




UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations

Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis



Acknowledgments

UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations (SMIs) are developed under the auspices of Public Health England (PHE) working in partnership with the National Health Service (NHS), Public Health Wales and with the professional organisations whose logos are displayed below and listed on the website <http://www.hpa.org.uk/SMI/Partnerships>. SMIs are developed, reviewed and revised by various working groups which are overseen by a steering committee (see <http://www.hpa.org.uk/SMI/WorkingGroups>).

The contributions of many individuals in clinical, specialist and reference laboratories who have provided information and comments during the development of this document are acknowledged. We are grateful to the Medical Editors for editing the medical content.

We also acknowledge Dr Bridget Atkins, of the Bone Infection Unit, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NHS for her considerable specialist input.

For further information please contact us at:

Standards Unit
Microbiology Services
Public Health England
61 Colindale Avenue
London NW9 5EQ
E-mail: standards@phe.gov.uk

Website: <http://www.hpa.org.uk/SMI>

UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations are produced in association with:



Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	2
AMENDMENT TABLE	4
UK SMI: SCOPE AND PURPOSE	5
SCOPE OF DOCUMENT	7
INTRODUCTION	7
TECHNICAL INFORMATION/LIMITATIONS	14
1 SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS	15
2 SPECIMEN COLLECTION	15
3 SPECIMEN TRANSPORT AND STORAGE	16
4 SPECIMEN PROCESSING/PROCEDURE	16
5 REPORTING PROCEDURE	20
6 NOTIFICATION TO PHE OR EQUIVALENT IN THE DEVOLVED ADMINISTRATIONS	21
APPENDIX 1: INVESTIGATION OF BONE AND SOFT TISSUE ASSOCIATED WITH OSTEOMYELITIS	22
REFERENCES	23

DRAFT - THIS DOCUMENT WAS CONSULTED ON BETWEEN 15 SEPTEMBER - 13 OCTOBER 2014



NICE has accredited the process used by Public Health England to produce Standards for Microbiology Investigations. Accreditation is valid for 5 years from July 2011. More information on accreditation can be viewed at www.nice.org.uk/accreditation.

For full details on our accreditation visit: www.nice.org.uk/accreditation.

Amendment Table

Each SMI method has an individual record of amendments. The current amendments are listed on this page. The amendment history is available from standards@phe.gov.uk.

New or revised documents should be controlled within the laboratory in accordance with the local quality management system.

Amendment No/Date.	4/dd.mm.yy <tab+enter>
Issue no. discarded.	1.3
Insert Issue no.	## <tab+enter>
Section(s) involved	Amendment

Amendment No/Date.	3/27.05.12
Issue no. discarded.	1.2
Insert Issue no.	1.3
Section(s) involved	Amendment
Whole document.	<p>Document has been transferred to a new template to reflect the Health Protection Agency's transition to Public Health England.</p> <p>Front page has been redesigned.</p> <p>Status page has been renamed as Scope and Purpose and updated as appropriate.</p> <p>Professional body logos have been reviewed and updated.</p> <p>Standard safety and notification references have been reviewed and updated.</p> <p>Scientific content remains unchanged.</p>

UK SMI[#]: Scope and Purpose

Users of SMIs

Primarily, SMIs are intended as a general resource for practising professionals operating in the field of laboratory medicine and infection specialties in the UK. SMIs also provide clinicians with information about the available test repertoire and the standard of laboratory services they should expect for the investigation of infection in their patients, as well as providing information that aids the electronic ordering of appropriate tests. The documents also provide commissioners of healthcare services with the appropriateness and standard of microbiology investigations they should be seeking as part of the clinical and public health care package for their population.

Background to SMIs

SMIs comprise a collection of recommended algorithms and procedures covering all stages of the investigative process in microbiology from the pre-analytical (clinical syndrome) stage to the analytical (laboratory testing) and post-analytical (result interpretation and reporting) stages. Syndromic algorithms are supported by more detailed documents containing advice on the investigation of specific diseases and infections. Guidance notes cover the clinical background, differential diagnosis, and appropriate investigation of particular clinical conditions. Quality guidance notes describe laboratory processes which underpin quality, for example assay validation.

Standardisation of the diagnostic process through the application of SMIs helps to assure the equivalence of investigation strategies in different laboratories across the UK and is essential for public health surveillance, research and development activities.

Equal Partnership Working

SMIs are developed in equal partnership with PHE, NHS, Royal College of Pathologists and professional societies. The list of participating societies may be found at <http://www.hpa.org.uk/SMI/Partnerships>. Inclusion of a logo in an SMI indicates participation of the society in equal partnership and support for the objectives and process of preparing SMIs. Nominees of professional societies are members of the Steering Committee and Working Groups which develop SMIs. The views of nominees cannot be rigorously representative of the members of their nominating organisations nor the corporate views of their organisations. Nominees act as a conduit for two way reporting and dialogue. Representative views are sought through the consultation process. SMIs are developed, reviewed and updated through a wide consultation process.

Quality Assurance

NICE has accredited the process used by the SMI Working Groups to produce SMIs. The accreditation is applicable to all guidance produced since October 2009. The process for the development of SMIs is certified to ISO 9001:2008. SMIs represent a good standard of practice to which all clinical and public health microbiology laboratories in the UK are expected to work. SMIs are NICE accredited and represent

[#] Microbiology is used as a generic term to include the two GMC-recognised specialties of Medical Microbiology (which includes Bacteriology, Mycology and Parasitology) and Medical Virology.

neither minimum standards of practice nor the highest level of complex laboratory investigation possible. In using SMIs, laboratories should take account of local requirements and undertake additional investigations where appropriate. SMIs help laboratories to meet accreditation requirements by promoting high quality practices which are auditable. SMIs also provide a reference point for method development. The performance of SMIs depends on competent staff and appropriate quality reagents and equipment. Laboratories should ensure that all commercial and in-house tests have been validated and shown to be fit for purpose. Laboratories should participate in external quality assessment schemes and undertake relevant internal quality control procedures.

Patient and Public Involvement

The SMI Working Groups are committed to patient and public involvement in the development of SMIs. By involving the public, health professionals, scientists and voluntary organisations the resulting SMI will be robust and meet the needs of the user. An opportunity is given to members of the public to contribute to consultations through our open access website.

Information Governance and Equality

PHE is a Caldicott compliant organisation. It seeks to take every possible precaution to prevent unauthorised disclosure of patient details and to ensure that patient-related records are kept under secure conditions. The development of SMIs are subject to PHE Equality objectives

http://www.hpa.org.uk/webc/HPAwebFile/HPAweb_C/1317133470313.

The SMI Working Groups are committed to achieving the equality objectives by effective consultation with members of the public, partners, stakeholders and specialist interest groups.

Legal Statement

Whilst every care has been taken in the preparation of SMIs, PHE and any supporting organisation, shall, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, exclude liability for all losses, costs, claims, damages or expenses arising out of or connected with the use of an SMI or any information contained therein. If alterations are made to an SMI, it must be made clear where and by whom such changes have been made.

The evidence base and microbial taxonomy for the SMI is as complete as possible at the time of issue. Any omissions and new material will be considered at the next review. These standards can only be superseded by revisions of the standard, legislative action, or by NICE accredited guidance.

SMIs are Crown copyright which should be acknowledged where appropriate.

Suggested Citation for this Document

Public Health England. (YYYY <tab+enter>). Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis. UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations. B 42 Issue xx. <http://www.hpa.org.uk/SMI/pdf>

Scope of Document

Type of Specimen

Intra-operative samples of bone or bone biopsies sent for clinical diagnosis.

For biopsies and aspirates sent for the investigation of prosthetic joint infections see [B 44 – Investigation of Prosthetic Joint Infection Samples](#).

Scope

This SMI describes the processing and microbiological investigation of bone and soft tissue associated with osteomyelitis.

This SMI should be used in conjunction with other SMIs.

Introduction

Osteomyelitis is a progressive infection which results in inflammation of the bone and causes bone destruction, necrosis and deformation¹. Two classification systems of osteomyelitis are currently in use; the Waldvogel classification and the Cierney-Mader classification^{2,3}.

The Waldvogel classification is an etiological classification based on the pathogenesis of the disease. It categorises on duration (acute/chronic), source of infection (contiguous focus originating from local infected tissue or haematogenous bacteraemic seeding of the bone) and vascular insufficiency (eg diabetic foot infection)^{2,4}. In addition contiguous-focus osteomyelitis may be associated with an orthopaedic device such as a fracture fixation device or prosthesis. There are several limitations to this classification; it does not include infection caused by direct inoculation into the bone (for example caused by trauma) and also due to the fact that it is based on pathogenesis of disease, it does not lend itself to use in clinical practice⁴.

The Cierney-Mader classification is a clinical 'staged' classification. Initially cases are categorised into one of four stages of osteomyelitis; stage 1 (medullary), stage 2 (superficial), stage 3 (localised) or stage 4 (diffuse)^{4,5}. The patient is then categorised as either A, B or C. Patients in category A do not have systemic or local compromising factors, those in category B are affected by systemic and/or local compromising factors and those patients in category C are severely compromise, and treatment is worse than the disease⁴. Limitations to this method of classification include the fact that patient category C is subjective, and the classification does not take the duration of the disease into consideration⁴.

For the purpose of this SMI the structure of the introduction has been arranged based on the Waldvogel classification.

In children the commonest site for infection is in the growing ends of long bones. In adults, the spine is the most common site. Risk factors for adult (haematogenous) osteomyelitis include sickle cell disease, immune deficiencies and intravenous drug use⁶.

Gram positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, coagulase negative staphylococci, *Enterococcus* species, etc) are most often isolated from bone and soft tissue samples; however Gram negative bacteria and fungi may also be isolated. Gram negative bacilli

when isolated are of major clinical importance due to their antimicrobial resistance patterns⁷.

Acute Contiguous-Focus Osteomyelitis

In contiguous-focus osteomyelitis, the organisms may be inoculated at the time of trauma or during intra-operative or peri-operative procedures. Alternatively they may extend from an adjacent soft tissue focus of infection. Common predisposing factors include surgical reduction and fixation of fractures, prosthetic devices, open fractures and chronic soft tissue infections (see [B 14 – Investigation of Abscesses and Deep Seated Wound Infections](#)). In general the microbiology of contiguous osteomyelitis is more complex than that of haematogenous osteomyelitis and is commonly polymicrobial.

Contiguous-Focus Osteomyelitis without Vascular Insufficiency

Puncture wounds of the foot through footwear such as training shoes are particularly associated with osteomyelitis due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*⁸⁻¹⁰. Osteomyelitis following human bites and tooth socket infections affecting the mandible are often caused by strict anaerobes for example *Actinomyces* species; in children anaerobic bone and joint infections are rare^{11,12}.

Many of the principles applied to prosthetic joint infection also apply to device-related, contiguous-focus osteomyelitis ([B 44 – Investigation of Prosthetic Joint Infection Samples](#))¹³. Where a device is involved, skin flora such as coagulase negative staphylococci, often regarded as contaminants in the laboratory, are common pathogens. Many devices in long bones and other sites are used to fix fractures; if these become infected, the presentation may be acute, with gross purulence.

Contiguous-Focus Osteomyelitis with Vascular Insufficiency

Most patients with contiguous-focus osteomyelitis associated with vascular insufficiency have diabetes mellitus. The bones and joints of the feet are most often affected⁴.

Diabetic foot infections¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Diabetic foot infections are responsible for many hospital admissions and a significant number can end up with limb amputation and consequent disability. Neuropathy and vasculopathy (impaired blood supply) are complications of diabetes. The former means that protective sensation is lost, allowing skin injury to occur without it being perceived. In addition it can ultimately lead to fragmentation, destruction and dislocation of the bones of the foot (Charcot neuro-osteoarthropathy). Foot deformity in diabetics due to motor neuropathy is also a further strong risk factor for developing ulcers and infection. The basic principles in the treatment of diabetic foot infection are education and prevention with good glucose control, accommodative footwear, regular inspection and general compliance.

Once infection has occurred, abscesses may need to be drained, diagnostic biopsies may be required to guide antibiotics and diseased bone may need to be resected. Acute infections in patients who have not recently received antimicrobials are often monomicrobial (almost always with aerobic Gram positive cocci such as *S. aureus* and β -haemolytic streptococci), whereas chronic infections are often polymicrobial. Cultures of specimens obtained from patients with such mixed infections generally yield 3–5 isolates, including Gram positive and Gram negative aerobes and

anaerobes. These may include enterococci, various Enterobacteriaceae, obligate anaerobes, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and, sometimes, other non-fermentative Gram negative rods. Hospitalisation, surgical procedures, and, especially, prolonged or broad spectrum antibiotic therapy may predispose patients to colonisation and/or infection with antibiotic resistant organisms (eg meticillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) or vancomycin resistant enterococci (VRE)). The impaired host defences around necrotic soft tissue or bone may allow low-virulence colonizers, such as coagulase negative staphylococci and *Corynebacterium* species (“diphtheroids”), to assume a pathogenic role.

Diabetic foot infections are often managed initially by podiatrists; they may perform deep tissue debridement and send soft tissue for culture, although the presence of osteomyelitis may be indicated on the request card.

In the immunocompromised or diabetic host, *Nocardia* species should also be considered as a rare cause of osteomyelitis.

Acute Haematogenous Osteomyelitis^{1,6,17,18}

Haematogenous osteomyelitis has been classically described in childhood, but can occur in any age group especially when there are risk factors such as a recent intravascular device, haemodialysis, intravenous drug use or recurrent infections elsewhere (such as urinary tract infections)⁶. In adults the vertebrae are most often affected, however the long bones, pelvis or clavicle may also be affected¹⁹.

In classical haematogenous osteomyelitis of childhood, the growing ends (metaphyses) of long bones are involved. The commonest organism is *S. aureus*; however β -haemolytic streptococci and HACEK organisms such as *Kingella* species are also important causes¹⁷. Organisms in the bloodstream gain access to bone by way of the nutrient artery. They pass through branches of this vessel to the small blind ended terminal vessels usually near the epiphyseal plate (growing end of the bone). This area is thought to have sluggish circulation, and bacteria can lodge here, starting the process of infection. Following this there is extension to other areas and the host inflammatory response is mobilised. Pus is created and expands under pressure thereby creating further impedance of the local circulation and death of bone.

In certain areas such as the hip, where the epiphyseal plate is situated within the joint capsule, early joint involvement by infection is common. Pus under pressure may strip the periosteum (outer lining of bone). New immature bone is formed as a response to periosteal stripping, and, in severe cases, the entire shaft may be encased in a sheath of new bone referred to as an involucrum. Where a major portion of the shaft has been deprived of blood supply, a resulting sequestrum (dead bone) lies within the involucrum. Openings in the bone may permit escape of pus from bone causing abscesses, systemic sepsis and in some cases death.

The bacterial species in haematogenous osteomyelitis are usually dependent on the age of the patient. In neonates, Group B streptococci, *S. aureus* and *Escherichia coli* cause infection¹. Multiple sites of infection are common in neonates²⁰. Between the ages of one and sixteen, *S. aureus*, and *Haemophilus influenzae* type B predominate (although the latter is rare after the age of 5 years and increasingly rare in children under 5 because of a successful vaccination campaign). *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is occasionally involved. In adult life, *S. aureus* is the commonest organism and, in the elderly, infection with aerobic Gram negative rods may occur. *Candida* species may be found when intravenous devices are in use²¹. In acute haematogenous

osteomyelitis a single pathogenic organism is usually isolated but in many cases of chronic osteomyelitis, particularly when associated with wounds and ulcers the disease can be polymicrobial.

Salmonella species rarely cause osteomyelitis in patients who are immunocompetent; typically infections with *Salmonella* species (usually non-typhi serotypes) are associated with sickle cell anaemia (see below), other haemoglobinopathies or patients who are immunocompromised²². *Salmonella* osteomyelitis normally affects the diaphysis of long bones (usually the femur or humerus) and the vertebrae²². Infection by indirect contamination from an animal host has been reported^{23,24}.

Spondylodiscitis

In adults, haematogenous osteomyelitis most commonly involves the spine. The term spondylodiscitis includes vertebral osteomyelitis, discitis and spondylitis, all of which result from the same pathological process and may occur at the same time^{25,26}. Spondylodiscitis is responsible for 3-5% of all osteomyelitis cases, and it is the main cause of osteomyelitis in patients aged over 50 years²⁵.

In adults, many organisms enter the discs via the arteries causing inflammation; infection may then extend to the vertebral column^{26,27}. Vertebral osteomyelitis may also result from trauma or complications during surgery. Risk factors include older age, a recent intravascular device, haemodialysis, diabetes and intravenous drug usage (a risk factor for *Pseudomonas* infection), infection and immunosuppression²⁸. Lumbar spine infections may originate from urinary tract infections, possibly by translocation of bacteria via a venous plexus (Batson's plexus) that links the bladder with the spine. Following the initial infection, abscesses may break out of the cortex anteriorly to form a paravertebral abscess or posteriorly to form an epidural abscess. In addition weakening of the bone may cause vertebral collapse. Organisms causing vertebral infections include *S. aureus*, streptococci and aerobic Gram negative rods (associated with urinary tract infections)¹.

In patients with risk factors, tuberculosis should always be considered; microbiological diagnosis (with or without histology) is required for a definitive diagnosis^{29,30}. Although infection most often occurs in the spine, extrapulmonary tuberculosis can occur in any bone or joint. Diagnosis is mainly by biopsy for histology and microbiology. Cultures are often positive and are crucial for determining the presence of resistance to anti-tuberculosis agents. However the decision to treat is often made on clinical and histopathological grounds in the first instance^{31,32}. Other mycobacteria, such as *Mycobacterium marinum*, *Mycobacterium avium-intracellulare*, *Mycobacterium fortuitum* and *Mycobacterium gordonae* have also been associated with bone infection particularly in patients who are immunocompromised.

In endemic areas *Brucella* species is a common cause of vertebral infection, therefore a travel history should always be sought. Other fastidious Gram negative rods eg the HACEK group (*Haemophilus* species, *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Cardiobacterium hominis*, *Eikenella corrodens* and *Kingella* species; (see [ID 12 – Identification of *Haemophilus* species and the HACEK Group of Organisms](#)) may be occasional causes of vertebral osteomyelitis³³.

Sickle cell disease^{1,4}

Adult haematogenous osteomyelitis in adults is often associated with sickle cell disease. Symptoms may mimic those of marrow crisis; culture results should therefore

be used for confirmation of clinical diagnosis. Organisms often isolated include *Salmonella* species, *S. aureus* and streptococci.

Haemodialysis patients⁴

As a result of the use of intravascular access devices in these patients, haematogenous infections can occur usually due to *S. aureus* or coagulase negative staphylococci. Gram negative infections are more common in haemodialysis patients than in the general population.

Intravenous drug users^{4,34}

Septic arthritis, osteomyelitis of the long bones or vertebral discs is associated with haematogenous infection in intravenous drug users. Organisms often isolated include *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *Candida* species.

Chronic Osteomyelitis^{1,4,19}

Chronic osteomyelitis may be haematogenous or secondary to contiguous-focus infection. Patients typically present with chronic pain and drainage and may have a history of osteomyelitis. Treatment of infection may be challenging as surrounding tissue and bone will be of poor quality; antibiotic treatment alone is rarely sufficient to arrest infection. Risk factors include open fractures, bacteraemia and ischemic ulcers associated with diabetes, sickle cell disease and malnutrition. Organisms often associated with chronic osteomyelitis include *S. aureus*, Gram negative bacilli and anaerobic bacteria.

Device related osteomyelitis

In chronic device related infections, organisms may be present in a biofilm that is associated with the device or diseased/necrotic bone. The presence of a biofilm has implications for sampling technique, processing and the interpretation of culture results. Low grade infection eg with skin flora such as a coagulase negative staphylococcus can lead to delayed fracture union or non-union. The organisms may only be present in small numbers and culture often requires broth enrichment³⁵. When a patient with a device has debridement, removal and/or exchange of the device, multiple (4-5) samples should be taken using separate instruments. If several are culture positive, whatever the organism(s), this is likely to represent true infection.

Haematogenous

Acute haematogenous osteomyelitis can lead to chronic osteomyelitis characterised by dead areas of bone and sinus tract^{36,37}. This condition can fail to respond to treatment and persist for long periods⁵. Infections may recur many years after the first episode³⁸.

Brodie's abscess³⁹

Brodie's abscess is an uncommon condition and is a chronic localised abscess of bone, most often in the distal part of the tibia. It is usually due to *S. aureus* and generally occurs in patients under 25 years of age. Surgery (surgical debridement) and culture-specific antibiotic therapy are usually effective in arresting infection.

Fungal Osteomyelitis

Fungal osteomyelitis is rare; however, some fungi endemic to certain areas can be associated with osteomyelitis. This includes *Cryptococcus*, *Blastomyces* and

Sporothrix species. In patients who are immunocompromised or those with multiple previous surgical procedures at that site, more common fungi such as *Candida* or *Aspergillus* species can also cause osteomyelitis^{21,40}. A mycetoma is a chronic granulomatous infection of the skin, subcutaneous tissues and in its advanced stages, bone. It is most prevalent in tropical and sub-tropical regions of Africa, Asia and Central America. Infection usually follows traumatic inoculation of organisms into subcutaneous tissue from soil or vegetable sources⁴¹. Various genera have been implicated including *Madurella*, *Acremonium*, *Pseudoallescheria* and *Actinomyadura* species. Mycetoma is a chronic infection which may be caused by fungi or bacteria⁴². It is characterised by subcutaneous granulomata containing grains and can lead to infection of the bone. Black grains are indicative of fungal infection and the condition known as eumyctoma. Actinomyceteoma is caused by bacteria, and grains are white, yellow or red⁴³. Infection normally occurs through a puncture wound (normally of the foot); however infection can occur in the legs, hands or arms⁴². Deep surgical biopsy is required to obtain viable samples for microbiological culture⁴³.

Diagnosis^{4,16,17,19}

The diagnosis of osteomyelitis usually requires a combination of a full clinical assessment, plain X-rays and further imaging (eg MRI scan, PET scan, ultra-sound), blood cultures (particularly in acute cases), bone and/or soft tissue biopsies and/or surgical sampling. For specific indications eg risk of Brucella infection, other tests such as serology may be required. When tuberculosis is suspected, a full clinical 'work up' including a chest X-ray is indicated.

Sample Types

Radiologically obtained percutaneous bone biopsies

These may be taken in the radiology department where they can be guided by imaging such as ultrasound, fluoroscopy or CT. Usually a sample should also be sent to histology to confirm infection, provide pointers to unusual infections and/or exclude malignancy. It is not commonly possible to send more than one sample to microbiology, but when this is done, each should be processed separately. It is important that detailed clinical information is provided to ensure cultures are set up for appropriate organisms. This includes details such as the presence of a prosthetic device (where any organism eg a coagulase negative staphylococcus, may be the pathogen and also where prolonged cultures are required). It also includes any clinical suspicion or risk factors for tuberculosis, brucella, nocardia, atypical mycobacteria or fungi.

Intra-operative bone biopsies

These are taken in theatre either as primarily a diagnostic procedure, or as the first part of a larger debridement/resection procedure. Multiple (4-5) samples should be taken using separate sterile instruments for microbiological culture. Similar samples from similar sites should also be taken for histopathological examination. A risk-benefit assessment of antibiotic timing is required. Where infection is likely and/or a microbiological diagnosis is likely to significantly affect clinical outcome, prophylactic antibiotics can be withheld until immediately after sampling. The effect of a single dose of antibiotic on the sensitivity of microbiological culture is unknown. In addition to bone samples, deep soft tissue samples are usually taken at the same time. Sinus samples should be discouraged as colonising organisms cannot be differentiated from infecting organisms.

Samples from around devices¹³

Samples of bone and soft tissues may be taken from around a prosthetic device, eg a fracture fixation plate or nail. This may be debrided and left *in situ* (debridement and retention) or removed. If the fracture has not united a further internal or external device is usually required. Samples associated with such a device should be processed with the same principles as those associated with prosthetic joint samples ([B 44 – Investigation of Prosthetic Joint Infection Samples](#)).

The key to processing bone samples is to reduce manipulation to a minimum. Samples can be transferred to the laboratory using routine timescales (ie within hours rather than minutes). In order to reduce the risk of contamination it is desirable to process specimens in a Class 2 microbiological safety cabinet.

Shaking with sterile glass (Ballotini) beads is relatively simple and therefore carries a low risk of contamination. Another method suggested for specimen processing is grinding. However, grinders can break and constitute a health and safety hazard, as can aerosol release from blenders. The problems of heat generated by the grinder (that may be sufficient to damage or kill some microbes), and insufficient strength in the operator to homogenise the tissue in the Griffiths grinding tubes also render this technique non-standardised and with unknown recovery characteristics. The impact of bone and cement fragments on shattering the Griffiths grinding tubes has also not been investigated. Sonication of devices is a means of disrupting bacterial biofilm in vascular and orthopaedic prostheses and has been shown to be useful in the detection of prosthetic joint infection⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶.

Broth enrichment is important especially in chronic osteomyelitis, device related infections or where the patient has already received antibiotics^{35,47}. Broth cultures can become contaminated and so if only one or two samples are taken, a positive culture from one broth is not interpretable⁴⁸. If several (4-5) samples are taken then a definition of a positive microbiological result is easier to create for that patient. In the presence of a device or non-viable bone, any organism cultured in more than one sample may be relevant and should be identified, have extended sensitivities and be reported. Extended sensitivities are particularly important in patients with chronic osteomyelitis, or retained metalwork, where prolonged oral antibiotics are often required.

Management^{4,7,17,19}

In acute presentations, surgery may be required to drain pus. In chronic osteomyelitis, areas of dead bone may need to be resected. Both need to be accompanied by specific antibiotic therapy depending on culture results. This is most often carried out intravenously, initially. In some cases, where the disease is too extensive to fully resect, the patient is too unfit for surgery or a device is retained, long term oral antibiotics may be required. Organisms need to be tested against a wide variety of antibiotic options as patients commonly are intolerant of one or more antibiotics.

Rapid techniques⁴⁹⁻⁵¹

Rapid techniques including Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAATs) (eg PCR, 16s rRNA gene PCR, PCR-electrospray ionization (ESI)/MS etc) and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight analysis mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOFF/MS) have become available as a means of rapid, sensitive identification of organisms associated with bone and joint infection⁵². PCR methods require the extraction of DNA

or RNA from the sample for analysis, whereas MALDI-TOFF differentiates organisms based on their mass/charge spectrum. PCR has been shown to be more sensitive than convention culture for the isolation of some fastidious organisms for example *Kingella kingae*, and PCR – hybridization after sonication has been shown to improve diagnosis of implant related infections^{53,54}.

Technical Information/Limitations

Limitations of UK SMIs

The recommendations made in UK SMIs are based on evidence (eg sensitivity and specificity) where available, expert opinion and pragmatism, with consideration also being given to available resources. Laboratories should take account of local requirements and undertake additional investigations where appropriate. Prior to use, laboratories should ensure that all commercial and in-house tests have been validated and are fit for purpose.

Specimen Containers^{55,56}

SMIs use the term “CE marked leak proof container” to describe containers bearing the CE marking used for the collection and transport of clinical specimens. The requirements for specimen containers are given in the EU in vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Directive (98/79/EC Annex 1 B 2.1) which states: “The design must allow easy handling and, where necessary, reduce as far as possible contamination of, and leakage from, the device during use and, in the case of specimen receptacles, the risk of contamination of the specimen. The manufacturing processes must be appropriate for these purposes”

DRAFT - THIS DOCUMENT WAS CONSULTED ON BETWEEN 15 SEPTEMBER - 13 OCTOBER 2014

1 Safety Considerations⁵⁵⁻⁷¹

1.1 Specimen Collection, Transport and Storage⁵⁵⁻⁶⁰

Use aseptic technique.

Care should be taken to avoid accidental injury when using “sharps”.

Collect specimens in appropriate CE marked leak-proof containers.

Transport specimens in CE marked container in sealed plastic bags.

Compliance with postal, transport and storage regulations is essential.

1.2 Specimen Processing⁵⁵⁻⁷¹

Containment Level 2.

Laboratory procedures that give rise to infectious aerosols must be conducted in a microbiological safety cabinet⁶³.

Refer to current guidance on the safe handling of all organisms documented in this SMI.

The above guidance should be supplemented with local COSHH and risk assessments.

2 Specimen Collection

2.1 Type of Specimens

Bone, bone biopsies, soft tissue, aspirates

2.2 Optimal Time and Method of Collection⁷²

Unless otherwise stated, swabs for bacterial and fungal culture should then be placed in appropriate transport medium⁷³⁻⁷⁷.

Collect specimens other than swabs into appropriate CE marked leak-proof containers and place in sealed plastic bags.

Direct collection in theatres can be placed into a CE Marked leak-proof container with Ringer's or saline solution and Ballotini beads (as an option) which is placed into sealed plastic bags. However, microbiology and histology specimen pots can be confused leading to difficulties in processing samples).

2.3 Adequate Quantity and Appropriate Number of Specimens⁷²

In chronic osteomyelitis collection of multiple (4-5) intra-operative samples with separate instruments (usually sterile forceps and scalpel) is important. Duplicate samples must be taken for histology. Swabs are not recommended.

Minimum specimen size will depend on the number of investigations requested.

Numbers and frequency of specimen collection are dependent on clinical condition of patient.

3 Specimen Transport and Storage^{55,56}

3.1 Optimal Transport and Storage Conditions

Collect specimens before antimicrobial therapy where possible⁷².

Specimens should be transported and processed as soon as possible⁷².

If processing is delayed, refrigeration is preferable to storage at ambient temperature.

If possible stop all antibiotics at least 1-2 weeks prior to sampling and consider not giving routine surgical prophylaxis until after sampling. For more information regarding antimicrobial therapy and surgical sampling please refer to [B 44 – Investigation of Prosthetic Joint Infection Samples](#).

The volume of the specimen influences the transport time that is acceptable. Larger pieces of bone may maintain the viability of anaerobes for longer⁷⁸. Samples should not however exceed the size of the CE Marked leak-proof containers available.

4 Specimen Processing/Procedure^{55,56}

4.1 Test Selection

Select a representative portion of specimen for appropriate procedures such as culture for *Mycobacterium* species ([B 40 - Investigation of Specimens for *Mycobacterium* species](#)) or fungi depending on clinical details.

4.2 Appearance

N/A

4.3 Sample Preparation

4.3.1 Pre-treatment

Examine the specimen for the presence of any soft tissue. Remove soft tissue using a sterile scalpel or scissors and homogenise using, as appropriate, a sterile grinder (Griffith tube or unbreakable alternative), a sterile scalpel or (preferably) sterile scissors and Petri dish. The addition of a small volume (approximately 0.5mL) of sterile filtered water, saline or nutrient Ringer's will aid the homogenisation process.

Homogenisation must be performed in a racked shaker for 15 minutes in a Class 1 exhaust protective cabinet.

Note: Surgically obtained specimens for fungal culture should be cut (finely sliced) rather than homogenised⁷⁹.

Supplementary

Fungi and *Mycobacterium* species ([B 40 - Investigation of Specimens for *Mycobacterium* species](#)).

4.3.2 Specimen Processing

Bone (percutaneous biopsy or intra-operative sample) or soft tissue associated with osteomyelitis

The objective should be to reduce manipulation to a minimum (for instance the number of times any container is opened), thereby minimising the risk of exposing the operative sample to potential contamination. For this reason centrifugation of the sample for concentration should not be performed, instead divide the whole sample in appropriate amounts for tests.

In units with high workloads of this specimen type, the provision to the operating theatre of CE Marked leak proof containers in a sealed plastic bag with approximately 10 Ballotini beads and 5mL broth, could be considered. In such circumstances homogenisation can be carried out in the original container. It is not uncommon, however, for microbiology and histology specimen pots to be confused leading to difficulties in processing samples.

Alternatively, samples may be sent to the laboratory in a plain CE marked leak proof container in a sealed plastic bag. These samples require transfer, homogenisation and then further transfer to culture media, including liquid media. If this methodology is followed, particular care is necessary with asepsis when transferring, homogenising or processing the sample. Clean air provision is desirable. Homogenisation with Ballotini beads can be performed by shaking at 250 rpm for 10 minutes in a covered rack on an orbital shaker, or alternatively vortexing for 15 seconds (40 Hz). The diluent for the Ballotini beads and tissues should be Ringer's solution or saline. If molecular analysis is to be carried out then sterile molecular grade water and new universal containers should be used. In the case of molecular work the volume should not exceed 2mL.

Inoculate each agar plate and a slide for Gram staining with a drop of the suspension using a sterile pipette (see [Q 5 - Inoculation of Culture Media for Bacteriology](#)).

For the isolation of individual colonies, spread inoculum using a sterile loop. Inoculate broth with the remainder of the suspension including any tissue fragments.

4.4 Microscopy

4.4.1 Standard

Gram stain (see [P 39 - Staining Procedures](#))

If sufficient specimen is received prepare as recommended in Section 4.5. Using a sterile pipette place one drop of specimen on to a clean microscope slide.

Spread this with a sterile loop to make a thin smear for Gram staining.

4.5 Culture and Investigation

Inoculate each agar plate using a sterile pipette ([Q 5 - Inoculation of Culture Media for Bacteriology](#)).

For the isolation of individual colonies, spread inoculum with a sterile loop.

4.5.1 Culture media, conditions and organisms

Clinical details/ conditions	Specimen	Standard media	Incubation			Cultures read	Target organism(s)
			Temp °C	Atmos	Time		
†Osteomyelitis Brodie's abscess, Diabetic foot osteomyelitis, Discitis +For debridement of fracture fixation device refer to B 44 – Investigation of Prosthetic Joint Infection .	Bone, Bone biopsy Soft tissue Aspirate	Blood agar and Chocolate agar	35 - 37	5 - 10% CO ₂	40 - 48hr	Daily	Staphylococci Streptococci Enterobacteriaceae Pseudomonads HACEK group <i>Nocardia</i> species*
		Fastidious anaerobic broth, cooked meat broth or equivalent Subculture if evidence of growth, or at day 5 onto plates as below:	35 - 37	Air	5 d	N/A	Staphylococci Streptococci Enterobacteriaceae Pseudomonads Anaerobes
Subculture plates	Bone, Bone biopsy Soft tissue Aspirate	FAA***	35 - 37	Anaerobic	40 - 48hr	≥40hr	Anaerobes
		Chocolate	35 - 37	5 - 10% CO ₂	40 - 48hr	Daily	Any

For these situations, add the following:

Clinical details/ conditions	Specimen	Supplementary media	Incubation			Cultures read	Target organism(s)
			Temp °C	Atmos	Time		
Debridement site fungal infection#	Bone, Bone biopsy Soft tissue Aspirate	Sabouraud agar	28 - 30	Air	14 d	Daily‡	Yeast and Mould

Always consider other organisms such as *Mycobacterium* species ([B 40 - Investigation of Specimens for *Mycobacterium* species](#)), fungi and actinomycetes. Routine processing for mycobacteria should be considered for all non-post-operative spinal infections.

* If infection with *Nocardia* species is suspected, samples may require incubation for a further 3 days.

** Subcultures should be examined periodically (ideally daily) and subcultured if there is evidence suggestive of growth.

Terminal subcultures should be performed at 5 days.

***Neomycin FAA with metronidazole 5µg disc may be used dependent on local policy.

+Most surgical cases with intra-operative biopsies eg fracture fixation devices or chronic osteomyelitis requires multiple samples. If an indistinguishable organism is isolated in two or more samples then it is likely to be clinically significant.

‡ Extended incubation may be required (for up to 8 weeks) for certain species of fungi such as *Cryptococcus* species or *Histoplasma* species^{80,81}.

When investigating mycetoma, deep surgical biopsy is required to obtain viable samples for microbiological culture. Samples should be submitted in normal saline⁴³. (Refer to [B 17 – Investigation of Tissues and Biopsies](#)).

4.6 Identification

Refer to individual SMIs for organism identification.

4.6.1 Minimum level of identification in the laboratory

Actinomycetes	genus level ID 15 – Identification of Anaerobic Actinomyces species
Anaerobes	genus level ID 14 - Identification of Anaerobic cocci ID 8 - Identification of Clostridium species ID 25 - Identification of Anaerobic Gram Negative rods ID 15 – Identification of Anaerobic Actinomyces species
β-haemolytic streptococci	Lancefield group level
Other streptococci	species level
Enterococci	species level
Enterobacteriaceae	species level
Yeast and Moulds	species level
Haemophilus	species level
Pseudomonads	species level
S. aureus	species level
Staphylococci (not <i>S. aureus</i>)	genus level
Mycobacterium species	B 40 - Investigation of Specimens for Mycobacterium species

Organisms may be further identified if this is clinically or epidemiologically indicated.

Note: Any organism considered to be a contaminant may not require identification to species level.

4.7 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Refer to [British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy \(BSAC\)](#) and/or [EUCAST](#) guidelines.

It is important to include a wide range of antibiotics particularly for those patients who may require prolonged oral treatment with biofilm active drugs (see Introduction).

These antibiotics are not usually included in the common first line antimicrobials tested in most laboratories. For Gram positive organisms these may include a teicoplanin

MIC plus antibiotics such as rifampicin, tetracyclines, quinolones, co-trimoxazole, fusidic acid, linezolid, quinupristin/dalfopristin and others.

4.8 Referral for Outbreak Investigations

N/A

4.9 Referral to Reference Laboratories

Organisms with unusual or unexpected resistance, and whenever there is a laboratory or clinical problem, or anomaly that requires elucidation should be sent to the appropriate reference laboratory.

Contact appropriate devolved national reference laboratory for information on the tests available, turnaround times, transport procedure and any other requirements for sample submission:

England and Wales

<http://www.hpa.org.uk/webw/HPAweb&Page&HPAwebAutoListName/Page/1158313434370?p=1158313434370>

Scotland

<http://www.hps.scot.nhs.uk/reflab/index.aspx>

Northern Ireland

<http://www.publichealth.hscni.net/directorate-public-health/health-protection>

5 Reporting Procedure

5.1 Microscopy

5.1.1 Gram stain

Report on WBCs and organisms detected.

5.1.2 Microscopy reporting time

Written report: 16-72hr

Urgent microscopy: telephone when available

5.2 Culture

Report any growth.

Report absence of growth.

Also, report results of supplementary investigations.

5.3 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Report susceptibilities as clinically indicated. Prudent use of antimicrobials according to local and national protocols is recommended.

6 Notification to PHE^{82,83} or Equivalent in the Devolved Administrations⁸⁴⁻⁸⁷

The Health Protection (Notification) Regulations 2010 require diagnostic laboratories to notify Public Health England (PHE) when they identify the causative agents that are listed in Schedule 2 of the Regulations. Notifications must be provided in writing, on paper or electronically, within seven days. Urgent cases should be notified orally and as soon as possible, recommended within 24 hours. These should be followed up by written notification within seven days.

For the purposes of the Notification Regulations, the recipient of laboratory notifications is the local PHE Health Protection Team. If a case has already been notified by a registered medical practitioner, the diagnostic laboratory is still required to notify the case if they identify any evidence of an infection caused by a notifiable causative agent.


Notification under the Health Protection (Notification) Regulations 2010 does not replace voluntary reporting to PHE. The vast majority of NHS laboratories voluntarily report a wide range of laboratory diagnoses of causative agents to PHE and many PHE Health protection Teams have agreements with local laboratories for urgent reporting of some infections. This should continue.

Note: The Health Protection Legislation Guidance (2010) includes reporting of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) & Sexually Transmitted Infections (STIs), Healthcare Associated Infections (HCAs) and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD) under 'Notification Duties of Registered Medical Practitioners': it is not noted under 'Notification Duties of Diagnostic Laboratories'.

<http://www.hpa.org.uk/Topics/InfectiousDiseases/InfectionsAZ/HealthProtectionRegulations/>

Other arrangements exist in [Scotland](#)^{84,85}, [Wales](#)⁸⁶ and [Northern Ireland](#)⁸⁷.

Appendix 1: Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis



DRAFT - THIS DOCUMENT WAS CONSULTED ON BETWEEN 15 SEPTEMBER - 13 OCTOBER 2014

References

1. Jorge LS, Chueire AG, Rossit AR. Osteomyelitis: a current challenge. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2010;14:310-5.
2. Waldvogel FA, Medoff G, Swartz MN. Osteomyelitis: a review of clinical features, therapeutic considerations and unusual aspects. *N Engl J Med* 1970;282:198-206.
3. Cierny G, Mader JT, Penninck JJ. A Clinical Staging System for Adult Osteomyelitis. p. 7-24.
4. Calhoun JH, Manring MM. Adult osteomyelitis. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2005;19:765-86.
5. Cierny G, Mader JT. Adult chronic osteomyelitis. *Orthopaedics* 1984;7:1557-64.
6. Gaujoux-Viala C, Zeller V, Leclerc P, Chicheportiche V, Mamoudy P, Desplaces N, et al. Osteomyelitis in adults: an underrecognized clinical entity in immunocompetent hosts. A report of six cases. *Joint Bone Spine* 2011;78:75-9.
7. Carvalho VC, Oliveira PR, Dal-Paz K, Paula AP, Felix CS, Lima AL. Gram-negative osteomyelitis: clinical and microbiological profile. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2012;16:63-7.
8. Rahn KA, Jacobson FS. Pseudomonas osteomyelitis of the metatarsal sesamoid bones. *Am J Orthop* 1997;26:365-7.
9. Niall DM, Murphy PG, Fogarty EE, Dowling FE, Moore P. Puncture wound related pseudomonas infections of the foot in children. *Ir J Med Sci* 1997;166:98-101.
10. Laughlin TJ, Armstrong DG, Caporusso J, Lavee LA. Soft tissue and bone infections from puncture wounds in children. *West J Med* 1997;166:126-8.
11. Gaetti-Jardim E Jr, Landucci LF, de Oliveira KL, Costa I, Ranieri RV, Okamoto AC, et al. Microbiota associated with infections of the jaws. *Int J Dent* 2012;2012:369751.
12. Brook I. Joint and bone infection due to anaerobic bacteria in children. *Pediatr Rehabil* 2002;5:11-9.
13. Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, Lew D, Zimmerli W, Steckelberg JM, et al. Executive summary: diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;56:1-10.
14. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Cornia PB, Pile JC, Peters EJ, Armstrong DG, et al. 2012 Infectious Diseases Society of America clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2012;54:e132-e173.
15. Lavery LA, Armstrong DG, Murdoch DP, Peters EJ, Lipsky BA. Validation of the Infectious Diseases Society of America's diabetic foot infection classification system. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44:562-5.
16. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. Diabetic foot problems: Inpatient management of diabetic foot problems. 2012.
17. Dartnell J, Ramachandran M, Katchburian M. Haematogenous acute and subacute paediatric osteomyelitis: a systematic review of the literature. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2012;94:584-95.
18. Faust SN, Clark J, Pallett A, Clarke NM. Managing bone and joint infection in children. *Arch Dis Child* 2012;97:545-53.

Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis

19. Hatzenbuehler J, Pulling TJ. Diagnosis and management of osteomyelitis. *Am Fam Physician* 2011;84:1027-33.
20. Ish-Horowicz MR, McIntyre P, Nade S. Bone and Joint infections caused by multiple resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatr Infect Dis* 1992;11:82-7.
21. Mader JT, Calhoun J. Osteomyelitis. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. *Mandell Douglas and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2000. p. 1182-96.
22. Salem KH. Salmonella osteomyelitis: A rare differential diagnosis in osteolytic lesions around the knee. *J Infect Public Health* 2014;7:66-9.
23. Lebeaux D, Zarrouk V, Petrover D, Nicolas-Chanoine MH, Fantin B. Salmonella Colindale osteomyelitis in an immunocompetent female patient. *Med Mal Infect* 2012;42:36-7.
24. Kolker S, Itsekzon T, Yinnon AM, Lachish T. Osteomyelitis due to *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *arizonae*: the price of exotic pets. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;18:167-70.
25. Gouliouris T, Aliyu SH, Brown NM. Spondylodiscitis: update on diagnosis and management. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65 Suppl 3:iii11-iii24.
26. Cebrian Parra JL, Saez-Arenillas MA, Urda Martinez-Aedo AL, Goller I, Agreda E, Lopez-Duran SL. Management of infectious discitis. Outcome in one hundred and eight patients in a university hospital. *Int Orthop* 2012;36:239-44.
27. Skaf GS, Domloj NT, Fehlings MG, Bouclaous CH, Sebagh AS, Kanafani ZA, et al. Pyogenic spondylodiscitis: an overview. *J Infect Public Health* 2010;3:5-16.
28. Holzman RS, Bishko F. Osteomyelitis in heroin addicts. *Ann Intern Med* 1971;75:693-6.
29. Fuentes FM, Gutierrez TL, Ayala RO, Romayor ZM, del Prado GN. Tuberculosis of the spine. A systematic review of case series. *Int Orthop* 2012;36:221-31.
30. Merino P, Candel FJ, Gestoso L, Saos E, Picazo J. Microbiological diagnosis of spinal tuberculosis. *Int Orthop* 2012;36:233-8.
31. Sagoo RS, Lakdawala A, Subbu R. Tuberculosis of the elbow joint. *JRSM Short Rep* 2011;2:17.
32. Sandher DS, Al-Jibury M, Paton RW, Ormerod LP. Bone and joint tuberculosis: cases in Blackburn between 1988 and 2005. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2007;89:1379-81.
33. Farrington J, Eykyn SJ, Walker M, Warren RE. Vertebral osteomyelitis due to coccobacilli of the HB group. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1983;287:1658-60.
34. Allison DC, Holtom PD, Patzakis MJ, Zalavras CG. Microbiology of bone and joint infections in injecting drug abusers. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;468:2107-12.
35. Larsen LH, Lange J, Xu Y, Schonheyder HC. Optimizing culture methods for diagnosis of prosthetic joint infections: a summary of modifications and improvements reported since 1995. *J Med Microbiol* 2012;61:309-16.
36. Mackowiak PA, Jones SR, Smith JW. Diagnostic value of sinus-tract cultures in chronic osteomyelitis. *JAMA* 1978;239:2772-5.
37. Mousa HA. Evaluation of sinus-track cultures in chronic bone infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1997;79:567-9.
38. Waldvogel FA, Papageorgiou PS. Osteomyelitis: the past decade. *N Engl J Med* 1980;360-70.

Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis

39. Olasinde AA, Oluwadiya KS, Adegbehingbe OO. Treatment of Brodie's abscess: excellent results from curettage, bone grafting and antibiotics. *Singapore Med J* 2011;52:436-9.
40. Dirschl DR, Almekinders LC. Osteomyelitis. Common causes and treatment recommendations. *Drugs* 1993;45:29-43.
41. *Medical Microbiology L A Guide to Microbial Infections*. 15 ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 1997. p. 566
42. Asly M, Rafaoui A, Bouyermane H, Hakam K, Moustamsik B, Lmidmani F, et al. Mycetoma (Madura foot): A case report. *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2010;53:650-4.
43. Fahal AH, Shaheen S, Jones DH. The orthopaedic aspects of mycetoma. *Bone Joint J* 2009;91-B:420-5.
44. Monsen T, Lovgren E, Widerstrom M, Wallinder L. In vitro effect of ultrasound on bacteria and suggested protocol for sonication and diagnosis of prosthetic infections. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47:2496-501.
45. Piper KE, Jacobson MJ, Cofield RH, Sperling JW, Sanchez-Sotelo J, Quinn DR, et al. Microbiologic diagnosis of prosthetic shoulder infection by use of implant sonication. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47:1878-84.
46. Vergidis P, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Sanchez-Sotelo J, Morrey BF, Steinmann SP, Karau MJ, et al. Implant sonication for the diagnosis of prosthetic elbow infection. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2011;20:1275-81.
47. Hughes HC, Newnham R, Athanasou N, Atkins BL, Bejon P, Bowler IC. Microbiological diagnosis of prosthetic joint infections: a prospective evaluation of four bacterial culture media in the routine laboratory. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;17:1528-30.
48. Morris AJ, Wilson SJ, Marx CE, Wilson JL, Mirrett S, Reller LB. Clinical impact of bacteria and fungi recovered only from broth cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995;33:161-5.
49. Carbonnelle E, Mesquita C, Billot E, Day N, Dauphin B, Beretti JL, et al. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry tools for bacterial identification in clinical microbiology laboratory. *Clin Biochem* 2011;44:104-9.
50. van Veen SQ, Claas JCJ, Kuijper EJ. High-Throughput Identification of Bacteria and Yeast by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry in Conventional Medical Microbiology Laboratories. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010;48:900-7.
51. Espy MJ, Tenover JC, Sloan LM, Buckwalter SP, Jones MF, Vetter EA, et al. Real-time PCR in clinical microbiology: applications for routine laboratory testing. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2006;19:165-256.
52. Arciola CR, Montanaro L, Costerton JW. New trends in diagnosis and control strategies for implant infections. *Int J Artif Organs* 2011;34:727-36.
53. Esteban J, Alonso-Rodriguez N, del-Prado G, Ortiz-Perez A, Molina-Manso D, Cordero-Ampuero J, et al. PCR-hybridization after sonication improves diagnosis of implant-related infection. *Acta Orthop* 2012;83:299-304.
54. Cherkaoui A, Ceroni D, Emonet S, Lefevre Y, Schrenzel J. Molecular diagnosis of *Kingella kingae* osteoarticular infections by specific real-time PCR assay. *J Med Microbiol* 2009;58:65-8.
55. European Parliament. UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations (SMIs) use the term "CE marked leak proof container" to describe containers bearing the CE marking used for the collection and transport of clinical specimens. The requirements for specimen containers are given in the EU in vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Directive (98/79/EC Annex 1 B 2.1) which

Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis

states: "The design must allow easy handling and, where necessary, reduce as far as possible contamination of, and leakage from, the device during use and, in the case of specimen receptacles, the risk of contamination of the specimen. The manufacturing processes must be appropriate for these purposes".

56. Official Journal of the European Communities. Directive 98/79/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 October 1998 on *in vitro* diagnostic medical devices. 7-12-1998. p. 1-37.
57. Health and Safety Executive. Safe use of pneumatic air tube transport systems for pathology specimens. 9/99.
58. Department for transport. Transport of Infectious Substances, 2011 Revision 5. 2011.
59. World Health Organization. Guidance on regulations for the Transport of Infectious Substances 2013-2014. 2012.
60. Home Office. Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security Act. 2001 (as amended).
61. Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens. The Approved List of Biological Agents. Health and Safety Executive. 2013. p. 1-32
62. Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens. Infections at work (Controlling the risks. Her Majesty's Stationery Office. 2003.
63. Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens. Biological agents: Managing the risks in laboratories and healthcare premises. Health and Safety Executive. 2005.
64. Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens. Biological Agents: Managing the Risks in Laboratories and Healthcare Premises. Appendix 1.2 Transport of Infectious Substances - Revision. Health and Safety Executive. 2008
65. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for Safe Work Practices in Human and Animal Medical Diagnostic Laboratories. MMWR Surveill Summ 2012;61:1-102.
66. Health and Safety Executive. Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations. The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002. 5th ed. HSE Books; 2002.
67. Health and Safety Executive. Five Steps to Risk Assessment: A Step by Step Guide to a Safer and Healthier Workplace. HSE Books. 2002.
68. Health and Safety Executive. A Guide to Risk Assessment Requirements: Common Provisions in Health and Safety Law. HSE Books. 2002.
69. Health Services Advisory Committee. Safe Working and the Prevention of Infection in Clinical Laboratories and Similar Facilities. HSE Books. 2003.
70. British Standards Institution (BSI). BS EN12469 - Biotechnology - performance criteria for microbiological safety cabinets. 2000.
71. British Standards Institution (BSI). BS 5726:2005 - Microbiological safety cabinets. Information to be supplied by the purchaser and to the vendor and to the installer, and siting and use of cabinets. Recommendations and guidance. 24-3-2005. p. 1-14
72. Baron EJ, Miller JM, Weinstein MP, Richter SS, Gilligan PH, Thomson RB, Jr., et al. A Guide to Utilization of the Microbiology Laboratory for Diagnosis of Infectious Diseases: 2013 Recommendations by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Society for Microbiology (ASM). Clin Infect Dis 2013;57:e22-e121.

Investigation of Bone and Soft Tissue associated with Osteomyelitis

73. Rishmawi N, Ghneim R, Kattan R, Ghneim R, Zoughbi M, Abu-Diab A, et al. Survival of fastidious and nonfastidious aerobic bacteria in three bacterial transport swab systems. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:1278-83.
74. Barber S, Lawson PJ, Grove DI. Evaluation of bacteriological transport swabs. *Pathology* 1998;30:179-82.
75. Van Horn KG, Audette CD, Sebeck D, Tucker KA. Comparison of the Copan ESwab system with two Amies agar swab transport systems for maintenance of microorganism viability. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008;46:1655-8.
76. Nys S, Vijgen S, Magerman K, Cartuyvels R. Comparison of Copan eSwab with the Copan Venturi Transystem for the quantitative survival of *Escherichia coli*, *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Candida albicans*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;29:453-6.
77. Tano E, Melhus A. Evaluation of three swab transport systems for the maintenance of clinically important bacteria in simulated mono- and polymicrobial samples. *APMIS* 2011;119:198-203.
78. Holden J. Collection and transport of clinical specimens for anaerobic culture. In: Isenberg HD, editor. *Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook*. Vol 1. Washington DC.: American Society for Microbiology; 1992. p. 2.2.1-7.
79. Revankar SG, Sutton DA. Melanized fungi in human disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2010;23:884-928.
80. Bosshard PP. Incubation of fungal cultures: how long is long enough? *Mycoses* 2011;54:e539-e545.
81. Morris AJ, Byrne TC, Madden JF, Reller LB. Duration of incubation of fungal cultures. *J Clin Microbiol* 1996;34:1583-5.
82. Public Health England. *Laboratory Reporting to Public Health England: A Guide for Diagnostic Laboratories*. 2013. p. 1-37.
83. Department of Health. *Health Protection Legislation (England) Guidance*. 2010. p. 1-112.
84. Scottish Government. *Public Health (Scotland) Act*. 2008 (as amended).
85. Scottish Government. *Public Health etc. (Scotland) Act 2008. Implementation of Part 2: Notifiable Diseases, Organisms and Health Risk States*. 2009.
86. The Welsh Assembly Government. *Health Protection Legislation (Wales) Guidance*. 2010.
87. Home Office. *Public Health Act (Northern Ireland) 1967 Chapter 36*. 1967 (as amended).