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Executive summary

We are all rightly proud of our NHS and the staff that work incredibly hard for the benefit of patients. But the NHS continues to face major challenges and an ageing population will place more pressure on health and social care. We need measures to improve the safety culture in the NHS and further strengthen its transition to a modern patient-centric healthcare system. We understand pay restraint is challenging for staff and we know how tough this can be. We do, however, need to look seriously at the inbuilt cost of pay progression, £550 million a year gross for employed NHS non-medical staff, and develop more affordable, sustainable pay systems.

We want the NHS and primary care to be the safest health care systems in a world, where patients are right at the heart of everything it does. We are committed to working with the NHS to deliver seven day services so that patients get the same high quality, safe, urgent and emergency care on a Saturday and Sunday as they do on a week day. In the Spending Review and Autumn Statement the Government has made clear that it will prioritise the integration of the NHS and social care, spending £120 billion a year by 2020/2021 to create a seven day NHS.

NHS England’s Five Year Forward View published in October 2014¹ states that new models of care cannot be designed unless the NHS has the right numbers of staff with the right skills, values and behaviours:

“…NHS employers and staff and their representatives will need to consider how working patterns and pay and terms and conditions can best evolve to reward high performance, support job and service redesign and encourage recruitment and retention in parts of the country and in occupations where vacancies are high”.

To support the delivery of seven day services, we have embarked on contract reform right across the non-medical and medical workforce. Employers tell us that they need contracts that work for staff and patients and which are affordable and sustainable.

But pay is not the only part of the NHS employment offer. In a demanding health care environment, it is vital trusts focus hard on their staff engagement strategies and that they more effectively use the entire employment offer by taking a Total Reward (TR) approach which includes presenting both pay and non-pay benefits. This can help employers recruit and retain the skilled and compassionate workforce they need.

The Department is working with NHS Employers to build the business case and strategy for implementing TR across the NHS over the next few years. This aims to build on the success of rolling out Total Reward Statements (TRS) to NHS staff whose employers use the Electronic Staff Record (other NHS staff receive Annual Benefits Statements).² TRS help clarify for staff their pay, pension and other financial allowances as well as locally available reward offers such as health and wellbeing programmes, learning and development, flexible working opportunities, childcare vouchers, cycle to work schemes etc.

Funding to deliver health care and how the system achieves this to the right standard and in a safe and sustainable way are interdependent. The NHS must deliver against the key standards

² http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/TRS.aspx

of patient care and it must also live within its means; it cannot choose one or the other. This relies on constraining pay bill growth to help deliver quality services and protect front line staffing. It also relies on staff and system leaders working together to create an environment which supports continuous learning and innovation.

The government is doing its part. We have introduced a range of financial controls to help trusts make better use of their budgets. The controls set out in more detail in Chapters 1, 3 and 4, range from reviewing and clamping down on unjustified high pay of very senior managers to helping the NHS bring down spiralling agency staff bills - which cost the NHS £3.3 billion last year, more than the cost of all that year’s 22 million Accident and Emergency admissions combined.

Government Pay Policy

The government has made clear that continued pay restraint in the public sector remains a vital element of its fiscal consolidation plans. In the summer budget, the Chancellor announced that, from 2016/2017, public sector pay increases will be funded at an average of one per cent in each year up to 2019/2020. The Chancellor also said that the government will continue to examine pay reforms and modernise the terms and conditions of public sector workers.

The Autumn Statement and Spending Review sets out a long term economic plan to control public expenditure and return the country to surplus, which includes reforming public services.

At a time of difficult decisions the government’s pay policy will help ensure the NHS workforce is affordable and help protect jobs.

Recruitment and Retention

For this pay review round, the Chief Secretary to the Treasury’s (CST) remit letter (Annex A) asked that we consider how best to target an average of one per cent to support recruitment and retention. We do not believe there are significant recruitment and retention challenges that would be resolved by awarding more than one per cent on a staff group or regional basis.

The recruitment and retention picture for the NHS remains strong. Measures of staff engagement in the staff survey and in the Friends and Family Test (FFT) remain largely positive. The trend for motivation - “the extent to which staff look forward to going to work, and are enthusiastic about and absorbed in their jobs” has been fairly stable but with some variation across trust types showing that there is scope for improvement. Pay satisfaction has however dipped from 38% to 33% which is understandable given the consolidated pay award for most employed NHS staff was around 2% over the last parliament. Following the pay deal in 2015/2016 most Agenda for Change (AfC) staff received at least a one per cent consolidated award (lower paid staff received up to 5.6%). Around half of the AfC workforce also received incremental pay of over 3% on average. NHS England’s most recent “staff friends and family test” survey shows that the majority of staff (63%) would recommend their trust as a place of work and 79% would recommend their trust as a place to receive care.

There are workforce supply issues, in particular, the supply of nursing staff which have recently been included on the Occupational Shortage list. However, we do not believe the complete answer to workforce supply issues can be effectively resolved by targeting within a pay envelope of 1%. NHS employers locally have the flexibility to pay recruitment and retention

3 The DH remit letter of 6 November 2015 is at Annex B
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premia to help resolve any local recruitment or retention problems. Latest figures show a continuation of the downward trend in the proportion of staff receiving an RRP payment.\(^4\)

NHS pay varies little across the country. By contrast, there is greater pay differentiation in the private sector. We plan to carry out analysis next year on how relative pay might influence a range of performance measures such as participation rates, turnover and use of agencies.

Vacancy rates are an important element of workforce planning at local and national levels. The Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC) consulted on the publication of its August 2015 NHS job data, the responses to which will be collated and which will inform the next publication of NHS Jobs data in late February 2016. We expect the next publication will provide more meaningful information on vacancies by occupational group and by region.

Currently, data derived from NHS Jobs reflects the number of job advertisements and the number of staff recruited as a result. However, some advertisements cover multiple posts, and the number of staff recruited is captured only if the employer decides to record it on the NHS Jobs system. There are recruitment and retention pressures in certain groups and areas but there is no evidence that awarding higher pay increases to particular staff groups or on a regional basis would resolve these.

Summary

To ensure patients are at the centre of everything the NHS does, we need to invest in the substantive workforce, relying on temporary staffing by exception.

The content of our evidence this year reflects the government’s priority for reducing the deficit whilst ensuring public sector workforces are affordable and that contracts are reformed to better support the delivery of public services. In the NHS, the affordability and flexibility of national pay frameworks is vital to support different ways of working to meet increasing demand and to support the delivery of seven day services.

As set out in Lord Prior’s remit letter to you, the Department will provide high level evidence focussing on the economic and financial (NHS funding) context and strategic policy with separate evidence provided by:

- NHS Employers and NHS providers on recruitment, retention, motivation and morale for employed NHS staff on AfC pay, terms and conditions;
- Health Education England (HEE) on education, training and workforce capacity; and
- NHS England – high level information on affordability and funding constraints and an update on the Five Year Forward View.

The subsequent chapters of the Department’s evidence, therefore, set out in:

- Chapter 1, how our pay strategy should support contract reform;
- Chapter 2, the general economic outlook for the UK economy;
- Chapter 3, NHS finance;
- Chapter 4, our high level strategy and policy context for workforce planning; current information sources and how they are being used; how we are reducing our reliance on

agency staffing; evidence to support consideration of targeting to support recruitment and retention; and an update on Staff Experience (staff engagement and health and wellbeing strategies;  

- Chapter 5: an update on AfC contract reform which builds on recommendations in your report "Enabling the delivery of healthcare services every day of the week – the implications for Agenda for Change" and sets out our ambition for reforming the incremental pay system and wider reforms in partnership with NHS trades unions; and  

- Chapter 6: the current position on pension reform and TR.

In conclusion, we do not believe there is currently the evidence to support the targeting of a one per cent pay award on an occupational or regional basis. Distributing a one per cent award in this way will not resolve or improve recruitment, retention or motivation of the AfC workforce.

Although the government has provided sufficient funding across the public sector to fund a pay award at an average of 1% in each of the four years from 2016/2017, the NHS must make better use of its £45 billion pay bill. NHS employers need to look carefully at the TR offer and how the pay and non-pay benefits employers can offer locally could help them recruit and retain the staff they need. We want NHS Employers and NHS trades unions to work together, as recommended in your previous reports, to reform AfC in a balanced and fair way to support new ways of working as identified in the Five Year Forward View and which help support the delivery of seven day services.
1. NHS Strategy and Introduction

1.1. The Spending Review and Autumn Statement makes clear the Government’s ambition to return the country to surplus and to reform public services. Across the Spending Review period day to day departmental spending will fall on average at less than half the rate of the preceding five years.

1.2. The government has chosen to invest £120 billion a year by 2020/2021 to protect the position of the NHS as a world class health system, and will drive forward ambitious plans to integrate health and social care services by 2020. The Spending Review:

- provides the NHS in England £10 billion per annum more in real terms by 2020/2021 than in 2014/2015, with £6 billion a year available by the first year so that the NHS’ own Five Year Forward View is fully funded enabling it to deliver services seven days a week;
- enables universities to provide up to 10,000 additional nursing training places over this Parliament by replacing direct funding with loans;
- gives local councils the power to increase social care funding through a new 2% Council Tax precept;
- lays out a radical, local-led plan to create an integrated health and social care system by 2020, backed by an extra £1.5 billion in the Better Care Fund through local authorities; and
- confirmed the government will invest over £5 billion in health research and development over the next five years through the Department of Health.

1.3. The overarching aim of healthcare workforce policy is to ensure the right workforce with the right skills is available and affordable in the right place at the right time to provide the services patients need. To achieve this we need to be able to recruit and retain high quality, highly motivated staff in sufficient numbers and enable their training and development throughout their career to reflect the way services and technology will change.

1.4. In an environment of limited resources and ever rising demand for health and social care services, affordability of the workforce requires a balance of pay and reward which is sufficiently attractive to enable the recruitment and retention of a high quality workforce and maintain good industrial relations. As part of this, we need to recognise the importance of building the right incentives into the pay system so that it encourages compassion, flexibility and innovation within the workforce.

Workforce

1.5. We are committed to making sure we have enough NHS staff to meet patients’ needs. We already have 7,600 more nurses on our wards and 10,500 more doctors since May 2010. It will be for local providers and their Local Education and Training Boards (LETBs) to develop workforce plans for delivering seven day services in hospitals and primary care which will make the best use of staff skills and expertise. While there may

---

be additional staff needed, we are working with NHS England to make sure we have the workforce we need, including looking at new working patterns and roles such as physician associates. Trusts spend around 60% of their funding on pay which is why we believe contract reform is crucial to help ensure the workforce is affordable and sustainable.

1.6. The health service cannot function without a supply of highly trained healthcare professionals to provide services funded by the NHS. The NHS subsidises the education and training system through HEE at an annual cost of almost £5 billion to control the supply of core staff groups. Centrally, we need to ensure that the education and training “offer” is attractive enough to recruit sufficient high quality students, recognising the clinical nature of their training, whilst also reflecting changes in the wider higher education system and providing value for money for the significant investment in training and developing the future NHS workforce.

1.7. Maintaining the quality of healthcare services has become a high priority since the publication of the Francis report on Mid Staffordshire Foundation Trust. This has led to an extra focus on professional regulation, the training and development of healthcare assistants and the supply of key groups of healthcare professionals - especially nurses and GPs. However, increasing numbers of healthcare professionals in the short term is difficult because of the time taken to train them, so this requires short term action including return to practice initiatives, retention campaigns and the need to ensure essential international recruitment is supported by wider government policies.

1.8. The world’s fifth largest organisation needs to become the world’s largest learning organisation. Learning will be as much about efficiency as it is about quality, given the tight financial constraints we face. As trusts embark on that journey, they will need a great deal of support. The operating name for the new jointly-led Monitor and TDA is NHS Improvement. Together they will be responsible for increased support to hospitals to continue to improve care, boost efficiency and embed hospital safety as a key priority.

**Seven Day Services**

1.9. The government committed in its manifesto to ensuring patients can receive the hospital care they need, seven days a week by 2020, and ensuring hospitals are properly staffed, so that the quality of care is the same every day of the week.

1.10. Implementation of seven day services will be achieved by supporting trusts to meet the four priority standards by 2020 identified as having the most impact on reducing risk of weekend mortality. These are:

- Standard 2: Time to Consultant Review;
- Standard 5: Access to Diagnostics;
- Standard 6: Access to Consultant-directed Interventions; and
- Standard 8: On-going Review.

1.11. The trajectory is for 25% of the population to be covered by March 2017, 50% by March 2018 and complete coverage by March 2020. Self-assessments of how far trusts are implementing the four standards are to be organised by NHS England. Trust and

---


7 [https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/qual-clin-lead/7-day-week/](https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/qual-clin-lead/7-day-week/)
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national level data will be published at the end of April and October each year, including on MyNHS\(^8\).

1.12. In order to measure the outcomes from more seven day services in hospitals, we will establish a headline metric focusing on mortality. Two supporting metrics are already being developed: length of stay by day of the week for emergency admissions, and emergency readmissions by day of discharge for all admissions. We intend that the metrics will be published regularly at trust level as official statistics from January 2016.

The Government’s Four Year Pay Policy

1.13. The government has made clear that fiscal consolidation remains its priority. Pay restraint will help the NHS and other parts of the public sector to afford the workforce it needs to provide vital public services.

1.14. The government is clear that automatic incremental pay progression should be removed from public sector pay systems. In the NHS, a typical nurse currently receives seven years of pay progression, employed doctors in training currently receive automatic incremental pay for time served and consultants have a 19 year pay progression system.

1.15. The March 2013 AfC agreement on linking performance more closely to incremental pay\(^9\) provide employers locally with the flexibility to develop their own performance standards and applied from the 2014 performance round. The 2013 agreement is an important first step but we need to go further and see performance management systems strengthened. We also need to reform the pay structure so that it removes the expectation of progression regardless of performance. We understand that the development and implementation of local performance standards across the NHS is patchy. It is clear to us that we cannot just rely on local appraisal systems and that structural reform is necessary to help employers make progress and to help reduce the inbuilt pressure of incremental pay – around £550 million a year. More detail is at Chapter 5.

1.16. At a time of difficult decisions, the government’s pay policy will help to ensure the NHS workforce is affordable and protects jobs.

1.17. Over the next four years the government’s pay policy includes:

- average pay increase of 1% - 2016/2017; 2017/2018; 2018/2019 and 2019/2020; and
- introduction of a new compulsory National Living Wage (NLW) from April 2016 set at £7.20 from next April rising to £9.00 an hour by 2020 which replaces the minimum wage currently £6.50 an hour.

---

\(^8\) MyNHS is a comparison website tool, that allows health and social care organisations to see how their services compare with those of others. It has been developed by NHS England, together with the Department of Health, the Health and Social Care Information Service, the Care Quality Commission and Public Health England. It gives commissioners, providers and professionals a central snapshot of published data, to drive improvements in the quality of care. [https://www.nhs.uk/service-search/performance/search](https://www.nhs.uk/service-search/performance/search)

Helping Trusts Meet the Financial Challenge

1.18. In his letter of June 2015 to Chairs of NHS Trusts, NHS Foundation Trusts (FTs) and Clinical Commissioning Groups, the Secretary of State emphasised that keeping control of the paybill, while ensuring the NHS can recruit and retain high quality staff, is a crucial part of meeting the efficiency challenge. Measures include:

- clamping down on unjustified excessive pay for very senior managers and the expectation that new redundancy terms, which limit pay-outs should apply to executive staff in the same way they apply to AfC staff; and that
- compulsory exits through redundancy should be used as the very last resort for all staff, with organisations seeking to re-deploy staff wherever possible. See Annex C.

1.19. The government has also proposed a cap of £95,000 on exit payments, including redundancy, in the public sector. This is being put into effect through the Enterprise Bill (currently awaiting its second reading).10 If this becomes law all public sector employees will be subject to this cap and it will affect all exit payments from summer 2016. The government will also consult on further measures to ensure exit payments are fair and proportionate across the public sector.

1.20. Other Sections 154 to 157 of the Small Business, Enterprise and Employment Act 2015 which comes into effect on 1 April 2016 will enable claw-back of redundancy payments of high earners who are re-hired within the public sector.11

1.21. In August this year, Monitor wrote to all trusts emphasising the need for strong financial management. Monitor is working closely with trusts in deficit, and have introduced a mandatory approvals processes for spending on consultancy in relation to those trusts in breach of their licence. The overall approach is not limited to those trusts most in need, but is designed to ensure the NHS makes better use of their budgets. This work is informed by Lord Carter’s review on “The Model Hospital” and the central procurement initiative.12 Monitor’s letter at Annex D also references the March 2013 AfC collective agreement - “Implementing fully the Agenda for Change 2013 agreement on pay progression”.13

Temporary Staffing

1.22. On 13 October 2015 the Secretary of State announced a cap on the hourly rates per shift agencies can charge for providing staff to the NHS.14 These measures are

10 http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2015-16/enterprise.html
expected to remove £1 billion from agency spending bills over the next three years. The caps will gradually decrease over time, so that in future agencies cannot charge the NHS a shift rate that is more than the hourly rate paid to existing substantive doctors, nurses and other staff. The measures will help improve the current situation where staff who undertake short-term agency work can receive greater rewards than those in a substantive post which provides better continuity of care for patients. The consultation exercise concluded on 13 November. The caps are set slightly higher than the pay that substantive staff receive, but will be gradually reduced to the same level as substantive staff plus 55% by April 2016. This gradual reduction in the cap will mean trusts are better able to manage this change. The 55% uplift accounts for employment on-costs including employer pension contribution, employer national insurance, holiday pay to the worker and a modest administration fee.

1.23. The price caps have been developed with, and are supported by clinical leaders in Care Quality Commission (CQC) and NHS England. Trusts will be able to override caps where absolutely necessary to protect patient safety. Any overrides will be subject to scrutiny by Monitor and the TDA.

1.24. Monitor and the TDA published guidance on the price caps for procuring agency staff in the NHS following the conclusion of the consultation on the rules, the specific caps and the associated impact assessment.

“The price caps are intended to support trusts when they procure from agencies and to encourage staff to return to permanent and bank working. They should enable trusts to manage their workforce in a more sustainable way, reduce reliance and expenditure on agency staffing, raise quality and improve the working environment for their staff”.

1.25. CQC are working closely with NHS Improvement to ensure ongoing patient safety.

Contract Reform

1.26. NHS contracts are over a decade old. Pay Review Bodies, employers, staff and trades unions have all identified key areas for reform. The government has been clear that reforms should be delivered on a cost neutral basis. Across the employed workforce there is consensus that, for example incremental pay systems should be reformed. You have observed that, to deliver this the partners need to discuss and agree a balanced package of reforms as described earlier. The government wants to see every penny of the £45 billion pay bill for employed staff used to deliver the best possible care for patients.

1.27. Those that choose a career in the NHS want to provide excellent care whenever and wherever needed. A stronger emphasis on linking pay more closely to performance/responsibility rather than incremental pay for time served is a common theme across the NHS workforce. Patient care is a team effort and contract reform will also help trusts ensure the right staff are rostered across seven days. For example, we are working with the BMA on contract reform, to replace the contractual right of consultants to opt out of non-emergency work at the weekends and evenings with stronger safeguards over working hours. Replacing the ‘opt out’ will help to increase the availability of senior decision makers, not only for patients but as a crucial part of the entire health care team.

16 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/rules-for-all-agency-staff-working-in-the-nhs
We know that patients would not understand why care in a 24/7 health care system at particular times of the day or week can cost much more to deliver. Contract reform intends to strike a fair balance between providing care whenever and wherever that care is needed whilst recognising that NHS staff, in common with employed staff in the public and private sectors right across the country also want to balance work in the evenings, overnight and at weekends with family/caring responsibilities.

Staff Engagement

Good staff support and engagement is directly related to patient experience, safety and quality of care. The NHS is a place where staff should want to work and each organisation must develop an environment and culture which embeds not only the NHS Constitution but develops its own values around commitment to excellent, safe patient care where staff feel safe, bullying is not tolerated and where they are supported and properly rewarded.

Summary

The overall NHS employment offer is still very attractive and continues to enable employers to recruit the staff the NHS needs. All employers should ensure they are making the best use of TR to help recruit and retain staff. Ensuring staff understand their overall employment offer through their TRS will raise awareness of the national and local benefits available to them.

Following the events at Mid Staffordshire Hospital, we need meaningful performance and appraisal systems that reward staff not just for what they do for patients but how they care for them – demanding competence, the right behaviours and values to keep patients safe.

The NHS continues to face the most significant financial challenge of its history despite being protected in real terms. This means trusts must make every penny count. We are doing our part, for example by driving down spend on employing staff through agencies and banks so trusts can invest in their substantive workforce. Trusts must achieve financial balance and strive to make the NHS the safest healthcare system in the world. They must continue to look forward, harnessing new technologies and new ways of working for the benefit of patients. For example by:

- delivering seven day services;
- reducing emergency admissions and length of stay; and
- making more efficient use of expensive technology.

The NHS in England is already among the best healthcare systems in the world and that is down to the hard work NHS staff do every day to ensure patients are treated with dignity and respect. Developing a NHS which continues to focus hard on learning and continuous improvement will help create the innovations it needs to make the best use tax payer funding.

Ensuring the NHS is a place staff want to work is not just about pay. The NHS must work hard to improve the capability and capacity of the HR community and system leaders so they can bring staff with them as they seek to reform health care systems and national employment contracts. Making that happen means using the overall employment offer more effectively. Good leadership and effective staff engagement

http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/TRS.aspx
strategies will help improve morale, we know high levels of staff engagement are linked to patient satisfaction and improved outcomes.
2. Evidence on the General Economic Outlook

Introduction

2.1. The UK economy grew faster in 2014 than any other major advanced economy at 2.9%, its best performance since 2005. The Spending Review and Autumn Statement set out the government’s long term economic plan to fix the public finances, return the country to surplus and run a healthy economy that starts to bear down on the excessive national debt. It recognises the risks from abroad and the need to secure Britain’s economic future.

2.2. Public sector pay restraint has been a key part of the fiscal consolidation so far. It helped save approximately £8 billion in the last Parliament and is expected to save another £5 billion in the current Parliament. At a time when further spending reductions are required to complete the repair of the public sector finances, a policy of pay restraint makes a significant contribution to protecting jobs and maintaining public services.

2.3. At Summer Budget 2015 the government announced that it would fund public sector workforces for pay awards of 1% for four years from 2016/2017 onwards. The Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) estimates that this policy will protect 200,000 jobs by 2019/2020. The government expects that pay awards will be applied in a targeted manner to support the delivery of public services, ensuring that flexibility exists to meet any recruitment and retention pressures.

2.4. The UK economy is fundamentally stronger than five years ago, with positive growth since the first quarter of 2013. The UK economy grew by 0.4% in the third quarter of 2015 and the OBR forecast the UK economy to grow by 2.4% in 2015 overall.

2.5. Since 2010 the deficit has halved as a share of GDP and for the first time since 2001/2002, the national debt is forecast to fall in 2015/2016, meeting the target set out in 2010. However, risks remain to the recovery, including from slower growth in the global economy. Debt stands at its highest share of GDP since the late 1960s, and the deficit remains among the highest in advanced economies. At Spending Review and Autumn Statement, the government set out the action it would take to complete the job of repairing the public finances started in the last Parliament. The government will reduce the deficit at the same rate as in the previous Parliament (around 1.1% of GDP a year on average) to reach an overall surplus of £10.1 billion in 2019/2020. Running a surplus on the headline measure of borrowing is the most reliable way to bring down debt as a share of GDP in the long term.

2.6. Inflation is forecast by the Bank of England and OBR to remain low for rest of the year, before returning gradually to the 2% target in the medium term. The OBR forecast inflation of 0.1% in 2015 and 1.0% in 2016. The Bank of England’s latest inflation forecast, published in the November Inflation Report has been revised down compared to the August report. The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) expect inflation at 0.1% (down from 0.4%) in the year to Q4 2015, 1.25% (down from 1.6%) in the year to Q4 2016, and unchanged at 2.1% in the year to Q4 2017.

2.7. Headline employment and unemployment figures were strong in 2013 and 2014. This trend has continued in 2015 with employment almost continuously rising, reaching a record high in the three months to October of 31.3 million, at a record rate of 73.9%. The OBR expects employment to increase by 1.1 million over the forecast period,
representing employment growth of 3.5%. Unemployment fell by 244,000 in the year to Aug-Oct 2015 to a level of 1.71 million. The unemployment rate in the three months to October 2015 stood at a nine year low of 5.2%, down from the peak of 8.5% in the three months to November 2011. Real wage growth has remained at pre-recession rates. In the three months to October, total pay grew by 2.4% in both nominal and real terms, compared to the same period last year. Regular wages grew by 2.0% in nominal terms and 2.1% in real terms. Total pay in the private sector grew by 2.7%, while in the public sector (excluding financial services) it grew by 1.6%. Average earnings have outstripped inflation for 13 consecutive months, the longest period of real earnings growth since before the recession, and are forecast by the OBR to continue to grow faster than inflation for the entire forecast period.

Growth

2.8. In 2008 the UK was hit by the most damaging financial crisis in generations. Between Q1 2008 and Q2 2009 the UK economy contracted by 6.1%, greater than the reductions in growth in the US, France, and Canada.

2.9. The government’s long term economic plan has secured the recovery. The government’s fiscal responsibility has allowed monetary activism to support demand in the economy alongside repair of the financial sector. This has been supported by supply-side reform to deliver sustainable increases in standards of living.

2.10. UK GDP growth has been positive since the first quarter of 2013 and GDP is now 6.1% above its pre-crisis peak. Growth in 2014 was 2.9%, above the OBR’s March forecast of 2.6%. The UK economy grew by 0.4% in the third quarter of 2015, following 0.5% growth in the second quarter. The recovery is broad-based with widespread growth across all major sectors since the start of 2013, and production, services and construction all growing in the third quarter on a year earlier. The OBR’s forecast at Autumn Statement 2015 confirmed the UK recovery is well established with growth of 2.4% in 2015 and 2016 at 2.4%, before rising to 2.5% in 2017.

2.11. However, external risks remain, reinforcing the case for stability in the government’s long-term economic plan. The global economic recovery remains uneven and the risks from the world economy demonstrate the need to continue to fix the economy to ensure the UK can deal with risks from abroad.

2.12. As a part of its economic policy, the government has announced its intention to improve the UK’s productivity performance. Improving productivity is key to increasing living standards and delivering strong growth. The government has published a productivity plan, “Fixing the foundations: Creating a more prosperous nation”, which tackles the UK’s serious long-term challenges, with major reforms to improve the UK’s infrastructure, tackle failures in the skills system, improve the planning system, encourage long-term finance for productive investment and give cities the governance and powers they need to succeed.

2.13. In the Autumn Statement the government announced further measures to improve productivity in the UK including protecting per pupil funding for schools, providing an additional £1.3 billion (until 2019/2020) to attract new teachers into the profession, protecting today’s £4.7 billion science funding in real terms for the rest of the Parliament, investing up to £6.9 billion in the UK’s research infrastructure up to 2021; and proposing a new University focusing on Engineering, to be located in Hereford.
Table 2.1: Forecasts for GDP growth 2015 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasts for GDP growth (per cent)</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBR (Summer Budget 2015)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF WEO (July 2015 update)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. of independent forecasters (August 2015)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inflation

2.14. November’s annual CPI inflation rate was 0.1%, up from -0.1% in August. External factors, such as oil and commodity prices, continue to exert significant downward pressure on inflation. Recently low inflation is good news for working families, helping their budgets stretch further with lower food and fuel costs. In the year to November 2015, food prices fell by -2.7% and prices of motor fuels fell by -12.9%.

2.15. Compared to the Bank of England’s August 2015 Inflation Report, the outlook for inflation in the August report has been revised downwards. The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) judged that CPI inflation is likely to remain close to zero in the near term, before rising as past falls in energy prices begin to drop out of the annual comparison. The MPC expect inflation at 0.1% (down from 0.4%) in the year to Q4 2015, 1.25% (down from 1.6%) in the year to Q4 2016, and unchanged at 2.1% in the year to Q4 2017.

2.16. Inflation is forecast by the Bank of England and OBR to remain low for rest of the year, before returning gradually to the 2% target in the medium term. The OBR forecast inflation of 0.1% in 2015 and 1.0% in 2016.

Table 2.2: Forecasts for CPI Inflation 2015 to 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forecasts for CPI Inflation (per cent change on a year earlier)</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OBR (Autumn Statement 2015)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMF WEO (October 2015)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. of independent forecasters (December 2015)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Affordability and Fiscal Strategy

2.17. Since 2010 the government has taken action to cut the deficit which has more than halved as a share of GDP from its 2009/2010 post-war peak. However, the job is not yet done. The deficit remains high compared to advanced economies and public sector net debt as a share of GDP has more than doubled since the pre-recession period. The government remains committed to eliminating the deficit and to getting debt as a share
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of GDP on a declining path across the forecast period to return the public finances to a more sustainable position.

2.18. At Summer Budget the government announced its intention to reduce the deficit at the same average rate as over the previous Parliament. That means reducing the deficit by around 1.1% a year on average over the next four years. The government is maintaining this same pace of deficit reduction and has taken the decisions necessary to finish the job of repairing the public finances. Public sector net borrowing as a share of GDP is forecast to fall year-on-year across the forecast period and the government is expected to achieve a surplus of £10.1 billion in 2019/2020.

2.19. However continued action will be required in order to bring debt down to more sustainable levels. Last year, net debt as a share of GDP reached its highest level since the late 1960s. By 2020/2021, it is still forecast to be 71.3%, significantly above there-recession level in 2007/2008. High debt increases the UK’s vulnerability to future shocks. Evidence suggests that at higher debt levels, the scope for fiscal policy to stabilise the economy is reduced.

2.20. A strategy for debt reduction must also take into account the possibility of future economic shocks. Independent monetary policy now delivers low and stable medium-term inflation to the benefit of the whole economy. This contrasts with the experience after World War II, when very high inflation, together with artificially low interest rates, played a major role in reducing debt. The UK economy has been subject to relatively frequent shocks in the past, and though their nature and timing are unpredictable, responsible fiscal policy should allow for them. Once future economic shocks are allowed for, running a deficit to finance capital investment (balancing only the current budget) and relying on trend economic growth is insufficient to bring down debt, as set out in HM Treasury analysis at Budget 2014. In a low inflationary environment, with economic shocks, the most reliable way to bring down debt as a share of GDP is to run an overall surplus in normal times. Substantial debt reduction in future will depend on responsible management of the public finances and sustainable economic growth.

Proposed new Charter for Budget Responsibility

2.21. On 14 October 2015, Parliament approved the government’s updated Charter for Budget Responsibility. The new fiscal rules commit the government to delivering a surplus by the end of the Parliament, and every year thereafter when the economy is in normal times, entrenching a commitment to long-term fiscal sustainability. The Charter sets out:

- A target, once a surplus is achieved in 2019/2020, to run a surplus each subsequent year as long as the economy remains in normal times.

2.22. Under the updated Charter, the surplus rule will be suspended if the economy is hit by a significant negative shock (defined as 4 quarter-on-4 quarter GDP growth below 1%). This provides flexibility to allow the automatic stabilisers to operate freely when needed. Following a shock, the government of the day will be required to set a plan to return to surplus, including appropriate fiscal targets. The framework does not prescribe what the targets should be, allowing the government of the day to respond to the circumstances. However, the targets will be voted on by the House of Commons and assessed by the OBR.
The end goal is to ensure that long-term debt reduction continues, leaving the country better placed to withstand future economic shocks. Returning to a surplus in normal times will provide the government of the day with the fiscal space to allow appropriate action to be taken in the face of future shocks.

Labour market

After strong rises in 2013 and 2014, headline labour market figures slowed in the first half of 2015. 2014 saw the employment level increasing by over 600,000, and the employment rise in the first half of 2015 was 139,000, taking the level of employment to 31.0 million. Since then, employment has risen to record levels of 31.3 million. In the three months to September, the employment rate rose by 1.0 percentage point on the year to 73.9%, the highest rate on record. The unemployment rate fell by 0.8 percentage points on the year, and by 3.3 percentage points since the peak of 8.5% in the 3 months to November of 2011, to a nine year low of 5.2%. The OBR forecast the rate to stabilise at 5.4% by the end of the forecast period.

The number of vacancies in the three months to November 2015 stood at record levels, having increased by 45,000 over the year to 747,000. The number of unemployed people per vacancy fell to 2.3 in the three months to October 2015, down from a high of 5.9 following the recession.

Wage growth picked up in 2015, reaching levels of up to 3.3% in the three months to May. The most recent data shows more moderate total pay growth of 2.4% in the three months to October, in both nominal and real terms, with real wage growth remaining at pre-recession rates.

Employment and unemployment

Over the year to the three months to October 2015, employment grew by 505,000. The majority of this growth was among full-time employees, whose numbers increased by 348,000 on the year. The number of people in self-employment rose slightly on the year (71,000).

Over the year to Aug-Oct 2015, unemployment fell by 244,000 to 1.71 million, down 995,000 from the peak in the three months to November 2011.

Long term unemployment (unemployment of 12 months or more) stood at 509,000 in Aug-Oct 2015, down by 175,000 over the year. Over 70% of the fall in total unemployment over the year came from the decrease in long term unemployment.

Working age inactivity (16-64) fell slightly on the year to the three months to October 2015, with the level and rate at 8.93 million and 21.9% respectively. The female inactivity rate also continued to decline, dropping 0.4 percentage points on the year.

Youth unemployment (16-24) fell by 129,000 over the year to Aug-Oct 2015. This was primarily amongst those not in full-time education. The youth unemployment rate stood at 13.6%, down 2.9 percentage points on the year. Excluding people in full-time education, there were 416,000 unemployed 16-24 year olds, with a corresponding unemployment rate of 12.2%.

The claimant count fell by 112,400 in the year to November 2015. The claimant count rate stood at 2.3%, the lowest level since February 1975. Table 2.3 summarises these statistics:
Table 2.3: Labour market statistics summary (Levels in 000s, rates in %)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment level (All aged 16 and over)</td>
<td>29,228</td>
<td>29,376</td>
<td>29,696</td>
<td>30,043</td>
<td>30,726</td>
<td>31,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment rate (All aged 16-64)</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment level (All aged 16 and over)</td>
<td>2,497</td>
<td>2,593</td>
<td>2,572</td>
<td>2,476</td>
<td>2,027</td>
<td>1,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment rate (All aged 16 and over)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth unemployment level (All aged 16-24)</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth unemployment rate (All aged 16-24)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claimant Count</td>
<td>1,496.4</td>
<td>1,534.4</td>
<td>1,585.6</td>
<td>1,421.0</td>
<td>1,037.6</td>
<td>796.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The latest public and private sector employment figures available are for the third quarter of 2015. These show that private sector employment rose by 226,000 on the quarter and was up by 554,000 over the year. This more than offset the fall in public sector employment which decreased by 19,000 on the quarter and by 48,000 over the year. Over this period over six private sector jobs have been created for every public sector job lost. These series exclude the effects of major reclassifications where large bodies employing large number of people have moved between the public and private sectors.

Public sector pay and pensions

2.33. The recent recession saw a significant fall in UK wage growth, particularly in the private sector. Analysis by IFS and ONS shows that over the last few years public sector workers have benefitted from a higher pay growth on average compared to workers with similar characteristics in the private sector. While the pay differential between public and private sector workers is narrowing, the overall remuneration of public sector employees when taking employer pension provision into account continues to be above that of the market.

2.34. Earnings growth in the private sector has been strong throughout 2015 and in the three months to October, total pay growth (including bonuses) stood at 2.7%, while private sector regular pay growth (excluding bonuses) also stood at 2.3%. Although low inflation has helped boost real wages, nominal private sector wage growth remains below rates seen before the recession (about 4-5% per annum).

2.35. Public sector average earnings growth was 1.3% in the three months to October 2015. Regular earnings (excluding bonuses) also grew by 1.3% over the same period. These
rates stood above the rate of inflation in this period (-0.1%) but still below the pre-recession average growth rate, as in the private sector.

2.36. Historically, public sector wages tend to fall and recover at a slower pace during economic cycles than private sector wages – i.e. there can be a delay between a recession occurring and public sector wage adjustment. Since July 2014, private sector earnings growth has been faster than growth in public sector wages, but this follows on from sustained public sector wage growth in the years immediately following the recession. From the three months to March 2008 to the three months to October 2015, total average private sector earnings have increased by 10.4%, while those in the public sector have increased by 16.1%. The overall level of public sector average weekly wage remains above that of the private sector. Table 2.4 compares the growth in average public and private sector weekly earnings since 2008.

Table 2.4: Total pay comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Pay Index: October 2008 - October 2015 (October 2008=100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Average Weekly Earnings, ONS Labour Market Statistics, December 2015

2.37. When considering changes to remuneration, it is important to consider other elements of the total reward package. Including hourly employer pension contributions to hourly pay and bonus, recent HMT analysis finds that public sector workers benefit from an 8% premium compared with their private sector counterparts. This is supported by the IFS (October 2014 paper), who found that a 4.6% pay premium continues to exist in favour of public sector workers and that the premium increases significantly if one incorporates pension payments in the analysis. This premium is driven by a number of factors including high pay for women, and protection for the low paid in the public sector. Table 2.5 shows the comparison of average hourly earnings for public and private sector workers with similar characteristics across time.
2.38. The government wants to move from a low wage, high tax, high welfare society to a higher wage, lower tax, lower welfare society and wants to do this in a fair way by ensuring that low wage workers take a greater share of the gains from growth. An essential part of this is the introduction of a new National Living Wage (NLW) for workers aged 25 and above.

2.39. At Summer Budget 2015, it was announced that the NLW will increase pay to £7.20 per hour from April 2016, rising to £9.00 per hour by 2020, benefitting workers across the economy. Estimates indicate that the NLW policy is expected to directly benefit approximately 200,000 public sector jobs.

Pension reforms

2.40. One major factor in the overall reward package is pension provision. In the last few decades pension provision in the public and private sectors has diverged, in response to pressures around longevity, changes in the business environment and investment risk. This has led to a sharp decrease in the provision of defined benefit schemes in the private sector. Around 85% of public sector employees are members of employer-sponsored pension schemes, compared to only 35% in the private sector.

2.41. Following a fundamental review of public service pension provision by the Independent Public Service Pensions Commission, the government has introduced key changes to the pension element of the remuneration package. New public service pension schemes introduced in April 2015:

- calculate pension entitlement using the average earnings of a member over their career, rather than their salary at or near to retirement;
- calculate pension benefits based on Normal Pension Age linked to the member’s State Pension Age; and
- include an employer cost cap mechanism, which will ensure that the risks associated with pension provision are shared with scheme members to provide backstop protection for the taxpayer.
2.42. The changes introduced through the Public Service Pensions Act 2013 will save an estimated £65 billion by 2061/2062.

2.43. Wider changes to public service pension provision have also taken place. Progressive increases in the amount that members contribute towards their public service pension began in April 2012 and were phased in over three years, with the final increases made in April 2014. Members are now contributing an average of 3.2 percentage points more, delivering £2.8 billion of savings a year by 2014/2015.

2.44. Protections from the impact of the contribution changes have been put in place for the lowest paid. Those earning less than £15,000 were not subject to increases; and increases for those earning up to £21,000 (£26,000 for Teachers) were capped at 1.5 percentage points.

2.45. Public service pensions will remain among the best available and will continue to offer members guaranteed, index-linked benefits in retirement that are protected against inflation. Private sector workers buying benefits in the market would have to contribute over a third of their salary each year to buy an equivalent pension.

2.46. Putting together the evidence on pension provision and pay levels – and recognising that there will be significant variation between and within individual workforces – the overall remuneration of public sector employees is above that of the market. The government is therefore clear that any changes to public service pensions, including the progressive increase in contributions from 2012/2013, do not justify upward pressure on pay.

Recruitment and Retention

2.47. Across the whole economy there is evidence that the labour market is performing strongly. There has been strong growth in employment and tightening of labour market slack, a record high number of vacancies. However, there is limited evidence of widespread recruitment and retention issues within the public sector, and resignation rates continue to be below pre-recession levels in this sector. Table 2.6 demonstrates recent resignation and early retirement rates in the public sector.
Table 2.6: Resignation and Early Retirement Rates (up to Q4 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Resignation Rate</th>
<th>Early Retirement Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Labour Force Survey Microdata, ONS and HM Treasury analysis

2.48. The rate at which people are resigning from the public sector remains substantially below pre-recession levels. Within the public sector, the resignation rate was relatively constant prior to the recession, in the region of 0.4 – 0.5%. From the middle of 2008 this rate fell sharply to 0.2 – 0.3%, potentially relating to opportunities outside the public sector becoming scarcer. Since then it has made little sustained recovery and remained within the range up to 2014. The early retirement rate figures increased between 2010 and 2011, but have subsequently fallen back again.

2.49. The CIPD Labour Monthly Outlook, Autumn 2015, indicates that amongst all private sector firms, where pay has increased by 2% or more, in only 22% of cases were pay awards set at that level because of recruitment and retention issues.

2.50. There is limited evidence of widespread recruitment and retention issues in the public sector. The government’s expectation that the 1% pay award for 2016/2017 will be applied in a targeted manner to support the delivery of public services ensures that flexibility exists to meet any recruitment and retention pressures.
3. NHS Finances

Funding Growth

3.1. This chapter sets out the financial context for the NHS.

3.2. Between 1999/2000 and 2010/2011 NHS revenue expenditure increased by an average of 5.3% in real terms. The four years of the most recent spending review period (2011/2012 to 2014/2015) have shown subdued growth, averaging 1.4% per year in real terms. Table 3.1 shows:

- outturn NHS revenue expenditure figures from 1999/2000 to 2014/2015; and
- Revenue Departmental Expenditure Limits (RDEL).
### Table 3.1 NHS Revenue Expenditure: England - 1999/2000 to 2015/2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year(4)</th>
<th>Revenue(5)</th>
<th>Net NHS Expenditure (6) £bn</th>
<th>% increase</th>
<th>% real terms increase (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RB Stage 1(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999/00</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RB Stage 2 (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/04</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Budgeting - Aligned (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/14</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>Outturn</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Expenditure figures from 1999/2000 to 2002/2003 are on a Stage 1 resource budgeting basis.
2. Expenditure figures from 2003/2004 to 2009/2010 are on a Stage 2 resource budgeting basis.
3. Expenditure figures from 2009/2010 to 2016/2017 are on an aligned basis following the government’s Clear Line of Sight programme.
4. Expenditure figures are not consistent over the period (1999/2000 to 2016/2017) and this should be noted when making comparisons between years.

5. Revenue is quoted gross of non-trust Depreciation and Impairments; prior to September 2007, revenue was quoted net of non-trust Depreciation and Impairments. This brings DH in line with HMT presentation of the statistics.

6. Expenditure excludes NHS (Annually Managed Expenditure (AME))

7. Real terms increase has been calculated using GDP as at 25/11/2015

Share of Resource Going to Pay

3.3. Table 3.2 shows the proportion of the increased funding that has been consumed by the Hospital and Community Health Services (HCHS) paybill over time. Note that the HCHS workforce comprises staff working within hospital and community health settings; it therefore excludes General Practitioners, GP practice staff and General Dental Practitioners.

**Table 3.2 Increases in Revenue Expenditure and the Proportion Consumed by Paybill**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Increase in Revenue Expenditure (£bn)</th>
<th>Increase in HCHS provider paybill (£bn)</th>
<th>Proportion of revenue increase on paybill (%)</th>
<th>Increase in HCHS paybill due to prices (£bn)</th>
<th>Increase in HCHS paybill due to volume (%): (£bn)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/04</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Revised 2010/2011 to 2012/2013, following accounts restatements and exclude inter-company eliminations

2. Excludes ALB and DH core staff expenditure

3. Excludes GPs

4. Volume & Price estimates changes methodology in 2010/2011 to make use of a more detailed staff group breakdown from ESR

5. Figures may not sum due to rounding.

3.4. On average, between 2011/2012 and 2014/2015, increases to the HCHS paybill have consumed 18% of the increases in revenue expenditure. Of these 18 percentage points,
pay effects have consumed around 10 percentage points and volume effects around 8 percentage points.

3.5. HCHS pay is the largest cost pressure, on average it has accounted for around 39% of the increases in revenue expenditure since 2001/2002. As pay represents such a large proportion of NHS resources, managing the paybill is key to ensuring the NHS lives within the funding growth it has been assigned in the next year.

Pressures on NHS Funding Growth

3.6. Different priorities compete for limited funding growth given to the NHS. They are grouped into three categories:

- baseline pressures;
- underlying demand; and
- service developments.

3.7. Baseline pressures cover the cost of meeting existing commitments that are essential for delivery of NHS services. They do not cover underlying demand, or increased levels of activity, which may arise due to demographic pressures or medical advances. Service developments are new areas of activity which arise due to new policies or ministerial commitments.

3.8. HCHS paybill pressures are the largest component of the baseline pressures and usually form the first call on NHS resources. Managing baseline pressures effectively allows the NHS to treat a growing, ageing population whilst making best use of the funding available.

Allocation of Resources

3.9. Table 3.3 shows how funding increases have been allocated across baseline pressures, demand and service developments in previous Spending Review periods.

Table 3.3 Disposition of Revenue Increase across Expenditure Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activity Growth</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Development</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHS Pay (Price only Component)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Care Drugs</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (including central budgets)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care Drugs</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Dentistry, Ophthalmic and Pharmaceutical Services</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Medical Services</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for Social Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual increase in revenue</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

(1) SR2004 and CSR2007 activity growth numbers exclude purchases of healthcare from non NHS bodies, whereas they are included in the SR10 figures.

(2) 15/16 and 16/17 figures assume a 1.8bn RDEL over-commitment against our current HMT control total.

(3) Activity includes growth in non-NHS bodies.

(4) Productivity savings are offset by increased agency spend.

(5) The 15/16 growth in other is due to large central budget reductions in 14/15, the reduction in 16/17 is due to planned reductions in the non-NHS England part of our budget.

3.10. 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 saw an increase in the HCHS workforce – likely in response to the Francis Report and addressing unsafe staffing risks. Recruitment tends to be towards the lower end of the pay scales, and so the price element of the paybill has been subduced in these years. However, the previously announced changes to the state pension represent a considerable financial pressure on the paybill in 2016/2017.

3.11. The difficulty of allocating resources is therefore more acute than it has been in the previous 10 years. The revenue resources available to the NHS in 2016/2017 were set in the government’s Spending Review, published on 25 November 2015, and are shown in Tables 3.1 and 3.3.

Financial Balance

3.12. In recent years the NHS budget has represented an unprecedented challenge to the service to deliver quality care with limited resources. Although the Department, in comparison to other Government Departments, received a generous settlement, the position in 2016/2017 is tight for two reasons:
   - the change to the state pension; and
   - the requirement to recover the provider net deficit position.

Conclusion

3.13. In recent years the NHS received a better Spending Review settlement than almost all other parts of the public sector, including a commitment to real terms increases in health spending in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. However, this still represented the biggest financial challenge in the history of the NHS.

3.14. The NHS is delivering on this challenge and has so far met its savings targets in 2011/2012, 2012/2013, and 2013/2014. However, there is still work to do in shifting the focus from centrally driven savings to transformational changes which will reduce the long term cost pressures on NHS services.

Provider Deficits

3.15. The NHS faces a significant financial challenge in 2015/2016. To help, an additional £2 billion this year and £8 billion over the next five years, that the NHS has said it needs to implement its own plan for the future, will be invested. Whilst NHS providers delivered an overall net deficit in 2014/2015, offsetting savings throughout the rest of the system were achieved and financial balance against all spending controls was delivered. And with the financial controls package and help from system leads, we expect to deliver financial balance against the overall spending controls in 2015/2016.

3.16. In 2016/2017 we expect trusts to balance their books but it will still challenging because of increasing demand for health services as a consequence of the ageing and growing population, new drugs and treatments and safer staffing requirements.

Labour Productivity and Total Factor Productivity in the NHS

3.17. Labour productivity is calculated by dividing total NHS output by an appropriate measure of labour input (usually some form of weighted sum of staff numbers and hours worked).
It measures the amount of output generated per 'unit' of labour, and as such is an important component of efficiency.

3.18. The measure of labour productivity we use for the NHS in England is the one developed by the University of York (Centre for Health Economics, CHE). The York measure uses a wide range of NHS data sources to assess outputs and inputs and also adjusts the output measure to take some account of quality change, including change in waiting times and death rates. Their figures show that in 2012/2013 NHS outputs were 84% higher than in their base year of 1998/1999, while the volume of labour input was 40% higher. This suggests an average growth in labour productivity of 2.0% per annum.

Table 3.4 Labour Productivity Data from York (CHE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total output growth</th>
<th>Labour input growth</th>
<th>Labour productivity growth</th>
<th>Output index</th>
<th>Labour index</th>
<th>Productivity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999/00</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>100.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>-1.6%</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>108.2</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>101.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/04</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>118.4</td>
<td>101.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>103.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>107.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>113.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>116.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>160.2</td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>118.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
<td>166.8</td>
<td>141.6</td>
<td>117.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>143.4</td>
<td>121.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>125.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>184.1</td>
<td>140.2</td>
<td>131.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave. annual growth</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.19. Labour productivity is an important component of efficiency, but labour inputs account for only around half of the total cost of the NHS. A broader measure of productivity divides total output by an appropriate measure of all inputs, for example including drugs. This is called total factor productivity and York University also produce figures on this basis. Their figures show, as before, that in 2012/2013 NHS outputs were 84% higher than in the base year of 1998/1999. However, the total volume of factor inputs increased by 81% over the same period, resulting in a moderate growth of 0.1% per annum in total factor productivity.

3.20. More generally productivity, as formally defined here, does not take into account the costs of inputs, including changes in staff pay. A full measure of technical efficiency would, in addition factor in changes in pay and the cost of inputs relative to a suitable deflator. If pay increases more quickly than GDP deflator, this would have a negative effect on technical efficiency.
Table 3.5 Total Factor Productivity Data from York (CHE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total output growth</th>
<th>Total factor input growth</th>
<th>Total factor productivity growth</th>
<th>Output index</th>
<th>Total Input index</th>
<th>TFP Productivity index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999/00</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>106.7</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>113.2</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002/03</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003/04</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>-2.5%</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>130.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004/05</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/06</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/07</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>146.2</td>
<td>151.8</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/08</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>151.5</td>
<td>157.6</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/09</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>160.2</td>
<td>164.3</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>166.8</td>
<td>173.2</td>
<td>96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/11</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>174.4</td>
<td>175.5</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/12</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>179.9</td>
<td>177.3</td>
<td>101.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/13</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>184.1</td>
<td>180.8</td>
<td>101.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Ave. annual growth | 4.5% | 4.3% | 0.1% |

Source: York (CHE)

Efficiency Savings

3.21. The NHS Five Year Forward View anticipated a gap between resources and patient needs of nearly £30 billion a year by 2020/2021, if there were no further efficiencies. To fill this gap the Five Year Forward View called for an extra £8 billion in funding, which the government committed to as part of the comprehensive spending review. To live within
this budget, the NHS will make at least £22 billion of efficiency savings (equivalent to 2% - 3% efficiency per annum) and must eliminate provider deficits, currently estimated to be £2 billion.

3.22. The Department of Health is working with the health service, partners and patients to implement a number of measures to achieve efficiency savings and productivity improvements to enable the NHS to live within its resources. This includes:

- Acute providers agreeing challenging productivity improvements across workforce, estates and procurement;
- CCGs adopting the RightCare methodology to reduce unwarranted variation and deliver measurable improvements in CCG efficiency;
- Implementing New Care Models;
- Improving the prevention of ill-health; and
- Increasing income to the NHS through charges and commercial opportunities.

3.23. The plan for how to make the majority of these savings is up to local areas but support will be provided through a series of national initiatives such as central pay controls, procurement savings and reducing the cost of the architecture that leads and manages the NHS.

3.24. Lord Carter’s recent review into productivity and how hospitals buy goods and services found that the NHS could save up to £5 billion a year, by making better use of staff, medicines and deploying its vast buying power more effectively, so every penny possible can be spent on patient care. Lord Carter’s recent review says that increasing staff efficiency by just one per cent, through better planned rotas and shifts could save hospitals £400 million a year.

3.25. The Department of Health and NHS Improvement are working with the NHS to support sustainable staffing, including tackling growth in spending on high cost agency staff. NHS Improvement has written to providers with further tough in-year controls on agency spend, including a new hourly price cap and published guidance to trusts on implementing these. NHS Improvement is now working to support the system to deliver savings.

3.26. The ambition to deliver efficiency savings will never compromise the safety or quality of services. It will focus on encouraging the most productive ways of working throughout the NHS and best practice, including a greater focus on safety, which has been shown to save money. Unnecessary use and abuse of health services will continue to be challenged.
4. Recruitment, Retention, Motivation and Non-Medical Workforce Planning

Evidence on Recruitment, Retention and Vacancy Data

4.1. With regard to the observations the NHSPRB made in its 2014 report: “The parties should take urgent steps to provide data on both long-term and short-term vacancies, to be available for consideration for our next review. We would expect the data available to allow us to identify whether there are any current and/or developing problems in specific geographies or sustained shortages in specific occupations”.18

4.2. Currently data derived from NHS Jobs reflects the number of job advertisements and the number of staff recruited as a result. However, some advertisements cover multiple posts, and the number of staff recruited is captured only if the employer decides to record it on the NHS Jobs system. We recognise that NHSPRB members have been frustrated about the lack of robust evidence on the use of RRP and vacancy data. We have committed to improving the evidence base to support the NHSPRB’s work around recruitment and retention.

4.3. We are pleased to report that good progress is being made to secure the evidence the NHSPRB needs. The Department has been working with the HSCIC and others including HEE to consider how meaningful vacancy data can be provided using a combination of data from NHS Jobs, the ESR and a new data collection – the workforce Minimum Data Set (wMDS).

4.4. HEE works with provider organisations to develop the workforce plan for England based on demand, and taking into account what providers are telling them about a range of issues, including their current and future staffing requirements based on the needs of their local populations. The department has been supporting the HSCIC to work closely with NHS Jobs to publish Advertisement data as a proxy for vacancies and this data can be broken down from national data, to regional or even trust based data.

4.5. The HSCIC continue to investigate the Electronic Staff Record (ESR) as a potential source for vacancy information building on the information extracted from NHS Jobs, to both help define what more meaningful data may be possible to extract from both systems, and in a drive to improve the quality and completeness of data both in ESR and in NHS Jobs.

4.6. The HSCIC are also exploring other sources of potential vacancy information including the direct collection of further information for independent providers of NHS funded services investigating the information which is available in the ESR data warehouse and considering other sources of information for specific areas – for example for public health which spans the NHS and Local Authorities.

4.7. Earlier this year, the HSCIC undertook a consultation on proposed wide ranging changes to the definitions and presentation of its HCHS workforce statistics. They are considering the responses and an initial set of suggestions for change derived from the

---

consultation will be shared shortly. This will be open to comment for two weeks and then a final set will be issued. The HSCIC also undertook a consultation as part of its first NHS Jobs based provisional experimental vacancy statistics publication. This consultation closed on the 20 November and the HSCIC response is expected next year.

4.8. We support the HSCIC stated view on the vacancy statistics published in August 2015: “This publication provides figures which are an insight to recruitment in the NHS but which should be treated with caution, and users are discouraged from attempting to draw any conclusions from this data at this time.”

4.9. We want to utilise workforce data, where we are able and would welcome the NHSPRB’s views on the R&R data it has been able to secure through the HSCIC and what more we might usefully do to provide the meaningful data you need.

Background

4.10. Around 60% of a trust’s expenditure is spent on pay through the national pay framework which includes additional flexibilities such as Recruitment and Retention Premia (RRP) and High Cost Area Supplements (HCAS).

4.11. RRP is designed to address short or long term recruitment and retention pressures but must be objectively justified to ensure that staff receive equal pay for work or equal value. The payment of RRP is a key indicator of local R&R pressures. This analysis is performed by NHS Employers and included in their evidence. The latest figures show a continuation of the downward trend in the proportion of staff receiving an RRP payment.

4.12. The local NHS ESR system allows trusts to record payments to staff against hundreds of different codes. The national ESR Data Warehouse (DW) contains information on these payments, with the codes grouped into about 20 broad payment types, including eg basic pay, RRP, local payments, and pay protection. The RRP grouping includes all payments coded by trusts as national or local RRP.

4.13. In its 2012 Review of Market-Facing Pay, the NHSPRB found that many of these payments were in fact pay protection for staff who had received the Cost of Living Supplement (COLS) but were not in the HCAS region. Trusts may also be using other RRP measures or incentives, some pay-related, which are not recorded as RRP.

4.14. To avoid duplication of effort and to ensure consistency, NHS Employers provides the analysis of the ESR DW figures on the use of RRPs in its evidence, using data which we extract from the DW and process.

4.15. The government made a commitment in the White Paper “Equity and Excellence: Liberating the NHS” to “…initiate a fundamental review of data returns, with the aim of culling returns of limited value, to ensure that the NHS information revolution is fuelled by data that are meaningful to patients and clinicians when making decisions about care, rather than by what has been collected historically”.

\[
\text{19 Based on advert data; and therefore despite the label is advert statistics, which is made clear in the publication.}
\]

\[
\text{20 http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB18102}
\]

\[
\text{21 See separate pay metrics spreadsheet}
\]
4.16. The Fundamental Review covered all national data returns requested by the Department of Health in England and its Arm’s Length Bodies (ALBs) from NHS organisations and recommended that 76 (25%) of the returns be discontinued and estimated that this would reduce the burden on the NHS by approximately £10 million per annum. Following consultation, a number of central returns were discontinued including the Annual NHS Vacancy Collection and the General Practitioners Practice Vacancy Survey. The collections were suspended in 2010 and discontinued in 2013 in line with the principles set out in the White Paper.

4.17. To reduce the burden on data providers, the Department and partners have focused on extracting workforce data, wherever possible through administrative systems, without the need for direct data collection from providers, whether an NHS organisation or general practice and this principle applies to the vacancy data. We know that previous vacancy data collections were not especially useful to the PRBs because of the coverage and the way the data was reported by trusts.

**Workforce Minimum Data Set (wMDS)**

4.18. Workforce planning requires an understanding of the external and internal environment; business vision and strategy and current workforce. It also requires forecasted information taking into account the impact of turnover, retirements, recruitment and continuing professional development on workforce demand and supply. The Department working with NHS England, HEE and the HSCIC on the design of the workforce information architecture for the new education and training system, has developed a wMDS to be collected from all providers of NHS funded care.

4.19. The wMDS is an expanded census collection, which includes more data items than the census, and is collected more frequently. The census and monthly workforce statistics already include detailed tables on turnover, and staff movements, including reasons for leaving (quarterly), and the HSCIC already publish monthly earnings and sickness absence data for HCHS based on ESR data. The wMDS will include more information on absences and vacancies for the HCHS as well as absence and vacancy data for staff working in general practice.

4.20. All areas of the wMDS will assist planners in understanding workforce demographics. It will help them in developing strategies and plans to ensure the appropriate education commissioning, education and learning strategies and whole system changes to provide the future workforce.

4.21. The HSCIC published the first wMDS collection as experimental statistics on 2 September 2015 with data as at 31 March 2015. The second collection will be published in March 2016 with data as at 30 September 2015. The wMDS is drawn quarterly for the HCHS organisations using ESR and collected quarterly from the non-ESR trusts. It is collected twice a year from general practice including GPs and practice staff and twice a year from the independent sector providers of NHS funded care.

4.22. The first publication was a limited collection and did not include absence or vacancy data. However, this data will be collected as part of the second collection for general practice in the expanded census collection as at September 2015. This will provide information on the start and end date of vacancies, length of vacancy and vacancies unfilled between 1 April 2015 and 30 September 2015 and the HSCIC intend to publish the results in March 2016 (subject to data quality and data completeness).

4.23. Vacancy data within the wMDS is derived from a number of sources, for the HCHS workforce it will be automatically extracted from NHS Jobs (proxy information based on
job adverts) supplemented by vacancy information derived from other sources where available, including direct collection for independent sector providers of NHS funded care.

4.24. For workforce planning purposes, the wMDS will provide more details on those working in general practice and in understanding the full range of staff providing services from primary care. For example, more detail is needed on practice nursing to understand the changes in the numbers shown in the HSCIC GP Census and how this has impacted on ‘skill mix’ changes suggested by a rise in other clinical staff also involved in direct patient care.

4.25. HEE and its LETBs are interested in the increased professionalism of practice management and the important roles played by administrative/clerical staff and their continuing professional development needs. Data will become available twice yearly meaning that users will be working on more accurate and relevant data.

Health and Social Care Information Centre

4.26. The HSCIC centre publish workforce statistics annually and monthly. The annual Census provides the best means of viewing medium and long-term trends in workforce numbers and provides detailed information on staff working in the NHS in England at 30 September each year. The census includes information for staff working in general practice, including GPs and practice staff, provides a more detailed breakdown of the HCHS information already published in the monthly workforce statistics and is the only source of long term time series covering the entire NHS workforce.

4.27. The monthly statistics provide a time series back to September 2009 for the HCHS. The time series provides the opportunity to see the impact of seasonal variation such as the impact of the training cycle. Nurses graduate in the summer and take up employment from September between late summer and mid-winter. Normal turnover has then resulted in a gradual decline in numbers over the rest of the year.

4.28. The monthly data is headcount and FTE statistics, including turnover on the HCHS workforce in England and is drawn from the HR and Payroll system for the NHS - ESR. It does not include staff working in general practice or those providing NHS funded services that do not use ESR, such as the independent sector, local authorities and some social enterprises. Neither does it include the two NHS organisations that do not use ESR.

4.29. The HSCIC also publish quarterly data on HCHS staff, reasons for leaving, staff movements and redundancy data, as well as earnings data and data on sickness absence for NHS staff. Published data is available on the HSCIC website.

4.30. The latest data reflecting the position as at 31 August 2015, published by the HSCIC on 27 November, shows that there are 1,075,596 (fte) staff working in the NHS, an increase of 18,945 (1.8%) since May 2010. The total number of professionally qualified staff has increased during the same period by over 21,300 (3.7%). This includes:

- an increase of 1.4% in the number of nurses, midwives and health visitors (including the health visitor minimum data set); and
- during the same period, there has been a decrease of almost 18,500 (9.0%) in the number of staff working in infrastructure support or “central administration”.

http://www.hscic.gov.uk/
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NHS Jobs

4.31. On 18 August 2015 the HSCIC published “NHS Vacancy Statistics; England, March 2014 to February 2015 - Provisional experimental statistics” based on data obtained from the NHS Jobs online recruitment portal. The NHS Jobs system is used to provide data on the number of job adverts placed as a proxy administrative source for recruitment information. NHS Jobs allows adverts from other employers providing NHS services such as NHS sub-contractors and Local Authorities as these adverts include newly established roles as the NHS responds to the changing needs of the population. Not all advertisements therefore will be for jobs in the NHS, though the HSCIC constrained the publication to adverts placed by known NHS organisations. It is also possible that single adverts will be for multiple posts or for new posts.

4.32. Whilst NHS Jobs holds data on the number of advertisements, it is not able to identify when posts are filled or where posts have been vacant for three months directly (though it is possible to identify posts that have been advertised for three months). However the dates associated with the adverts may provide a useful proxy measure in the interim and, over time it will give an indication of trends and pressures in the labour market.

4.33. Depending on the data quality and completeness, the intention for HCHS, is to publish information at national and HEE LETB region initially, with the aim of publishing information at individual organisation level as the data source and processing develops. This advert data will provide the NHSPRB with some clarity as to posts that employers are seeking to fill and which posts are advertised for more than three months, which may be indicative of hard to fill posts.

Difference between Pay Experience of Established staff and Overall Average Earnings

4.34. There is general evidence in the wider economy that the pay of staff who remained in their job increased by more than the average for the workforce. It is clear that this also applies to the NHS and is part of the reason why retention remains strong.

4.35. A current DH analytical project is developing a methodology to look at the average increase in individuals' pay, including incremental progression and promotion. This will show how cohorts of people’s average earnings have increased compared with inflation. This is different from the increase in average earnings for all staff, or all staff in a particular occupation group or band, which includes people who have joined or left during the period.

4.36. For instance, it is possible to estimate the growth in average earnings of staff in the higher part of the pay range. This shows that, between 2010/2011 and 2014/2015, the average earnings of staff on Bands 8 and 9 increased by around 2.7%. This reflects recent pay restraint for employees across the public sector. However, the 2.7% figure does not reflect the experience of staff who were on these Bands throughout the period. It also includes people who have been promoted from Band 7 as well as people who have left during the four-year period. It is almost certain to understate significantly the average earnings increase of individuals, many of whom will have progressed up their Band or gained promotion.

Regional Pay and Targeting

4.37. Under national payscales, NHS pay varies little across the country. By contrast, there is greater pay differentiation in the private sector. So, compared to the private sector, the
NHS under-rewards working in some areas (London and the South East), and over-rewards working in the rest of the country (particularly in the North).

4.38. Initial regional level analysis has looked at constructing a relative pay gap measure. Even with the inclusion of HCAS payments, London and the South East show relative pay which is below that of the private sector. We do not yet have a robust evidence base but we plan to develop this analysis further as part of future evidence submissions and building on the work done by NHSPRB on Market Facing Pay.

Turnover Data

4.39. Staff turnover statistics published by the HSCIC have been analysed to identify changes in the leaver rates between May 2009 and May 2015. The statistics are based on data extracted from employee records in the NHS ESR, which is the HR & Payroll system used by almost all HCHS organisations.

4.40. Turnover data is based on:
- headcount;
- “Total NHS Excluding Bank, Locums and Trainee Doctors” gives figures for staff who have left the English HCHS. Staff Group-specific figures includes people who have moved to another staff group; and
- the leaver rate is calculated by dividing the number of leavers by the average of the headcount of staff at the beginning of the period and headcount of staff at the end of the period.

Leaving Rates

4.41. The leaver rate is the proportion of staff who left in the 12 months leading up to each date point. It excludes bank staff, locum doctors and also doctors in training. It includes both people who have left the HCHS and also those who have moved to another occupation group within the HCHS. Leaver rates are driven by three main types of effect:
- structural changes to the NHS such as the Health & Social Care Act whereby Primary Care Trusts were abolished and Clinical Commissioning Groups were introduced. This led to a step decrease in the size of the HCHS workforce. Manager and administrator leaver rates increased over the last Parliament as the government committed to reducing the costs of administration by around a third by the end of the Parliament;
- age distribution effects: All else being equal, a workforce with a higher proportion of older staff would be expected to have higher leaver rates. This is driven by larger numbers of staff reaching retirement age and leaving the workforce; and
- behavioural effects: Changes in factors such as the level of staff satisfaction, the general economic situation and pension arrangements can lead to a change in the proportion of staff leaving the NHS to work elsewhere or retire.

4.42. In summary, almost all HCHS staff groups have seen an increase in the leaver rate over the past six years. The exception to this is HCHS doctors, where leaver rates increased in the years to May 2013 and have subsequently decreased.

Analysis

4.43. This analysis looks at leaver rates by staff group, but does not control for the above effects. Age distribution is unlikely to have changed significantly during this period and hence is unlikely to have noticeably affected leaver rates. Structural changes (including
abolishment of Strategic Health Authorities and Primary Care Trusts replaced by CCGs) are likely to have led to one-off increase in leaver rates in 2010/2011 and in 2012/2013.

4.44. Table 4.1 below presents a time series of the leaver rate for each broad occupation group. The Table indicates that:

- for the HCHS workforce as a whole, about 9% of staff left during the 12 months to May 2015;
- the broad trend in the average leaver rate for all staff over the past six years has been upwards. Within this period, there was a marked increase in the 12 months to May 2011 and again in the 12 months to May 2013, most likely driven by structural effects; some staff transferred with their work into organisations outside the HCHS (eg Public Health England); some took opportunities to take up a new role, eg in NHS England or a Clinical Commissioning Group; some left the HCHS, eg with redundancy or early retirement package, where their job was not continuing in the new system;
- professionally qualified staff had an average leaver rate similar to the all staff average, and it exhibited a similar trend; and
- leaver rates for clinical support and infrastructure support staff tend to be higher than those for professionally qualified staff, about 14% and 15% respectively in the 12 months to May 2015. They have also been increasing, with infrastructure support staff exhibiting the largest step changes as expected from structural effects.

Table 4.1 Time Series of 12-month Leaver Rate, by Broad Occupation Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>May-09</th>
<th>May-10</th>
<th>May-11</th>
<th>May-12</th>
<th>May-13</th>
<th>May-14</th>
<th>May-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total excl. Bank, Locums and Trainee Doctors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Professionally Qualified Clinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Support to clinical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All NHS infrastructure support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.45. Table 4.2 below presents the time series of the leaver rate for specific professionally qualified occupation groups. It indicates that:

- the average leaver rate for HCHS doctors increased between 2008/2009 and 2012/2013, but has fallen in the last two years back to its 2008/2009 level; and
- Leaver rates for qualified nurses, Scientific, Therapeutic and Technical staff and ambulance staff have all increased over the period. The rate for qualified ambulance staff, which was the lowest in 2008/2009 at less than 6%, has increased the most rapidly to more than 9% in 2014/2015.

Table 4.2 Time Series of 12-month Leaver Rate for Professionally Qualified Staff, by Occupation Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation Group</th>
<th>rates</th>
<th>May-09</th>
<th>May-10</th>
<th>May-11</th>
<th>May-12</th>
<th>May-13</th>
<th>May-14</th>
<th>May-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Professionally Qualified Clinical</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHS Doctors</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified nursing, midwifery &amp; health visiting</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified scientific, therapeutic &amp; technical</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified ambulance</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.46. Table 4.3 below shows the time series of leaver rates for support to clinical staff. It indicates that rates have generally increased since 2008/2009. (Note that leavers from the support to ambulance group include people who moved into the qualified ambulance staff group).
Table 4.3 Time Series of 12-month Leaver Rates for Support to Clinical Staff

Table 4.4 below presents time series statistics on leaver rates for infrastructure support staff. It again indicates that leaver rates have generally increased since 2008/2009, with large step changes for the manager and central functions groups in 2010/2011 and 2012/2013, likely associated with structural changes.
Safe Staffing

4.48. On 13 October this year, NHS Improvement, NHS England, NICE and CQC wrote to NHS trusts and FTs on the importance of ensuring both safe staffing and greater efficiency. The letter emphasised the importance of using the guidance on safe staffing to support rather than replace judgements about staffing made by experienced professionals at the front line; and it also emphasised the responsibility of trust boards for these issues. The letter is at Annex E.

Agency Staffing

4.49. Spending by NHS trusts and FTs on temporary staff, which includes nursing and medical locums, as well as other staff types, provided by agencies has increased to the extent that it is impacting significantly on NHS finances. The increase in agency spend is the product of a range of factors:

- increasing workforce demands, as a result of increasing demands on services;
- movement towards seven-day services; and trust and FTs’ response to the Francis Review to meet safe staffing levels with increased demand outstripping supply of substantive staff;
- limits to the supply of nurses and other staff and shortages in particular specialties;
- increases in the numbers of nurses leaving the profession; and
- use of the highest cost agency staff and procurement of agency staff through “off-framework” arrangements.
Agency Spend for 2014/2015

4.50. Spend on agency staff by NHS trust and FTs in the financial year 2014/2015\textsuperscript{23} is shown in the table 4.5 below.

Table 4.5 Spend on Agency Staff by NHS Trust and FTs in Financial Year 2014/2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Temporary Agency Spend £000s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Trusts</td>
<td>1,847,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS Trusts</td>
<td>1,507,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,355,723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\begin{itemize}
  \item in 2014/2015, the Department of Health collected audited financial data from NHS trusts for Agency/contract staffing. The data was collected on the NHS Summarisation Schedules and consolidated figures were published in the Department’s Annual Report and Accounts.
  \item the Department also collected a total Agency spend figure for the FT sector which was provided by Monitor as the Department does not hold information centrally at individual FT level
  \item in the guidance that accompanied the data collection, the definition of Contract / Agency staff is: “Agency” employee payments for the employment of staff where the staff remain employees of the agency and “Contract staff” where the NHS trust has control over numbers and qualifications of staff (in contrast to a service obtained under contract).
  \item excluded from the “Agency/Contract” category are the costs of staff recharged by another organisation where no element of overhead is included i.e. where the staff costs are shared between the NHS trust and other bodies; staff on secondment or on loan from other organisations; amounts payable to contractors in respect of the provision of services (for example, cleaning or security).
  \item the definition of agency/contract includes bank staff where bank services are provided by a Managed Service Provider such as NHS Professionals. Where bank services are managed in-house, spending on temporary, bank, staff is not counted as agency spend.
  \item where an NHS trust obtains FT status part way through any year, the data provided is only for the part of the year the organisation operated as an NHS trust.
  \item the Department does not collect data in relation to the number of agency shifts or by category of staff.
  \item while we don’t collect or hold data centrally on the spend on different staff types, evidence from the Prime Minister’s Implementation Unit suggests that approximately a third of agency spend is attributed to medical locums.
\end{itemize}


Agency Expenditure – Analysis by Region

4.51. Agency expenditure is highly variable between trusts. Variation within regions is far greater than variation between regions. This suggests that agency expenditure is driven principally by individual trust-specific factors.

4.52. Table 4.6 shows 2014/2015 agency expenditure as a percentage of total staffing expenditure, for the trusts in each LETB region. The trust median average is given, and also the other four quartile values. The median ranges from 3% in the North East to 10% in South London. The range at trust level is from 1% to 21%. These figures include all staff groups, medical and non-medical, including non-clinical. In addition to agency, other off-payroll staffing such as self-employed contractors, interim managers and externally-managed bank are included.

Table 4.6 2014-15 agency expenditure as a percentage of total staffing expenditure, for the trusts in each LETB region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Lowest-Spending Trust</th>
<th>Lower Quartile</th>
<th>Median Trust</th>
<th>Upper Quartile</th>
<th>Highest-Spending Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E Midlands</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E of England</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorks &amp; Humb</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wessex</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thames V</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N W London</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S London</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N C &amp; E London</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent, S &amp; S</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N East</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N West</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Midlands</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S West</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Chart presents the same figures visually.

- **Median**
  The median (middle quartile) marks the mid-point of the data and is shown by the line that divides the box into two parts. Half the scores are greater than or equal to this value and half are less.

- **Inter-quartile range**
  The middle “box” represents the middle 50% of scores for the group. The range of scores from lower to upper quartile is referred to as the inter-quartile range. The middle 50% of scores fall within the inter-quartile range.

- **Upper quartile**
  Seventy-five per cent of the scores fall below the upper quartile.

- **Lower quartile**
  Twenty-five per cent of scores fall below the lower quartile.

- **Whiskers**
  The upper and lower whiskers (bars) represent scores outside the middle 50%.

4.53. Agency staffing is generally used to fill gaps for a variety of reasons. The figures between trusts are therefore highly variable, even within a small geographical area, and also very volatile from year to year. A trust’s agency spend may be influenced by custom and practice in the trust and availability of agency staff.

4.54. More recently we understand that agency supply is mainly provided by substantive staff giving their discretionary effort to accessible trusts at a higher rate of pay.

**What is being done to Reduce Spending on Agency Staff?**

4.55. On 2 June 2015, the Secretary of State announced a series of financial measures to tackle the issue of excessive agency spend. Working with NHS England, the NHS TDA and Monitor, the Department developed specific measures.

4.56. New controls on nursing agency staff were launched on 1 September 2015, introducing an annual ceiling on spend on agency staff and the mandatory use of approved frameworks from 1 and 19 October 2015 respectively. The financial measures will initially apply to nursing staff but would then apply to other clinical and management staff in due course.

Ceiling

4.57. Monitor and TDA have set ceilings on the amount trusts can spend on nursing agency staff. On 1 September 2015 trusts were sent their annual ceilings that are their maximum rates for October 2015 to March 2016, and for 2016/2017 and 2018/2019. The ceilings are for nursing agency spending only, and are expressed as a percentage of total nursing spend. Spend is being monitored monthly via trust returns.

Framework Agreements

4.58. From 19 October, trusts are required to secure nursing agency staff via framework agreements that have formal approval from TDA and Monitor. Anyone breaking these rules through use of off-framework arrangements must report each instance in their monthly returns. Monitor and TDA published a list of approved frameworks in September 2015.

Price (Rate) Caps

4.59. The Secretary of State announced proposals for caps on the amount that a trust or FT can pay for agency staff. The caps would apply to agency nursing, medical, other staff and bank staff. Monitor and the NHS TDA launched a consultation on these proposals on 15 October 2015.

4.60. The consultation closed on 13 November and received 3,404 responses with strong support from trusts to introduce the rate caps. These were implemented on 23 November 2015. The rate caps will apply to all staff groups. Payments for the provision of bank staff are exempt but will be kept under review.

4.61. The proposed caps would initially be set at 100% (150% for junior doctors) on top of AfC or equivalent doctor permanent pay rates, before being reduced over several months to 55% above AfC or equivalent doctor pay rates by 1 April 2016.

4.62. Monitor, TDA, NHS England and CQC will monitor the impact of the price caps on workforce, performance and service quality to ensure that any concerns about patient safety are appropriately managed through the ‘break glass’ provision. A trust’s performance will be monitored on its monthly returns and trusts will be held to account on a quarterly basis. Monitor and TDA will take appropriate and proportionate action in cases of non-compliance.

4.63. Other initiatives being undertaken by DH alongside partners and Arms’ Length Bodies include:

- improving the deployment of the existing employed nursing workforce as included in the Lord Carter review into efficiency published 11 June 2015. The interim report outlines the work that has been carried out by Lord Carter working with 22 NHS providers reviewing the productivity of NHS hospitals. The full report will be published shortly;
- led by the Chief Nursing Officer (CNO), work is underway to look at improving workforce planning and supply by offering guidance and support in staffing levels and effective communications to tackle these issues;
- the TDA/Monitor plans for implanting these changes; and
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- HEE are working to bring nurses back into the workplace through their Return to Practice programme.25

Is there a Link between Seven Day Services and Agency Costs? When is the Use of Agency Staff Highest?

4.64. We can find no evidence that there is a disproportionate use of agency during ‘unsocial hours’ such as at weekends or at night. The available data from the London Procurement Partnership (LPP) and Crown Commercial Services suggests around 4 in 10 hours worked by agency staff fall during “unsocial hours” (nights, weekends, and bank holidays). This shows that the demand for agency staff does not appear to be high during out of hours, given that 60% of all hours of the year are “unsocial hours”.

4.65. Additionally, in May 2014 a national collaborative framework was introduced which reduced enhancements to nursing agency rates for nights, weekends and bank holidays for the London region. The LPP report that the removal of these enhanced rates appear to have had no impact on willingness and availability of agency staff to work at these times. In most circumstances, it is expected that the NHS would use national frameworks when commissioning agency staff, to help keep costs down, ensure quality, and make services more affordable.

4.66. Introducing additional services at weekends could increase agency spend to fill the gap if permanent staff are unwilling or unable to change their working patterns to cover new weekend shifts. There is therefore a crucial requirement for robust staff engagement plans, and less reliance on agency staff through better procurement and human resources processes, and more efficient use of local bank staff instead

Staff Experience

4.67. The Department is committed to developing and improving the data available to employers that will help them improve their staff experience. This includes the annual NHS staff survey, the Friends and Family Test (FFT), sickness absence statistics and network groups, which complement local information.

Staff Engagement

4.68. There is a complex relationship between overall pay and levels of staff engagement, morale and motivation. Other factors have a much greater impact on levels of engagement in the short term for example interaction with line managers, employee voice and the handling of organisational change. The 2014 NHS Staff Survey26 score for overall staff engagement of 3.70/5 remains reasonably high and despite the pressures on NHS staff, groups such as registered nurses and midwives engagement score has risen from 3.71/5 (2012) to 3.81/5 (2014). However, there is no room for complacency with the overall NHS staff engagement score falling slightly from 3.71/5 (2013) and, for example, paramedics scoring 3.34/5 (2014) albeit up from 3.13/5 (2012) and nursing or health care assistants recording 3.74/5 in 2014 down from 3.79/5 the previous year.

4.69. A NHS Staff Survey measure for motivation was introduced in 2009. Staff Motivation as it is used within the NHS Staff Survey is defined as “the extent to which staff look forward to going to work, and are enthusiastic about and absorbed in their jobs.” The

25 http://comeback.hee.nhs.uk/
26 http://www.nhsstaffsurveys.com/Page/1006/Latest-Results/2014-Results/
trend for motivation has been fairly stable with overall NHS scores of 3.82/5 in 2012, 3.84/5 in 2013 and 3.82/5 in 2014. Scores for this remit group for 2014 include 3.47/5 for paramedics, 3.90/5 for nursing or healthcare assistants and 3.94/5 for registered nurses and midwives.

4.70. Published research\(^{27}\) has shown that good staff support and engagement is directly related to patient experience, safety and quality of care.

4.71. A further test of “staff engagement” is the extent to which an employee would advocate their trust as a place to receive care and a place to work, and that their trust has care of patients as its top priority (advocacy). This is reflected via the FFT\(^{28}\) for staff and patients and given greater impetus as NHS England has introduced a CQUIN (Commissioning for Quality and Innovation) payment for NHS organisations to support implementation of the staff FFT.

4.72. The Staff FFT was introduced in April 2014 and is carried out quarterly by NHS England. It allows staff to give their feedback on NHS services helping trusts locally understand quickly what’s working well and what areas need attention. The Staff FFT asks whether staff would recommend their organisation as a place to work and whether they would recommend their organisation as a place to receive treatment.\(^{29}\) Although there is wide variation across the service the overall trend is positive with 62% saying they would recommend their organisation as a place to work, unchanged from 62% in Q1 2014/2015\(^{30}\) and 79% saying they would recommend their trust as a place to receive treatment (up from 76% in Q1 2014/2015).

4.73. The annual NHS Staff Survey records advocacy through the key indicators “staff recommendation of the(ir) trust as a place to work or receive treatment”. Scores for NHSPRB’s remit group include, for example, registered nurses and midwives recording 3.68/5 in 2014 up from 3.64/5 in 2013, paramedics, 3.26/5 (2014) up from 3.06/5 (2013) and Allied Health Professionals, 3.62/5 (2014) up from 3.59/5 (2013).

4.74. The CQC’s regulatory regime\(^{31}\) is also using measures of staff engagement as part of the Chief Inspector’s assessment of the organisational health of providers. Changes to the way CQC regulates, inspects and monitors care include a vision of a “well-led” service, with effective leadership, governance (clinical and corporate) and clinical

\(^{27}\) West, M. Culture and Behaviour in the English NHS (2013)

Foresight project, ‘Mental Capital and Well-Being’ (www.foresight.gov.uk)


Staff engagement – Six Building Blocks for harnessing the creativity and enthusiasm of NHS Staff (February 2015)

King’s Fund. HSJ analysis of CQC ratings against staff survey results (May 2015)

\(^{28}\) https://www.england.nhs.uk/2013/07/30/nhsfft/

\(^{29}\) Results for quarter one 2015 reported in August 2015.

\(^{30}\) https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/fft/staff-fft/data/

\(^{31}\) http://www.cqc.org.uk/search/services/hospitals?f%5b0%5d=im_field_inspection_rating%3A3926
involvement at all levels of the organisation, and an open, fair and transparent culture that listens and learns from people’s views and experiences to make improvements. Inspections encompass an assessment of aspects of governance, leadership and culture to assess whether a service is "well-led". The CQC reports\(^\text{32}\) provide information on the range of staff engagement activity across the NHS.

4.75. The NHS has a comprehensive range of data as well as good practice advice and guidance to help trusts plan how they can improve staff engagement locally.

4.76. The Department has been highlighting the importance of staff engagement including, for example, most recently, supporting events with NHS Employers to raise the profile of the issue in the service including support for the government's "Engaging for Success Taskforce"\(^\text{33}\). The Department has commissioned NHS Employers to develop staff engagement resources. Examples include a staff engagement toolkit\(^\text{34}\) to support trusts and, following the Francis report\(^\text{35}\), help line managers to foster staff engagement and better understand what it means to be an engaging manager in the NHS.

4.77. The Department commissioned NHS Employers to work with the NHS Leadership Academy to develop “Do OD” – the first national Organisational Development (OD) resource for the NHS which supports the service to be more effective in leading organisational and culture change enabling system transformation.

4.78. The focus of this work links with the Leadership Academy’s programmes’ aims, and supports trusts in delivering culture change, improving staff engagement, and helping the development of a more open, supportive and inclusive culture in which, for example, reporting incidents can be done with confidence, and in which the risk of bullying can be reduced.

4.79. The importance of staff engagement is also being promoted by the NHS Leadership Academy\(^\text{36}\) in their recently published, refreshed version of "the Healthy NHS Board"\(^\text{37}\). This sets out what boards need to put in place to help them develop a responsive, insightful approach to issues in their organisations, including advice on effective staff engagement. The Academy is also developing and implementing a leadership development offer that places a strong emphasis on shaping positive cultures and engaging staff.

\(^{32}\) http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/media/documents/cqc_consultation_2013_tagged_0.pdf
\(^{33}\) http://www.engageforsuccess.org/
\(^{34}\) http://www.nhsemployers.org/your-workforce/retain-and-improve/staff-experience/staff-engagement/staff-engagement-resources
\(^{36}\) The NHS Leadership Academy was established in 2012 as a national hub for leadership development and talent management. Through leadership development, it aims to improve leadership knowledge, behaviours, skills and attitudes, and ultimately lead to better patient care, experience and outcomes.
4.80. Starting in September 2013, the Academy launched a suite of leadership development programmes that together represent the first national approach to leadership development in the NHS, designed to develop outstanding leaders for every tier across the healthcare system "from frontline to board".

4.81. Building on evidence (West et al)\(^\text{38}\) that found a significant reduction in patient standardised mortality rates in organisations with high staff engagement (in turn associated with high levels of effective and engaging leadership). All of the Academy’s leadership development programmes contain components on the values and behaviours required in a new integrated health care system focused around the needs of patients, carers and service users and in ways which liberate, engage and motivate staff to provide a compassionate and personal health care experience. These behaviours are congruent with NHS values and uphold the NHS Constitution, which states: “Respect, dignity, compassion and care should be at the core of how patients and staff are treated not only because that is the right thing to do but because patient safety, experience and outcomes are all improved when staff are valued, empowered and supported.”

### Staff Health and Wellbeing

4.82. The Department continues to commission NHS Employers to support trusts in their responsibility for improving the health and wellbeing of their staff in line with the NHS Constitution\(^\text{39}\) pledge “to provide support and opportunities for staff to maintain their health, wellbeing and safety” (p14).

4.83. Improving staff health and well-being can help NHS organisations increase productivity and make efficiency savings, as well as improve the experience of patients and staff.

4.84. In terms of wellbeing, key staff survey indicators show small changes compared with results from 2013. Work pressure felt by staff in 2014 is 3.09/5, up from 3.06/5. Staff working extra hours has risen in 2014 to 71.46% up from 70.47%; however, we do not know if this is paid or unpaid and whether it is a choice to boost income. 39.50% staff up from 38.6% reported suffering work related stress in last 12 months; however, staff feeling pressure in last 3 months to attend work when feeling unwell has decreased in 2014 to 25% from 27.3% in 2013. Overall sickness levels have dropped slightly for the reporting period June 2014 to June 2015, from 3.95% to 3.94%\(^\text{40}\), and the overall trend remains fairly stable and lower than the 2009 estimate of 4.48% when work began on addressing sickness absence in the NHS following the Boorman Report\(^\text{41}\).

4.85. In response to the NHS Staff Survey and following Francis, the Department put a stronger emphasis on Mental Health and Wellbeing, commissioning NHS Employers to develop a behaviour change toolkit to support individuals and teams to make the

\(^{38}\) West, M. Culture and Behaviour in the English NHS (2013)


\(^{40}\) [http://www.hscic.gov.uk/searchcatalogue?productid=18296&topics=2%2fWorkforce%2fStaff+management%2fSickness+absence&sort=Relevance&size=10&page=1#top](http://www.hscic.gov.uk/searchcatalogue?productid=18296&topics=2%2fWorkforce%2fStaff+management%2fSickness+absence&sort=Relevance&size=10&page=1#top)

\(^{41}\) Boorman, S. The Boorman Review (2009) [http://www.nhshealthatwork.co.uk/health-work-wellbeing.asp](http://www.nhshealthatwork.co.uk/health-work-wellbeing.asp)
changes which will influence their emotional wellbeing and the quality of the care they deliver. Their Toolkit “How are you feeling NHS?” was launched earlier this year.42

4.86. In 2014 the Department commissioned NHS Employers to develop “Healthier Staff, higher quality care: a pledge to work to improve the health and wellbeing of staff who work in healthcare”43 which was signed by ministers, senior DH officials and NHS Leaders.

4.87. The Staff Experience Summit followed, hosted by NHS Employers, which brought together senior NHS leaders to sign a pledge to continue to improve the health and wellbeing of staff who work in healthcare.44 The summit and pledge focused on staff experiences in their own organisations and plans to improve staff experience internally and across the system.

4.88. The Department has amended the 2014/2015 commission of NHS Employers to support NHS England’s initiative on improving staff health45 offer, engaging the NHS Health and Wellbeing networks and supporting the 12 pilot organisations. This complements the support NHS Employers continue to provide organisations in improving their staff health and wellbeing which includes:

- informing: Keep employers up to date on the latest developments regarding the health and wellbeing of the current NHS workforce;
- engaging: Engage with employers on issues relating to the health and wellbeing of the existing workforce;
- influencing: Represent the views of employers to the Department of Health and key stakeholders. Influence national policy and national initiatives and exert influence on the future of health and wellbeing work; and
- supporting improvement and leading the way: Supporting employers across the NHS to improve the health and wellbeing of their staff by helping leads to develop robust wellbeing programmes that deliver measurable outcomes, with a particular focus on emotional wellbeing (mental health) across the NHS. This includes encouraging trusts to use the “How are you feeling NHS?” with individuals, teams and professionals to encourage open, and supportive conversations about emotional wellbeing.

4.89. NHS staff remain highly committed to their jobs in the face of undoubted pressures on the service. Despite concerns over issues such as pay restraint and workload, staff remain broadly satisfied with their jobs (for the NHS overall up from 3.63/5 in 2013 to 3.66/5 in 2014, for registered nurses and midwives up to 3.7/5 from 3.67/5 but slightly down for nursing or healthcare assistants 3.58/5 from 3.59/5 and paramedics 3.34/5 in 2014 down from 3.45/5 in 2013). Motivation has been affected by current challenges but remains high (see paragraph 4.69 above). The level of staff engagement, although it has dipped a little, remains high (see paragraph 4.68 above). There is a wealth of activity across the service addressing these issues. The Department recognises the pressures facing the service and the importance of employers maintaining staff

43 http://www.nhsemployers.org/
44 http://www.nhsemployers.org/
45 http://www.england.nhs.uk/2015/09/02/nhs-workplace/
motivation. Progress has been made although much remains to be done and the degree of variation is too wide.

4.90. The Department has developed a framework to help employers across the NHS in England improve their staff experience through better engagement and improved health and wellbeing with NHS Employers providing advice, guidance and good practice.

**Future Workforce**

4.91. Workforce planning requires an understanding of the external environment, internal environment, business vision and strategy and plans, current workforce and forecasted impact of turnover, retirements, recruitment and continuing professional development on workforce demand and supply. All areas of the wMDS will assist planners in understanding workforce demographics and in developing strategies and plans to ensure the appropriate education commissioning, education and learning strategies and whole system changes to provide the future workforce.

4.92. The government is committed to supporting a world class health education and training system which is built on robust workforce planning led by providers of NHS commissioned services. HEE has been given a clear remit to lead workforce planning and education commissioning across the health system to secure the future supply of the workforce. HEE’s national workforce plan for England is underpinned by a comprehensive local workforce planning process that involves local health communities across the country working in partnership to ensure that the future workforce reflects the needs of local service users, providers and commissioners of healthcare in both acute and community settings.

4.93. In the Spending Review 2015, the Chancellor announced that, from 1 August 2017, new nursing, midwifery and allied health students will no longer receive NHS bursaries. Instead, they will have access to the same student loans system as other students.

4.94. This will enable universities to provide up to 10,000 additional nursing, midwifery and allied health training places over this parliament, so more applicants will have the chance to become a health professional.

4.95. The new system will provide:

- more nurses, midwives and allied health professionals for the NHS;
- a better funding system for health students in England; and
- a sustainable model for universities

4.96. In the current system, NHS approved training places for nursing, midwifery and Allied Health courses are commissioned through HEE and are determined annually through their NHS workforce planning process which limits the number of places available. Following the changes the Chancellor set out in the Spending Review, HEE will continue to have a key leading role in the commissioning of these courses through providing sufficient clinical placement funding for the training places required to meet the workforce planning needs of the NHS.

4.97. HEE is best placed to address any questions that the review body may have about the quality of workforce planning or the evidence base that underpins decisions on future workforce investment.

**Health Education England**

4.98. HEE was issued with a mandate by the Secretary of State for Health on 12 March 2015. The mandate sets out the key priorities for HEE from April 2015 to March 2016.
"Developing the right people with the right skills and the right values: a mandate from the government to HEE"\(^{46}\) incorporates the strategic objectives of the government in the areas of workforce planning, health education, training and development for which HEE and the Local Education and Training Boards (LETBs) have responsibility.

4.99. The mandate requires HEE to work with local providers to address shortages across the system.

**Transforming Primary Care**

4.100. Under “Transforming Primary Care” the government committed to increasing the primary and community care workforce by at least 10,000. As set out by the Secretary of State for Health in a speech on a New Deal for General Practice on 19 June, this will include an estimated 5,000 more doctors working in general practice by 2020 as well 1,000 physician associates (PAs), thousands more community nurses, pharmacists and allied health professionals.

4.101. To support this, HEE plans to commission 205 PA training places in 2015/2016 up from 24 in 2014/2015.

4.102. Additionally, HEE has increased the number of nurse training places by 14% over the past two years and forecasts that the increased number of nurse training places will deliver over 23,000 (FTE) additional nurses by 2019 (compared to 2014).

**Physician Associates (PAs)**

4.103. As mentioned in paragraph 4.100 the government committed to the expansion of the PA role in primary care. A PA is a healthcare professional who, while not a doctor, works to the medical model, with the attitudes, skills and knowledge base to deliver holistic care and treatment within the general medical and/or general practice team, under defined levels of supervision. Training to become a PA generally requires a science-related first degree followed by two years of further training.

4.104. There are currently just over 250 PAs working across a range of specialties in the UK, salaries will be dependent on a number of factors including experience. It is not possible to confirm the exact remuneration of the PAs currently working in the NHS in England, but the latest vacancies on NHS Jobs shows the type of PA posts being recruited to in the NHS in England and the salary ranges on offer are between £31,000 and £45,000 - with £50,000 being the exception in the current list of advertised posts.

4.105. Although these figures may indicate similarities with the earnings of junior doctors at various stages of the training (average earnings for doctors in foundation programmes is around £36,000 and in the later stages around £53,000) PAs do not have the same level of lifetime earnings potential as junior doctors - many of whom can look forward to a career as a consultant or GP with earnings that are currently in the top 2% of society.

4.106. PAs should stay on AfC terms as the majority are already employed on AfC, HEE report that of those in employment in England, around 75%, are in HCHS and therefore would be on AfC terms. Although they form part of the clinical support team and they are trained in the medical model, they are dependent clinicians, not doctors and deliver


healthcare under the supervision of a qualified doctor. PAs are not currently regulated which limits considerably their scope of practice.

Health Visitors


4.108. Alongside this, the previous administration committed to increase the number of health visitors by 4,200 by April 2015 against a May 2010 baseline of 8,092, and to transform services for families.

4.109. Official figures show that the end of March count was an increase of 3,985 over the May 2010 baseline. Since then the number of health visitors has fallen back to stand at 11,744 in June 2015. Health visitor numbers fluctuate across the year. As the next group of students complete training, and enter employment in the autumn, the numbers will increase. Over 1,000 health visitors were in training at the end of March 2015, who, if they complete their training, will be eligible to practise between September 2015 and February 2016.

4.110. When pay has been an issue in recruiting and retaining health visitors, local employers have been encouraged to use the flexibilities in AfC to respond to local needs. There has not been a need to have a nationwide approach.

4.111. We have now reached a period of stability where the workforce settles and the health visitor transformation programme embeds. Children’s public health commissioning responsibilities for 0–5 year olds moved from NHS England to local authorities (LAs) on 1 October 2015, meaning that LAs will now commission 0–5 years services, promoting sustainability and local tailoring, joining up commissioning with that for 5–19 year olds, for which they have had responsibility since 2013. This will sit alongside other locally led services such as education and housing, thus putting local children and families at the centre, with services available to fit their needs. Better joined up services will help reduce inequalities and give children a better start in life.

4.112. The Department of Health worked closely with partners to ensure a safe and smooth transfer of the 0–5 years service to the new commissioning arrangements. In transferring responsibility to LAs, the aim is to ensure future commissioning supports sustainable health visiting services and provides the best outcomes for children and their families.

4.113. Because some services need to be provided in the context of a national/standard format (to ensure universal coverage and ongoing improvement to public health) the future provision of the following five key child development reviews has been mandated through Regulation: the antenatal health promoting visit; the new baby review; the 6–8 week assessment; the one year review; and the 2–2½ year review. LAs are expected to act with a view to securing continuous improvement in the uptake of the five mandated reviews.

4.114. Relationships with HEE, PHE, LETBs, local government and local service providers continue to develop across the new landscape to sustain and maintain stability of services led and delivered by health visitors. These include the recently mandated services outlined above helping ensure increased numbers of health visitors are retained.
Reform of Pharmacy Education

4.115. Currently, pharmacists are required to complete a four-year masters level degree, followed by a one-year pre-registration training programme and successfully pass a national registration exam. Recognising the bigger contribution that we want pharmacists to make towards delivery of safe and effective use of medicines and the public health strategy we have been working towards developing the current scientific focus of the training programmes for pharmacists. To this end, HEE are working with stakeholders to develop detailed proposals and a delivery plan to implement: changes to the recruitment and selection of pre-registration pharmacists and to develop an enhanced work place-based education infrastructure.

Pharmacy Student numbers

4.116. There has been considerable growth in pharmacy student numbers over the last decade or so; first year entrants to pharmacy degree courses in England have increased from 1,439 in 1998 to 3046 in 2013. To date the provision of pre-registration training posts in hospitals and community pharmacies has kept pace with graduate numbers. All graduates who want to register with the GPhC have been able to complete their training and sit the GPhC registration exam. However, we are aware that this is unlikely to continue. There is potential for the excess supply of pharmacy students who have graduated but who are not able to register to begin to manifest itself over the next two to three years, although we do not expect significant excess this year. New roles for pharmacists are being pursued as part of the NHS Five Year Forward View, most notably the employment of clinical pharmacists in GP practices.

International Recruitment

4.117. The government is committed to a self-sustaining workforce and the reduction of demand for migrant labour, including nurses, to ensure all workers trained in the UK are able to get the jobs they are qualified for. The Home Office has implemented a number of policies that deal with economic migration into the UK and seeks to ensure that the UK can benefit from controlled migration that supports only the “brightest and the best” individuals who contribute positively to the UK economy.

4.118. In the past, the NHS has relied on immigration to bolster domestic workforce supply. The UK has been moving towards self-sufficiency for a number of years and there has been significant investment in training to increase UK supply of health professionals.

4.119. Where there is a clear shortage in the UK and European Economic Area (EEA) workforce that warrants a fast-track approach, there is an option to make use of the shortage occupation list (SOL), with the benefit of not requiring a resident labour market test. However for roles to be placed on the SOL the Home Office (normally) requires the independent Migration Advisory Committee (MAC) to review the position and to recommend that a role is included on the list.

4.120. HEE is responsible for providing the right workforce, with the right skills and values, in the right place at the right time to better meet the needs and wants of patients; both now and in the future and its mandate requires it to reduce the number of professions on the SOL each year.

4.121. In 2014/2015 around 1,400 visas were issued to immigrants with roles on the list. This is around 10% of the level of Tier 2 (General) and about 2½% of the visas issued under all
Tier 2 routes. The NHS has 19 current roles on the list including Paramedics, doctors and consultants specialising in Emergency Medicine and clinical radiology.47

Migration Advisory Committee & Shortage Occupations List

4.122. In 2014, the Home Secretary commissioned the MAC to conduct a limited review into the number of occupations on the SOL.

4.123. The MAC is undertaking a fundamental review of the Tier 2 route of entry. This review is focused on the structure and operation of all Tier 2 routes and will review on whether the route requires changes to it to ensure it assists the government priority of targeting the “brightest and the best”. However there is a risk that the review will make it difficult for health (and care) workers (particularly lower paid roles like nurses), who are already finding it a challenge to gain visas, may find it more difficult.

4.124. Tier 2 is the immigration system for skilled workers who are non-EEA taking up a sponsored position with a UK employer. There are 20,700 places annually and these are allocated monthly to make sure all the places are not taken up in the first few months of the year.

4.125. A commitment to maintain this number has been given for the duration of this Parliament. As part of its commitment to reduce migration and to move to a self-sustaining workforce the Department has included an objective in its mandate to HEE an objective to reduce the number of roles on the SOL year on year.

4.126. Places are prioritised according to a point system with priority given to those on the SOL, those with PHD-level occupations and those with the highest salary. In 2014/2015 around 29% of places were used for healthcare occupations.

4.127. On 15 October 2015 the government announced that, in response to concerns over supply, nurses would be included on the SOL as an interim measure. The Home Office is instructing the MAC to undertake a full assessment of nursing roles with respect to their shortage position and will report to the Home Secretary on 15 February 2016.

4.128. Whilst nursing is on the SOL the £35,000 salary requirement for indefinite leave to remain in the UK will not apply, they are exempt. This exemption ends when the role or roles comes off the SOL. This exemption only applies after the 6 April 2016, when the new rules come into force if nurses remain on the SOL. It will expire when nurses come off the list, unless they came to the UK on a Tier 2 (general) visa when their role was on the SOL. There are up to 713 nurses in the UK who were issued Tier 2 visas in 2011/2012 and who will be required to leave in 2017, if they are still in residence within the UK because they do not meet the minimum salary requirement to qualify for “Indefinite Leave to Remain”. This number includes nurses in the devolved administrations and private providers, though it is not clear if all these nurses remain here in the UK.

4.129. The government is investing almost £5 million over the next three years to support the “Come back to Nursing” campaign aimed specifically at encouraging and supporting experienced nurses who have left the profession to return. 1,522 people commenced

training programmes during the academic year 2014/2015 and are already coming on stream as substantive employees during 2015/2016.

4.130. In examples like this where there are shortages the Department works closely with stakeholders to identify activities and actions that can increase the effectiveness and efficiency of the current supply, as well as mechanisms to increase the supply and to minimise the need for additional international recruitment which cannot be guaranteed to produce additional numbers quickly. For example in the case of nurses the CNO has put together a task force to look at wider issues such as Return to Practice, recruitment and retention, skills mix and alternative models of care, and the Department has taken forward a care specific working group to focus on care-specific issues which will feed into the CNO’s group.

4.131. These work streams are progressing in the context of the Lord Carter review into productivity and efficiency and therefore will develop solutions which fit with this work.

4.132. This government remains committed to reducing net migration, however as a temporary measure the Home Office has added nursing to the governments Shortage Occupation List (SOL). The Home Secretary has asked the Migration Advisory Commission (The Home Office independent advisory body) to report, by 15 February, on the shortage position of nurses and to recommend what nursing roles should be included on the list and for how long.
5. Contract Reform - Agenda for Change

Introduction

5.1. Patient care is a team effort. We want to ensure patients receive the best possible compassionate care every day of the week in a way which is fair to staff and patients. Trade unions agreed, under the 2015/2016 pay deal to negotiate with NHS Employers proposals for contract reform. See Annex F for the Secretary of State’s letter about the pay offer and contract reform talks. The areas of reform included:

- changes to contractual redundancy arrangements;
- strengthening the AfC agreement on progression;
- reducing the reliance of NHS organisations on agency staffing;
- reviewing more generally the need for further reform of the pay system to maximise fairness for all staff, including those on Bands 8 and 9; and
- specific ambulance issues around recruitment and retention, banding, sickness and unsocial hours pay, pensions and the Working Longer Review.

5.2. Work is underway through the NHS Staff Council and Ambulance Partnership Forum to agree how the commitments under the 2015/2016 pay deal will be developed and implemented.

5.3. It is vital that patients can rely on the NHS every day of the week. We have not said we want to remove unsocial hours payments, we know many of our hard working staff already work weekends and rely on those additional payments. We do want to discuss, in partnership how we can extend plain time working, as set out in our evidence to you “Evidence for the NHS Pay Review Body: Evidence from the Department of Health - December 2014” to help employers ensure they can afford to roster the right staff at the right times across seven days, it will be important to reform employment contracts, which that work so far, as you observed in your report “Enabling the delivery of healthcare services every day of the week – the implication for Agenda for Change should provide a positive basis for future discussions and progress on the expansion of seven-day services”. We know some employers are already arranging services and their workforce to deliver services across seven days. We want to support employers to make this the norm across our NHS.

5.4. Fairness for all staff is important. We want contract reform for medical and non-medical staff to be based on the same principles. We are not looking to make savings, but to re-distribute the available pay bill in a way that is fair to staff and patients; rewarding staff for what they do for patients, how they care for them and enabling adequate staff cover over seven days. In your July 2015 report “Enabling the delivery of healthcare services every day of the week – the implication for Agenda for Change” said that “It will be important for morale and motivation of our remit group that changes to reward packages between the two groups (medical and non-medical) are regarded as fair”.

5.5. Contract reform is not just about pay. Its role in recruiting, retaining and motivating staff should be part of a TR approach which should be embedded in an organisation’s staff engagement strategy. See Chapters 4 and 6.

2013 Reforms

5.6. The partners, NHS trade unions and NHS Employers agreed to reforms in March 2013 which provide a number of employer flexibilities as outlined in Table 5.1.
### Table 5.1: AfC Reform 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AfC Reform 2013</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>i) Removes regularly paid enhancements from sick pay for most staff. Staff in pay points 1-8 and Ambulance staff are unaffected</strong></td>
<td>Sick pay is paid at enhanced rates. The proposal removes regularly paid supplements e.g. unsocial hours payments. Staff at the lowest pay points are excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ii) Linking pay progression much more firmly to performance</strong></td>
<td>Operating closed gateways at every pay point and allowing employers locally to set performance criteria.</td>
<td>For staff in the highest pay bands 8C, 8D and 9, pay progression into the last 2 points in the band will become annually earned and once awarded will be dependent upon meeting the appropriate local level of performance in a given year as to whether these points are retained, i.e. non-consolidated pay. Annually earned pay will not be subject to pay protection. Employers can also, with agreement, move staff in pay bands 8C and above onto local spot pay arrangements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>iii) Remove accelerated pay progression for new entrants to Pay Band 5</strong></td>
<td>Agreement clarified that the partners still support the principles of professional development, but that accelerated pay is no longer appropriate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>iv) Workforce re-profiling</strong></td>
<td>Guidance on a partnership approach to workforce re-profiling (pejoratively described as ‘down banding’). Any pay protection is decided locally based on local agreements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.7. Whilst it may be too early to make decisions on the effectiveness of the 2013 AfC agreement, it is clear that a fundamental move away from near automatic incremental pay will take time. The NHS Staff Council recently agreed to joint visits to trusts (a partnership of NHS trades unions and NHS Employers) that have implemented the agreement to better understand the barriers and opportunities for sharing best practice and assessing what more the Staff Council (and Department) could do to increase take up of these national flexibilities and to help trusts implement the national agreement.

5.8. Pay progression is contractual, but dependent on meeting local performance standards. Making this work as intended relies on improved HR capability and capacity to enable organisations locally to realise the benefits. Development of simpler pay structures with fewer pay points could and removing overlaps will over time help to reduce the inbuilt pressure of progression pay of £550 million gross.

5.9. Any pay system relies on effective appraisal and performance systems. In 2014, to support the 2013 AfC agreement, we commissioned NHS Employers to develop implementation tools to help employers locally develop their own performance standards. A simplified pay system together with strong guidance around performance, standards and behaviour could help trusts better manage the overall employment offer and whilst fairly rewarding their staff.

Agenda for Change - National Living Wage

5.10. The government is clear that the National Living Wage (NLW) must be funded from within the public sector pay envelope already announced – an average of 1% over four years from 2016/2017.

5.11. There will be no impact from the NLW in 2016/2017 because minimum pay on AfC is higher.

5.12. We acknowledge that this may change over time as the NLW increases. Future NHS pay levels, considered alongside contract reform, will reflect this, within the constraints of wider public sector pay policy.
6. Pension Reform and Total Reward

Introduction

6.1. The government has undertaken a range of changes to pensions in both the public and private sectors; including the introduction of the new state pension from April 2016, a review of the State Pension Age, and the introduction of auto-enrolment. The Public Service Pensions Act 2013 set out reforms to public service pension schemes, implemented from 1 April 2015 in the NHS Pension Scheme. Annex G demonstrates that the new NHS Pension Scheme 2015 continues to provide a generous pension for NHS staff and remains one of the best schemes available. The employer continues to pay more towards the cost of the scheme than the workforce, contributing 14.3% of pensionable pay. Employee contributions are tiered according to income, with the rate paid by the lowest earners kept low in order to encourage and maintain participation in the scheme.

6.2. The NHS Pension Scheme (1995/2008 sections) is a final salary defined benefit occupational pension scheme. This scheme is now closed, other than for a limited group who are eligible for age-related protection. The new NHS Pension Scheme 2015 is a career average revalued earnings (CARE) scheme. The key differences between the two schemes, other than the way benefits are calculated, are different normal pension ages (1995 section – 60, 2008 section – 65, 2015 Scheme – state pension age) and accrual rates (1995 section – 1/80, 2008 section – 1/60, 2015 Scheme – 1/54). Under the new career average pension scheme most low and middle earners working a full career will continue to receive pension benefits that are at least as good, if not better than those under the former final salary schemes.

Implementation of the 2015 Scheme

6.3. Draft regulations for the 2015 NHS Pension Scheme were the subject of a public consultation in autumn 2014. There had been a significant amount of partnership working on the design and detail of the 2015 Scheme, and the responses to the public consultation largely reflected the fact that the regulations were consistent with what had been agreed with member representatives. Employee contribution rates from 1 April 2015 remain broadly the same as they were in the 2014/2015 scheme year.

6.4. The valuation of the existing NHS Pension Scheme, as at 31 March 2012, was completed using the methodology set out in the HMT Valuation Directions enacted through provisions in the Public Service Pensions Act 2013. The valuation report was published on 9 June 201450. This included a new discount rate for valuing the net present value of public service pension liabilities. The discount rate reduced from 3.5% plus RPI to 3% plus CPI following consultation in 2010 on the appropriate basis for the rate. This change resulted in increases to the net present value of scheme liabilities, for both past and future service, and therefore increased costs to employers.

6.5. Although public service schemes like the NHS are unfunded, government policy is that employer and employee contributions must meet the full cost of the liabilities accrued. Where previous contributions are found to have been insufficient to cover the full costs of the scheme, employers are required to pay off any deficit. Further changes include an

increased long term earnings assumption. The discount rate and the earning assumption are based on the Office for Budget Responsibility’s long term forecasts. The overarching principle behind the HMT Valuation Directions is for the valuations to measure the true cost of providing the public sector pension scheme, and to fully reflect those in the employer contribution rate from 1 April 2015. As a result of the 2012 valuation, the employer contribution rate from 1 April 2015 increased by 0.3% to 14.3%.

Review into Working Longer

6.6. The NHS Pension Scheme Proposed Final Agreement included the provision that in the new scheme, for pension accruals post 2015, the Normal Pension Age (NPA) should be set equal to the State Pension Age (SPA). This reflects the requirements of the Public Service Pensions Act 2013. Since September 2012 there has been an on-going tripartite review involving the Department of Health, NHS Employers and the NHS trade unions to address the impact of working longer in the NHS, with particular reference to staff working on the frontline and those with physically demanding roles, including the emergency services. The review was undertaken by the Working Longer Group (WLG), which delivered its initial report to the Department of Health in March 2014. The WLG oversees progress in delivering the recommendations in its report, which were accepted by DH Ministers. WLG’s recommendations were aimed at enabling staff to continue working to SPA, and beyond if they wish.

Review of Access

6.7. Within the recommendations of the Independent Public Service Pensions Commission there was provision to review the Fair Deal policy. After further consultation and discussions with the Trade Unions the Chief Secretary to the Treasury laid a Written Ministerial Statement in the House of Commons on the 4 July 2012 that stated:

“The government has reviewed the Fair Deal policy and agreed to maintain the overall approach, but deliver this by offering access to public service pension schemes for transferring staff. When implemented, this means that all staff whose employment is compulsorily transferred from the public service under Transfer of Undertaking (protection of employment) Regulations (TUPE), including subsequent TUPE transfers, to independent providers of public services will retain membership of their current employer’s pension arrangements. These arrangements will replace the current broad comparability and bulk transfer approach under Fair Deal, which will then no longer apply.”

6.8. The Fair Deal for Staff Pensions\(^51\) is a non-statutory policy setting out how pensions issues are to be dealt with when staff are compulsorily transferred from the public sector to independent providers delivering public services. The guidance applies to retenders involving compulsory transfers of staff who were transferred out under the old Fair Deal. Under the guidance there will continue to be protection where staff are subsequently transferred to a new employer.

6.9. The wider access review, included in the Proposed Final Agreement, was NHS specific and was developed in partnership with the DH, HMT, Trade Unions, Independent Sector and NHS Employers – building on the new Fair Deal provisions. The review resulted in

\(^51\) Fair Deal for staff pensions: staff transfer from central government, October 2013
additional provision within the NHS Pension Scheme, from 1 April 2014, allowing independent providers (IPs) of NHS clinical services with an Alternative Provider Medical Services (APMS) contract or a NHS Standard Contract – including services procured under "Any Qualified Provider" – to enrol eligible employees in the NHS Pension Scheme. IPs are able to choose from two levels of access – open (all employed staff) or closed (only staff with eligibility for the NHS Pension Scheme within the previous 12 months), or to maintain the default position where they comply with the new Fair Deal only.

6.10. The Department is currently undertaking a review of the access provisions and is considering whether the regulations are having the intended effect. Should the resulting report recommend changes to the regulations then these will be subject to public consultation.

Changes in Employee Pension Contributions

6.11. Even with the increases in employee contribution rates, implemented across three years from 2012/2013, the NHS Pension Scheme remains an excellent investment for retirement. The Government Actuary’s Department calculate that members can generally expect to receive around £3 to £6 in pension benefits value for every £1 contributed.

6.12. It should also be borne in mind that if members choose to leave the scheme they will lose the NHS employer contribution to their pension – currently 14.3%. Members would also give up their death-in-service benefits which may mean needing to review their life insurance arrangements.

6.13. In determining the distribution of contribution increases, a key government objective is to limit any commensurate increase in instances of members choosing to opt-out from the scheme. Consequently the Department has continued to review opt-out data from the scheme administrators to evaluate the impact of the first, second and third year of increases which have been applied from 1 April 2012. Trade Unions and NHS employer representatives have also reviewed this data. The evidence shows that there has been no significant change, and staff continue to value membership of the scheme.

6.14. High earners are likely to benefit from higher rate tax relief on their pension contributions. This meant that before contributions were raised in April 2012, members with full-time earnings over £60,000 actually paid a contribution rate that was lower than colleagues who earned half that amount, once tax relief had been taken into account.

6.15. Net of tax relief, the 2014/2015 contribution rates (Table 6.1) meant that a doctor on a salary of £80,000 only actually contributed at a rate 0.66% higher than a nurse earning £30,000. The Department does not consider this a disproportionate outcome for high earners.
Table 6.1 2014-15 contributions after tax relief (net)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full-time pay</th>
<th>2013-14 contribution rate net of tax relief</th>
<th>2014-15 contribution rate net of tax relief</th>
<th>Net contribution rate increase (percentage points)</th>
<th>Additional cost (£ per month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>£10,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£15,000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£20,000</td>
<td>4.24%</td>
<td>4.48%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£25,000</td>
<td>5.44%</td>
<td>5.68%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£30,000</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.44%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£40,000</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>7.44%</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£60,000</td>
<td>6.78%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£80,000</td>
<td>7.38%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£130,000</td>
<td>7.98%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>0.72%</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.16. Employee contribution rates remain the same in 2015/16 as they were in 2014/2015, and have been set until 31 March 2019 (Table 6.2). However, the tier 4/5 pensionable pay boundary has been re-aligned to ensure that it reflects the current level of the higher rate tax threshold. The top of tier 4 / bottom of tier 5 now coincides with the pay level at which members see their marginal tax rate increase from 20% to 40% after recognising that pension contributions reduce their taxable pay. It is expected that around 10% of members will see their contribution rate increase (by between 0.6% and 3.2% of pensionable pay, depending where they are in the pay range) at some point during the four years 2015-2019. A proportion of members are expected to progress to higher contribution tiers year to year through pay progression.
Table 6.2: Employee contribution rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>WTE Pensionable Earnings/Pay</th>
<th>Contribution Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>≤ £15,431</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>£15,432 - £21,477</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>£21,478 - £26,823</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 4</td>
<td>£26,824 - £47,845 (was £49,472)</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 5</td>
<td>£47,846 (was £49,473) - £70,630</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 6</td>
<td>£70,631 - £111,376</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 7</td>
<td>≥ £111,377</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.17. Separately the tax changes relating to lifetime allowance and annual allowance apply to some high earners in the NHS Pension Scheme. However, there is no evidence to suggest that this is affecting the recruitment and retention of key staff roles, and there has always been a number of high earners who have chosen to retire, take their pension, and return to work in the service.

6.18. The Department awaits the outcome of the recently closed HMT consultation “Strengthening the incentive to save: a consultation on pensions tax relief”

Total Reward

6.19. TR is both the tangible and intangible benefits that an employer offers an employee. In addition to financial benefits, it includes training, career development opportunities, culture and working environment. It is a means of explaining to employees the total value of their employment package.

6.20. The Department of Health’s vision for TR within the context of continued pay restraint and fiscal consolidation is one in which NHS organisations have the appropriate capability and capacity to:

- fully utilise the NHS employment package to attract, motivate and retain the staff they need;
- implement local reward strategies that are aligned with their organisational objectives and meet the needs of their workforce; and
- ensure employees understand the full value of their TR package (the tangible and intangible benefits) and the flexibilities within it.

---

Pension Reform and Total Reward

6.21. Our main objective is to develop a coherent TR policy for implementation across the NHS that will help the NHS deliver workforce productivity improvements by supporting employers in ways that will:

- help NHS organisations recruit, retain and motivate the staff they need to deliver excellent services to patients;
- enable staff to understand the value of their reward package and have access to opportunities to maximise its value for them at different stages in their career;
- contribute to improved patient and staff experience (engagement; health and wellbeing);
- improve local management of the NHS paybill and support wider NHS productivity gains;
- support and empower employers across the NHS to adopt and develop innovative pay and reward solutions that meet local need and enable them to compete for the best staff;
- be in the vanguard of public sector approaches to TR; and
- focus on reversing the increasing dissatisfaction with pay as evidenced by declining NHS Staff survey results reporting satisfaction with pay falling from 38% in 2013 to 33% in 2014 by improving staff perceptions of the value of their NHS reward package.

6.22. We are working with NHS Employers to develop the business case, strategy and plans for implementing the TR policy during this Parliament. This will be through:

- re-engaging with NHS Employers TR focus groups to build on the roll out in 2014 of TRSs;
- ensuring the NHS has access to TR expertise and is kept up to date with latest developments and leading edge practice supported by a range of products;
- influencing a change in employer behaviour to embrace TR and share learning, for example, through encouraging wider use of its Reward Strategy toolkit designed to lead trusts through planning, developing and implementing their reward strategies; and
- ensuring that our TR approach influences and is influenced by ongoing pay contractual changes and pensions modernisation. Pay contractual negotiations are evolving but consideration may be given, for example, to arrangements under which employees may want to make use of Early Retirement Reduction Buyout (ERRBO) and/or, for example, buy more leave etc.

6.23. Details of possible activities and products agreed with NHS Employers to support the vision for reward in the NHS are included in Annex H.

Features of Total Reward Packages for NHSPRB Staff

6.24. Components of the TR package for NHSPRB staff, updated where appropriate from last year, include:

- annual incremental progression of between 1% and 6.7% of basic salary;
- a NHS minimum wage of £7.72 per hour which is 18% more than the national minimum wage of £6.70 (since October 2015);

---


54 [http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/Pensions/4017.aspx](http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/Pensions/4017.aspx)

- a defined benefit pension scheme with a 14.3% employer contribution and flexible early retirement options from 55 years old;
- immediate life assurance of twice an employee’s annual pay and generous death benefits for spouses and dependent children;
- maximum 41 days holiday compared with the 28 days statutory minimum;
- sick pay of six months full pay and six months half pay compared with statutory sick pay of £88.45 per week for up to 28 weeks;
- redundancy pay of up to two year’s salary with a minimum of 24 years reckonable service (using a notional £23,000 minimum and £80,000 maximum earnings for the purpose of calculating benefits) compared with the statutory half to one and a half week’s pay for each full year of service depending on age;
- maternity pay of eight weeks full pay, 18 weeks half pay, 13 weeks statutory maternity pay (SMP) and an optional extra 13 weeks unpaid leave compared with the statutory entitlement of six weeks at 90% of average gross weekly earnings and 33 weeks at the lower of either £139.58 or 90% of average gross weekly earnings;
- paternity leave of two weeks starting twenty weeks after the child is born as well as an additional two to 26 weeks if the mother has returned to work. Fathers are also entitled to receive additional paternity pay if the mother has not exhausted her SMP when she returns to work; and
- the nationally recognised values, diversity and reputation of the NHS including, for example, excellent opportunities for flexible working, career breaks etc and other local initiatives (for examples, see the TRS section below).

Total Reward Statements

6.25. The Public Service Pension Act 2013 introduces a new legal requirement on public sector schemes to provide benefits information statements to members in pensionable service.

6.26. TRS were first introduced in the NHS during 2014 and are made available annually to most NHS employees. TRS are accessed by employees via the TRS portal and ESR employee self-service for organisations that use the facility. TRS have been developed and delivered by NHS Business Services Authority (NHSBSA) in partnership with the NHS ESR programme to support staff retention and motivation by giving NHS staff details on the overall value of their employment package. Staff in organisations not using ESR receive an annual benefit statement (ABS).

6.27. TRS have been designed to help improve communications with employees, help them understand their complete benefits package and highlight the value of their employment and NHS pension benefits in one place. Evidence, for example, from NHS workshops suggests that employees do not understand the full value of their reward package with many unaware that they receive a 14.3% employer contribution towards their pension package. TRS should help resolve this and feedback from pilots confirmed that:

- the concept appears to be understood by staff and well supported;
- the quality of statements is regarded as good; and
- there is further work to be undertaken with NHS employers to support the local customisation of statements thus ensuring that the project’s benefits are fully realised.
6.28. TRS content is refreshed annually for each eligible employee. NHSBA, which administers the TRS carried out a Year One Evaluation in April 2015\textsuperscript{55}. Their findings show that TRS/ABS has been welcomed by NHS staff and viewed as a tool that provides valuable information. NHSBSA will continue to work with NHS Employers to refine work already done to build further awareness to establish fully the value and potential of TRS to a wider audience.

6.29. A TRS is a personalised summary that shows employees their reward package including:
- basic pay;
- allowances; and
- pension benefits (for NHS Pension Scheme members).

6.30. Organisations using ESR can also add information about local benefits allowing employers to showcase the positive benefits of working for their trust. Local benefits could include:
- health and wellbeing programmes;
- learning and development;
- flexible working opportunities;
- childcare vouchers; and
- cycle to work scheme.

6.31. The national roll out of TRS for 2015 has gone live with effect from 31 August 2015. There are 1,810,544 statements available following the successful pilot in 2014.

\textsuperscript{55} “TRS/ABS Year One Evaluation Review”. NHSBSA Customer Insight and Communication Team 2015
HM Treasury, 1 Horse Guards Road, London, SW1A 2HQ

Jerry Cope (NHSPRB); Paul Curran (DDRBB); Peter Knight (Prison Services RB); David Lebrecht (Police/NCA PRB); Martin Read (SSRB); Patricia Rice (STRB); John Steele (AFPRB)

c/o Office of Manpower Economics
Fleetbank House
2-6 Salisbury Square
London EC4Y 8JX

Dear Jerry, Paul, Peter, David, Phil, Patricia and John,

August 2015

PUBLIC SECTOR PAY 2016-17

Thank you for your work on the 2015-16 pay round. It is clear to me that the pay review bodies play an invaluable role in making independent, evidence-based recommendations on public sector pay, as well as providing expert advice and oversight in relation to wider reforms to pay policy and allowances. I am grateful to you and your colleagues for the careful thought you give to this work, and look forward to receiving your advice and recommendations during the 2016-17 pay round and beyond.

2. Savings from public sector pay and workforce reform made a significant contribution to reducing the deficit over the course of the last Parliament, saving around £6bn. The new government’s Summer Budget last month set out that a further £20 billion of consolidation in public sector spending will be required to deliver a surplus by 2019-20. Whilst the deficit and debt are being reduced, the government will need to continue to ensure restraint in public sector pay. Without
such restraint, reductions would need to come from other areas of spend, resulting in negative impacts on public services and jobs. At a time of difficult decisions, the government’s pay policy will help to protect the jobs of thousands of front line public sector workers.

3. As you will have seen, the government announced at Budget it will fund public sector workforces for a pay award of 1% a year for four years from 2016-17. The government expects pay awards to be applied in a targeted manner to support the delivery of public services, and to address recruitment and retention pressures. This may mean that some workers could receive more than 1% while others could receive less; there should not be an expectation that every worker will receive a 1% award. The relevant departments will submit in their evidence to you proposals covering the needs of their different workforces.

4. The Budget also set out that the government will continue to examine pay reforms and modernise the terms and conditions of public sector workers. This will include a renewed focus on progression pay, and considering legislation where necessary to achieve the government’s objectives. Over the course of the Parliament, I look forward to the pay review bodies playing an important role in advising the government on how best to achieve pay reforms.

5. The relevant Secretaries of State will write to you shortly with a detailed remit covering these points and I look forward to receiving your recommendations.

With best wishes,

GREG HANDS
I am writing as a follow up to the letter you received from the Chief Secretary to the Treasury, Greg Hands on 19 August 2015 confirming the Government’s approach to pay awards in the public sector for 2016/2017. I do apologise for the long delay in writing to you.

I am grateful for the invaluable work you and your members carry out on behalf of all those that participate in the annual pay review process. The government has made it clear that pay restraint in the public sector continues to be a crucial part of its plans to reduce the deficit. I appreciate that this presents particular challenges, but your expert, impartial and independent judgement is vital as employers and staff respond to the unprecedented challenges facing the NHS.

The Government has announced that it will fund annual pay awards in the public sector at an average of one per cent in each of the next four years (2016/2017 to 2019/2020). In his letter to you, the Chief Secretary to the Treasury also asked that you consider how an award might best be targeted to support recruitment and retention.

I invite the NHS Pay Review Body to consider the case for targeting to support recruitment and retention, including High Cost Area Supplement and to make recommendations within an average of one per cent for staff employed under Agenda for Change.

I would like to thank you and your members for your work on the special report ‘Enabling the delivery of healthcare services every day of the week – the implications for Agenda for Change’. We hope to take forward
partnership discussions between NHS Employers and NHS trades unions on the reform of Agenda for Change.

As always, whilst your remit covers the whole of the United Kingdom, it is for each administration to make its own decisions on its approach to this year's pay round and to communicate this to you directly.

DAVID PRIOR
Annex C - 2 June 2015 Financial Challenge Letter from Secretary of State to Chairs of NHS Trusts, NHS Foundation Trusts and Clinical Commissioning Groups

From the Rt Hon Jeremy Hunt MP
Secretary of State for Health

Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London SW1A 2NS

Tel: 020 7210 3000

ch-stfs@dh.gsi.gov.uk

Chairs:
NHS Trusts
NHS Foundation Trusts
Clinical Commissioning Groups

Cc’ ALB Chairs (for info)

Dear Colleague,

Keeping control of the paybill while ensuring we can recruit and retain high quality staff is a crucial part of meeting the efficiency challenge. Reforming the way we pay for NHS staff is a very high priority and must include a review of the pay of the most senior staff in the NHS (Very Senior Managers – VSMs) – chief executives and executive directors. Although these staff do important jobs and deserve to be fairly rewarded, it is vital that we do not lose sight of the need to ensure that executive pay remains proportionate and justifiable. More junior staff subject to tight restraint over their pay have the right to expect this as do the public more widely.

Although we have reduced the number of senior managers across the NHS by over 1,800 the latest figures still suggest that more than half of all directors in provider trusts are paid between £100,000 and £142,500 with more than one fifth paid amounts over £142,500. At a time of financial pressure, it is right to question the need to pay so many NHS staff more than the Prime Minister. The overall reward package is not just about pay, but also includes deferred pay in the form of NHS pensions. It cannot be right to treat pension benefits as though they are entirely separate from the employment offer.

I am therefore writing today to outline the following:

• Firstly, to urge you all to urgently review your policies on executive remuneration and consider whether the amounts paid are necessary and publicly justifiable.

• To advise you that I shall extend to NHS Trusts the current requirement for ambulance and community NHS Trusts, to first seek the approval of the
Chief Secretary to the Treasury for appointments above the Prime Minister’s salary of £142,500.

- I am also requesting that all FTs and CCGs seek the views of ministers via Monitor and NHS England respectively before making appointments to Boards/ Executive Boards with a salary higher than the Prime Minister’s. In addition, that you advise me of those current salaries which are higher than the Prime Ministers and your justification.

- To highlight particular attention to the pay of interim Board members and ensure that you follow the relevant HMT guidance on interim appointees paid on an “off-payroll” basis. Treasury guidance on such appointments states very clearly that Board members should be on the payroll of the organisations they lead unless in exceptional, short-term cases. The same rules apply to senior officials filling roles with significant financial responsibility. Can you please ensure that HMT’s guidance on “off-payroll” appointments is rigorously followed.

- In addition, I believe the daily rates paid for such appointments amount, on an annual basis, to pay which is excessive and indefensible. Can you please ensure that where there are exceptions, the daily rates involved do not normally exceed what would be paid to substantive appointments.

- Clamping down on “retire and return” to ensure that very senior staff cannot gain financially, from this at a cost to the taxpayer. I have concerns that very senior staff use the retire and return provisions of the NHS pension scheme to access their full pension and lump sum and then continue in full-time work. The provisions were not designed for senior staff to gain financially. I will look to extend existing rules so employees’ new salaries plus their pension on returning to employment cannot be more than the original salary prior to retirement. It is unacceptable, particularly for VSMs leading organisations receiving additional tax payer support, to be better off by taking their pension and returning almost immediately to the NHS.

- To set out my expectation that the new redundancy terms for NHS staff in England apply to all newly appointed VSMs (unless staff are on statutory redundancy terms) and existing VSMs where section 16 is referenced in their contracts. The new redundancy terms for NHS staff in England are now more effective than before and it would be wholly unacceptable to have very senior staff leaving on significantly better compensation packages than more junior colleagues.
The last Government legislated for the “claw back” of contractual redundancy benefits on return to public sector employment for staff earning £100k or more. The new law will be in place in April 2016. This Government will introduce an overall contractual redundancy cap of £95k. Alternative employment where ever possible must be the priority so we retain valuable skills. Redundancy should be the very last resort.

I have also considered options for better control of VSM pay across the system, and will be taking these forward in the coming weeks. These include the following:

- introducing a national VSM pay framework with benchmarked rates for executive roles, and a more effective approach to transparency and disclosure (e.g. central publication of VSM pay rates for each organisation alongside the benchmarked rate). If these measures cannot be implemented effectively on a voluntary, “comply or explain” basis, I will strongly consider taking additional legal powers. In addition, it is important that the new pay framework is informed by any relevant recommendations following publication of The Rose Review.

I recognise that effective leadership is crucial if we are to improve outcomes for patients. Getting this right is a team effort, and my expectation is that there should be no significant difference in the terms and conditions of senior leadership teams and those working on the front line. I do not believe it is acceptable that some senior managers experience the high levels of pay, with year on year increases, as a matter of course.

By the end of June I would very much welcome your plans and thoughts on:

- reviewing your policies on executive remuneration and whether the amounts paid are necessary and publicly justifiable;
- to note that NHS trusts will be required to seek the approval of the Chief Secretary to the Treasury on VSM pay which is more than the PM’s - £142,500 - before making any appointments;
- via Monitor and NHS England, that FTs and CCGs should mirror the process in the rest of the NHS for appointing VSMs paid more than the PM;
- providing me with details of your current VSM salaries that are higher than the PM’s and your justification;
- the introduction of a national pay framework for executive roles and how appropriate rates can best be benchmarked;
- assuring me that Board members and those filling roles with significant financial responsibility paid “off payroll” all meet the Treasury guidance and where they do not, the action you plan to take to rectify the situation.
In addition, I ask that you confirm to me in writing that you will personally scrutinise and approve any new VSM appointments in your organisation.

My officials will make contact with you as quickly as possible to provide further guidance about the information I have requested and will provide standard templates for your colleagues to complete.

I look forward to receiving your conclusions in June and continuing to work with you on this crucial aspect of the financial challenges we have to address.

Jeremy Hunt
Dear [xxx],

As you know, the NHS is facing an almost unprecedented financial challenge this year. Current plans are quite simply unaffordable. As I have said before, if we are to do the best we can for patients we must leave no stone unturned in our collective efforts to make the money we have go as far as possible.

We are already reviewing and challenging the plans of the 46 foundation trusts with the biggest deficits. However, it is clear that this process will not close the funding gap and so we need all providers – even those planning for a surplus this year – to look again at their plans to see what more can be done.

Having said this, I am acutely aware that we at the centre also need to play our part. Various initiatives, such as Lord Carter’s work on the ‘model hospital’ and the central procurement initiative, will be available in due course. The approvals process for management consulting spend is already in place. Although this is only mandatory for trusts in breach of their licence, I would strongly encourage others to at least discuss any plans to use consultants with our team – they have already helped a number of trusts reduce their consulting costs significantly. We are also piloting our work on best practice in minimising agency costs, and the agency controls will be issued shortly1.

In addition to these initiatives on the provider side NHS England have agreed they will be requiring CCGs to:

- Suspend all fines and penalties relating to the admitted and non-admitted RTT standards backdated to the beginning of the financial year
- Be transparent with NHSE and the Department of Health on any revenue generated by other fines linked to provider non-delivery, so that commissioner decisions on how these should be deployed can be taken in the light of the need to deliver key standards and the overall financial position

---

1 As outlined in my letter of 2 June, we will be introducing a ceiling on the level of agency spend for each foundation trust in breach of their licence. We will be writing to those trusts shortly with their ceiling. It is intended that these ceilings will continue beyond the current financial year and will reduce year-on-year. The Department of Health are also planning to implement controls over capital spending for NHS trusts and foundation trusts. We will write shortly with details on how this will operate.
Pension Reform and Total Reward

- Resolve contract disputes as quickly as possible with binding arbitration to follow where this does not take place
- Be transparent with NHS and the Department of Health about any uncommitted reserves so that any potential upside in commissioner budgets is known.

In the meantime I would ask all trusts to take a further look at their plans with a particular focus on the following actions insolar as they are not already covered:

1. Ensuring vacancies are filled only where essential
2. Implementing fully the Agenda for Change 2013 agreement on pay progression
3. Ensuring that the existing acute inpatient safe staffing guidance has been adopted in a proportionate and appropriate way and that rosters are rigorously managed to deploy substantive staff efficiently across all required shifts including evenings and weekends
4. Making sure there is funding from CCGs for any winter initiatives that have been agreed with SRGs (this funding, which appeared as separate winter monies last year, has been included in CCG baseline budgets for this year)
5. Consistent with the Government’s focus on only the incomplete RTT standard rather than the admitted and non-admitted standards, ensuring that waiting list management optimises both patient experience and the trust’s financial position
6. Where your trust has insufficient capacity to meet demand, working with your commissioner to transfer activity if possible to any other provider that has already-funded but underutilised capacity
7. Ensuring that contracts with commissioners provide for adequate levels of activity, do not result in your trust taking on undue risks and are agreed as soon as possible to remove continuing uncertainty (including by committing to binding arbitration if necessary)

Ministers have been sighted on these options and are ready to support all providers to reduce their deficits in a managed way although, of course, all actions should be consistent with your responsibilities for safety and the delivery of constitutional standards.

As [xxx] is one of the trusts planning a significant deficit this year – and therefore already subject to a challenge process - we need you to identify what further you can do in the light of the opportunities discussed as part of your challenge process, the options above and any other actions available to you. Our estimate is that for [xxx] Trust it should result in a reduction in your deficit to around [xxx]. I would be grateful if you could write back to me by 21 August with your own estimate of the impact these and any other actions should have on your year-end bottom line.

---

2 Specifically, you should implement the Agenda for Change 2013 agreement so staff only progress when local performance standards are met and ensure that you have implemented the one year increment freeze from 1 April 2015 to 31 March 2016 for all staff on spine point 34 and above as agreed in the pay settlement earlier this year.
With thanks for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

David Bennett

Cc: [xxx]
Annex E - 13 October 2015 Safe Staffing and Efficiency Letter from NHS Improvement, NHS England, NICE and CQC to NHS trusts and FTs

To: NHS foundation trust and NHS trust Chief Executives
   Cc: NHS foundation trust and NHS trust Nurse Directors, Medical Directors, Finance Directors and Operations Directors

13 October 2015
Dear colleague

Safe staffing and efficiency

We know that many organisations have taken a systematic and thoughtful approach to staffing wards and services safely over the past two years, by responding positively to the guidance issued by the National Quality Board and by NICE, embracing transparency about their planned versus actual staffing, and focusing on how to make services as safe as possible within available resources. We are also aware that recent messages to the system on safe staffing and on the need to intensify efforts to meet the financial challenge have been seen as contradictory. We recognise that it is important to offer clarity to the system as we work together to close the gaps in health and wellbeing, care and quality, and funding and efficiency identified in the Five Year Forward View.

The current safe staffing guidance has been designed to support decision makers at the ward/service level and at the Board to get the best possible outcomes for patients within available resources. The guidance supports - but does not replace - the judgements made by experienced professionals at the front line. The responsibility for both safe staffing and efficiency rests, as it has always done, with provider Boards.

As set out in the guidance, it is important for providers to take a rounded view of staffing. Providers should be able to demonstrate that they are able to ensure safe, quality care for patients and that they are making the best use of resources. This should take account of patient acuity and dependency, time of day and local factors, such as line of sight for those caring for patients. In some cases, these factors will mean a higher number of nurses per patient, and in other cases it will mean a lower number or different configuration of staff can be justified. Some trusts have taken innovative approaches whereby Allied Health Professionals are included in their ward based teams, and this can have a positive impact on patient outcomes. We support this approach where appropriately implemented.

It is therefore important to look at staffing in a flexible way which is focused on the quality of care, patient safety and efficiency rather than just numbers and ratios of

staff. We would stress that a 1:8 ratio is a guide not a requirement. It should not be unthinkingly adhered to: achieving the right number and balance of clinical and support staff to deliver quality care based on patient needs in an efficient way that makes the best possible use of available resources is the key issue for provider Boards. Where trusts are able to maximise the proportion of time spent by clinical staff focusing on care that contributes most directly to patient outcomes (including through the use of innovation and technology) there are likely to be benefits for both patient care and for efficiency.

Trusts are responsible for ensuring that they get the balance right by neither under-staffing nor over-spending, and are able to secure the right complement of clinical staff to meet local patient need and circumstances.

CQC always assesses staffing levels as part of rating a service on safety in its programme of comprehensive inspections. These assessments include observation of care delivery, listening to staff and patients, assessing outcomes of care and discussions with nurse managers about assessment of acuity levels and achievement of planned staffing levels. Staffing ratios are never the sole determinant of a rating.

We will continue to work with and support trusts to secure both safe staffing and greater efficiency. This will include:

- further progress on the Model Hospital led by Lord Carter, who will be working with providers to develop a way to use data on the nursing and care hours per patient, so that staffing arrangements remain safe across a range of different times and situations. Lord Carter’s team will be working closely with front-line staff to put in place a more sophisticated approach to measurement of nursing time and its connections with outcomes, costs and other critical measures; and

- development of further safe staffing guidance. We are currently reviewing the responses we had to the letter dated 4 August 2015 and will confirm further details on the development of the guidance and timescales in due course.

In order to support your efforts to manage your agency staffing costs, the mandatory use of approved frameworks for procuring nursing agency staff will come into effect from 19 October. Further work is being taken forward at pace by Monitor and the NHS TDA to introduce a national rate-cap for all agency staff, to include medical and other agency staff later this autumn.

As we collectively work on both the efficiency and the safe staffing agendas, we recognise the need for clarity and consistency across the work of all teams in the arm’s length bodies in this area. We will be working hard across the national organisations and in close partnership with providers and all clinicians to ensure these are delivered in the next phase of work.

The financial and quality challenges that you are grappling with are unprecedented, and we thank you for all you are doing for patients and their families.
Yours sincerely

Ed Smith, Chairman-Designate NHS Improvement

Sir Mike Richards, Chief Inspector of Hospitals

Dr Mike Durkin, National Director of Patient Safety, NHS England

Jane Cummings, Chief Nursing Officer for England

Sir Andrew Dillon,
Chief Executive, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
Annex F - 27 January 2015 Agenda for Change Pay Proposal Letter from Secretary of State to the Staff Side Chair

From the Rt Hon Jeremy Hunt MP
Secretary of State for Health

Department of Health
Richmond House
70 Whitehall
London SW1A 2NS
Tel 020 7210 5320
healthnhs@dh.gsi.gov.uk
www.dh.gov.uk

Christina McAnena
Staff Side Chair of NHS Staff Council
UNISON
130 Euston Road
London
NW1 2AY

Agenda for Change Pay Proposal

I am writing to you to make a pay offer for 2015/16 in respect of staff employed under Agenda for Change Terms and Conditions in England, following discussions between my officials and representatives of the staff side of the NHS Staff Council.

As we have discussed before the priority for the Government has always been to ensure a fair pay award for hard working NHS staff whilst also doing what is best for patients, and those staff, which is protecting front line staff numbers.

The pay offer is intended to provide nearly 1.1m NHS staff under Agenda for Change (AFC) terms and conditions with a pay rise next year in line with the Government’s pay policy. It also provides additional support for the lowest paid staff in the NHS. This offer does not increase the cost of employing NHS staff next year and therefore does not affect the affordable NHS workforce. I would ask as part of an agreement that the Trade Unions commit to work together with NHS employers to ensure this remains affordable and that the £34bn plus spent on paying Agenda for Change staff achieves the best value going forward.

The elements of the pay proposal from the Department are as follows:

- Abolition of the bottom point of A1C and increasing pay point 2 to £15,100. This means an increase of 5.6% for staff on point 1 and 3.1% for staff on pay point 2;
- 1% consolidated pay rise for all staff up to point 42 from April 2015;
- A further consolidated pay rise of an additional £200 for staff on pay points 3-8. This means staff on these pay points will receive an increase between 2.1% and 2.3%;
• An increment freeze in 2015/16 for staff on pay point 34 and above for one year only; and

• Urgent talks to take place with a view to the proposed redundancy changes being implemented from 1 April 2015, including a floor for calculation of redundancy payments of £23,000 and a ceiling for calculation of £80,000 with an end to employer top up for early retirement on grounds of redundancy.

The Government is also taking this opportunity to reaffirm its commitment to the NHS Pay Review Body. The Pay Review Body system has generally served the NHS well and will continue to have an important role in making future recommendations on pay uplift for NHS staff in relation to 2016/17 and thereafter.

As part of the offer the Government asks the Trade Unions to commit to talks on further reforming Agenda for Change. The Government recognises that the Agenda for Change pay system has successfully created a framework for equal pay in the NHS and a framework for rewarding staff fairly. However we believe that after 10 years the time is now right to review the agreement to ensure it can continue to deliver flexibility, capacity, fairness and value.

The talks would support NHS organisations to maximise the contribution of NHS employed staff and reduce reliance on agency staffing, strengthen the AfC agreement on progression and review more generally the need for further reform of the pay system with the aims of maximising value for patients and fairness for all staff including those in Bands 8 and 9. These talks would aim to produce an agreement for implementation from April 2016 and will be part of a more general review of terms and conditions for all NHS staff.

As part of the broader negotiations, unions representing ambulance staff have had discussions with the Department and ambulance employers about related issues concerning ambulance staff terms and conditions. The annex to this letter sets out proposals for taking these forward. I would be grateful if Trade Unions representing ambulance staff would include this element of the offer in consultations with their membership on the overall proposals.

I believe that this offer strikes a fair balance between the need to protect the NHS frontline and giving staff an affordable pay rise. We hope that this offer will enable Trade Unions in dispute to suspend planned industrial action pending consultation with your members.

I am copying this letter to David Wherrett, Chair of the Staff Council Management Side.

JEREMY HUNT
### Annex G - Pension Scheme Summary of benefits & comparison with 2015 scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature or Benefit</th>
<th>1995</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Salary</td>
<td>CARE</td>
<td>Final Salary</td>
<td>CARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accrual rate</strong></td>
<td>1/80th</td>
<td>1.4% of uprated earnings per year</td>
<td>1/60th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirement Lump Sum</strong></td>
<td>3 x pension plus optional further commutation up to HMRC limit</td>
<td>3 x pension plus optional further commutation up to HMRC limit</td>
<td>Optional 12:1 commutation up to HMRC limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal Pension Age</strong></td>
<td>60 (or 55 for special classes)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In-service earnings revaluation</strong></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Pensions Increase + 1.5%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature or Benefit</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff group</td>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
<td>Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred benefits revaluation</td>
<td>Pensions Increase</td>
<td>Pensions Increase</td>
<td>Pensions Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member Contributions</td>
<td>5% - 14.5% depending upon level of pensionable pay or earnings</td>
<td>5% - 14.5% depending upon level of pensionable pay or earnings</td>
<td>5% - 14.5% depending upon level of pensionable pay or earnings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death in service</td>
<td>2 x pensionable pay or average annual earnings</td>
<td>2 x reckonable pay or average annual earnings</td>
<td>Same as 2008 section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivor benefits</td>
<td>Spouse &amp; partner pension based on accrual of 1/160th</td>
<td>Spouse &amp; partner pension based on accrual of 1/160th</td>
<td>Same as 2008 section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement flexibilities</td>
<td>None. Full retirement from NHS service required before pension can be paid. Unable to re-join the scheme once benefits have been taken.</td>
<td>Early/late retirement factors on an actuarially neutral basis, draw down of pension on partial retirement and ability to retire and return to the scheme</td>
<td>Same as 2008 section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-health retirement</td>
<td>Basic ill-health retirement = no actuarial reduction for early pension payment.</td>
<td>Basic ill-health retirement award = no actuarial reduction for early pension payment.</td>
<td>Basic ill-health retirement award same as 2008 section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature or Benefit</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff group</td>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
<td>Officers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
<td></td>
<td>Practitioners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher tier ill-health retirement award = enhance pension by 2/3rds of prospective service to NPA.</td>
<td>Higher tier ill-health retirement award = enhance pension by 2/3rds of prospective service to NPA.</td>
<td>Higher tier ill-health retirement award = enhance pension by 50% of prospective service to NPA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Annex H - List of Activities/Products Suggested to Support the Vision for Reward in the NHS

### List of Activities/Products Suggested to Support the Vision for Reward in the NHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education and Training</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training Programmes</td>
<td>Linking with leading training providers to develop an NHS specific programme that can be offered nationally at a reduced rate to employers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Seminar Session        | Series of seminar sessions run across the country on a variety of reward topics  
                         | Run by different benefit providers and reward consultants |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer Reward Network</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Employer Support Network | Develop the existing NHS Employers Reward Engagement Group:     
                          | 🔻 update communications                                       
                          | 🔻 regional events                                              
                          | 🔻 regular meetings with employers                              
                          | 🔻 online forums to discuss pay and reward                      |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NHS Employers Team Working More Collaboratively</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proactively develop supportive/creative approaches to counteracting emerging issues</td>
<td>To ensure emerging issues are responded to proactively, providing employers with support to implement/develop new approaches to rewarding their staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Products and Tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Briefing Papers</td>
<td>Provide guidance, ideas and suggestions for how employers can maintain engagement with changes in how they reward staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward Strategy Toolkit</td>
<td>Develop online for employers to adapt locally including the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ business case for reward strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ template documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This would be supported by an implementation plan and plan to add to/update the products regularly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aon Hewitt consultancy to support the development of the content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Communication</td>
<td>☐ webinars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ online forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ social media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance on voluntary benefits - 'top available products'</td>
<td>☐ Guidance to advice employers on additional cost effective benefits that can be offered to staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intelligence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networking With Reward Experts from Other Sectors</td>
<td>Discover reward practices in organisations outside of the health service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Reform and Total Reward</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to generate ideas for new ways of rewarding staff and learning that can be transferred to employers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link with HPMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reward Statement Development</td>
<td>Develop local use to reflect local range of benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>