exchange of information between EU Member States so that what are known as BAT reference documents (or BREF notes) can be published, creating a level playing field across the EU, providing a consistent set of standards for new

plant, to which regulatory authorities in the Member States can then have reference. These BREF notes are the basis for our own national sector technical guidance. The Commission is also required to update BREF notes on a regular basis. The waste treatment BREF notes are currently being reviewed and a final issue date is anticipated in 2016. Under the IED, all permits will be subject to review within four years of the publication of revised BREF notes. This means that we will need to do a further review against any new standards in the BREF notes at sometime in the future.

The IED is to be implemented over several years commencing from 7 January 2013. For existing installations operating “newly prescribed activities”, the relevant date for implementation is 7 July 2015.

3 How we reached our decision

It is the Operator's responsibility to ensure they are correctly regulated for the activities they are carrying out. Following adoption of the IED, the Environment Agency has engaged in a range of briefings and communications with the waste industry sector to raise awareness of the implications of the Directive and the need to ensure their facilities are correctly regulated (particularly after the implementation date of 7 July 2015 for newly prescribed activities).

Early in 2014, the Environment Agency provided further briefings to industry trade bodies and wrote to operators we believed may be implicated by these changes. We provided detailed information sheets that described the implications and the process operators should follow if they decided to have their activities permitted as Installations.

We confirmed that most facilities fell into one of two groups:

- Facilities permitted from April 2007

When these facilities were permitted, a thorough assessment would have been carried out to confirm whether the proposed activities were using "appropriate measures" as a standard to protect the environment.

This standard of protection is the same standards that would have been assessed against had the facilities applied as an Installation activity (i.e. BAT). The permit would have also been issued with modern conditions that ensured protection of the environment.

We consider that these facilities are effectively 'IED-compliant' in terms of the technical standard of the facility with the exception of the "newly prescribed activity". For these facilities, we consider that, in general, no further technical assessment is required, so administrative variations are an appropriate mechanism to show the activities as Installation activities. The administrative variation is a necessary route for the Operator to formally ask for this activity to be included in their permit and for us to advertise that request on our Public Register.

It is understood that the Environment Agency granted permits for new waste activities under the Waste Management Licensing Regulations 1994 beyond April 2007. Where a facility falls into this group, the Environment Agency shall determine whether or not the application was assessed using "appropriate measures". Where it is determined that the application was assessed using "appropriate measures", the application will be designated as an "administrative variation".

- Facilities permitted before April 2007

For these facilities, a "normal" or "substantial" variation is appropriate because a detailed technical assessment is required on aspects of the Application in addition to the administrative changes.

Substantial variations will only be relevant where the newly prescribed activity is being added to an existing installation permit.

This Variation

The original Permit was granted on 13 July 1994 and subsequently varied on 7 November 2008 and 31 March 2014. We have reviewed the documentation submitted in support of the original permit and subsequent variation applications in this determination. We are not satisfied that the standard of protection was assessed using appropriate measures. We have determined this Application as a normal variation. As the Variation will not have any negative effects on the environment, it is not a substantial variation and so does not require consulting on.

4 Key issues in the determination

Improvement conditions

Improvement condition IC1 has been included as part of this variation. It requires the Operator to review and update their operating techniques against appropriate BAT. The operator's working plan was prepared in 2008. It needs to be updated to ensure that

- it reflects operations at the site;
- it includes infrastructure improvement measures implemented since this date; and
- current operating measures are in line with up-to-date BAT.

We have included improvement condition IC2 to require the Operator to develop and implement a monitoring programme for surface water arisings that are discharged to sewer to ensure there are no fugitive emissions from the site.

We have included improvement condition IC3 which requires the Operator to provide a revised site layout plan to demonstrate that the facility has identified and manages storage and treatment capacities, taking into consideration the increase of the annual throughput from 2,080 tonnes to 10,000 tonnes.

We have included improvement condition IC4 to require the Operator to develop and implement an inspection and maintenance plan for kerbs, and other secondary containment measures, pits and drains to ensure there are no fugitive emissions from the site.

Annex 1 – decision checklist

This document should be read in conjunction with the Duly Making checklist, the application and supporting information and notice.

| Aspect considered | Justification / Detail | Criteria met |
|------------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | Yes |
| Consultation | | |
| Responses to web publicising | No public responses were received in response to the web publicising of the application. | ✓ |
| Operator | | |
| Control of the facility | We are satisfied that the applicant (now the operator) is the person who will have control over the operation of the facility after the grant of the permit. The decision was taken in accordance with EPR RGN 1 Understanding the meaning of operator. | ✓ |
| The facility | | |
| The regulated facility | <p>The extent/nature of the facilities taking place at the site required clarification.</p> <p>The decision on the facility was taken in accordance with RGN 2.</p> <p>The regulated facility is an installation which comprises the following activities listed in Part 2 of Schedule 1 to the Environmental Permitting Regulations and the following directly associated activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disposal or recovery of hazardous waste with a capacity exceeding 10 tonnes per day involving physic-chemical treatment (Section 5.3 Part A(1)(a)(ii)); • Temporary storage of hazardous waste in a facility with a total capacity exceeding 50 tonnes pending any of the activities listed in Section 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 (Section 5.6 Part A(1)(a); • Storage of processed materials; • Raw material storage; • Process water and contaminated surface water collection, storage and discharge; and • Uncontaminated surface water collection, storage and discharge. <p>The facility operates the following waste activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage and manual treatment of non-hazardous WEEE and manual treatment of hazardous WEEE; | ✓ |

| Aspect considered | Justification / Detail | Criteria met |
|---|--|--------------|
| | | Yes |
| | <p>and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metal recycling. | |
| European Directives | | |
| Applicable Directives | All applicable European Directives have been considered in the determination of the application. | ✓ |
| The site | | |
| Extent of the site of the facility | The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility. A plan is included in the permit and the operator is required to carry on the permitted activities within the site boundary. | ✓ |
| Biodiversity, Heritage, Landscape and Nature Conservation | <p>The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.</p> <p>The Tonge River Section Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) is present approximately 63m to the south-west of the facility. A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site has been carried out as part of the permitting process. We consider that the application will not affect the site.</p> <p>We have not formally consulted on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.</p> | ✓ |
| Environmental Risk Assessment and operating techniques | | |
| Environmental risk | <p>We have reviewed the operator's assessment of the environmental risk from the facility.</p> <p>The operator's risk assessment is satisfactory.</p> <p>The assessment shows that, applying the conservative criteria in our guidance on Environmental Risk Assessment [or similar methodology supplied by the operator and reviewed by ourselves], all emissions may be categorised as environmentally insignificant.</p> | ✓ |
| Operating techniques | <p>We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IPPC S5.06 – Guidance for the Treatment of Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Waste; <p>The techniques are largely in line with the above technical</p> | ✓ |

| Aspect considered | Justification / Detail | Criteria met |
|---|--|--------------|
| | | Yes |
| | <p>guidance notes. However, the operating techniques need to be revised to reflect changes to operations and site infrastructure and to include a monitoring plan for surface water arisings and the adjacent watercourse.</p> <p>We are satisfied with the BAT assessment provided by the operator which adequately addresses the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • pre-acceptance of waste • acceptance of waste • storage and handling of waste • process (treatment) description • fugitive emissions to air • fugitive emissions to surface and groundwater odour management | |
| The permit conditions | | |
| Updating permit conditions during consolidation | We have updated previous permit conditions to those in the new generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The new conditions have the same meaning as those in the previous permit(s). | ✓ |
| Raw materials | We have specified limits and controls on the use of raw materials and fuels as required by The Sulphur Content of Liquid Fuels (England and Wales) Amendment) Regulations 2014. | ✓ |
| Waste types | <p>We have specified the permitted waste types, descriptions and quantities, which can be accepted at the regulated facility. We are satisfied that the operator can accept these wastes because they have the necessary infrastructure, operating systems and technical capability to manage these wastes in an appropriate manner.</p> <p>We made these decisions with respect to waste types in accordance with our Technical Guidance Note WM2 – <i>Hazardous Waste</i> or other relevant guidance.</p> | ✓ |
| Improvement conditions | <p>Based on the information on the application, we consider that we need to impose improvement conditions.</p> <p>We have imposed improvement conditions to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ the site's working plan is reviewed to reflect changes in the site and its infrastructure and that it is updated against the standards specified in the | ✓ |

| Aspect considered | Justification / Detail | Criteria met |
|-------------------|---|--------------|
| | | Yes |
| | <p>technical guidance note:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IPPC S5.06 – Guidance for the Treatment of Hazardous and Non-Hazardous Waste ➤ the appropriate measures are in place to prevent fugitive emissions. <p>See Key Issues section of the decision document.</p> | |
| Emission limits | We have not set emission limits for the parameters listed in the permit. | ✓ |
| Monitoring | We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified. | ✓ |
| Reporting | We have specified reporting in the permit. Reporting forms have been prepared to facilitate reporting of data in a consistent format. These reporting requirements are deemed sufficient and proportional for the Installation.. We made these decisions in accordance with Regulatory Guidance Note 4 – Setting standards for environmental protection | ✓ |

Annex 2 – Web publicising

No responses were received in response to the web publication.