

**Question 1:** To what extent do you think our proposed approach to providing national-scale existing information about geology relevant to long-term safety is appropriate? Please give your reasons.

Whilst the overall approach is sound it may be worthwhile identifying areas in which a repository would not be built whatever the geology (e.g. under a major conurbation) prior to the commencement of the exercise. This would enable resources and time to be directed at more likely areas.

**Question 2:** To what extent do you think that the proposed national information sources are appropriate and sufficient for this exercise? Please give your reasons.

As far as I am aware the sources are appropriate

**Question 3:** To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed form of the outputs from geological screening? What additional outputs would you find useful?

I'm not sure that the proposed regional reports will have sufficient spatial resolution for a community to identify whether their location is suitable or not.

**Question 4:** Do you have any other views on the matters presented in the draft Guidance?

Respondent skipped this question

Name: **David Webbe-Wood**

Email address: [REDACTED]

Telephone number: [REDACTED]

Organisation/affiliation: none

Do you agree to your responses to this consultation being published? Yes