

Environment Agency

Review of an Environmental Permit under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2010 (as amended)

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/KP3531US
The Operator is: RWE Generation UK Plc
The Installation is: Great Yarmouth Power Station
This Variation Notice number is: EPR/KP3531US/V006

What this document is about

All Environmental permits which permit the operation of large combustion plant (LCP), as defined by articles 28 and 29 of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED), need to be varied to implement the special provisions for LCP given in the IED, by the 1 January 2016 (Article 82(3)). The IED makes special provisions for LCP under Chapter III, introducing new Emission Limit Values (ELVs) applicable to LCP, referred to in Article 30(2) and set out in Annex V.

The IED provides a period of transition towards the new ELVs via Article 32, the Transitional National Plan (TNP). It also makes provision for plant that wish to be exempted from compliance with the new ELVs in Article 33, the Limited Life Derogation (LLD). Other derogations include limited operating hour regimes for sites using 500 hr or 1500 hr derogations. There are also options for exemption from emission limits based on operating hours.

The operator has submitted a response to our notice requiring information, issued under regulation 60(1) of the Environmental Permitting Regulations (EPR), which has provided us with information on which compliance route they wish to follow for each LCP. The response also includes specific details relating to each LCP, necessary for accurate implementation of the IED requirements. A copy of the regulation 60 notice and the operator's response is available on the public register.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation, including all variations since the last permit consolidation, and referred to the operator's response to the regulation 60 notice requiring information. This is our decision document,

which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we have issued.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the compliance routes and, where relevant, the emissions limits proposed by the Operator for each LCP on the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the:

- Chapter III and annex V of the IED
- “IED BAT ESI Review Paper, 28 October 2014” produced by the Environment Agency (referred to as the “2014 ESI BAT review paper” in this document)
- “Electricity Supply Industry – IED compliance protocol for Utility Boilers and Gas Turbines”, published by the Joint Environmental Programme.

It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

As well as implementing the chapter III IED compliance of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issue. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been deleted because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to chapter III review and any changes to the operation of the installation.

The requirement to sample Total Hydrocarbons on a weekly basis at sample point W2 has been removed from Table S3.2 and an updated requirement to sample prior to discharge to the seal well has been included and placed into Table S3.5 process monitoring requirements, as per discussions with the operator and site requirements. This change has been initiated by the Environment Agency, but with the operators agreement.

Table S1.1 activities, the directly associated activities for the Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG) and the steam turbine have been removed from the consolidated permit as both pieces of equipment are now generally included within the Gas Turbine as a CCGT (LCP: 267).

How this document is structured

Glossary

1. Our decision
2. How we reached our decision
3. The legal framework
4. Key Issues

Annex 1 – Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the Chapter III IED derived permit review.

GLOSSARY

Baseload	means: (i) as a mode of operation, operating for >4000hrs per annum; and (ii) as a load, the maximum load under ISO conditions that can be sustained continuously, i.e. maximum continuous rating
BAT	best available techniques
BREF	best available techniques reference document
CCGT	combined cycle gas turbine
Derogation	as set out in Article 15(4) of the IED
ELV	emission limit value set out in either IED or LCPD
GT	gas turbine
IED	Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EC
LCP	large combustion plant – combustion plant subject to Chapter III of IED
MCR	Maximum Continuous Rating
MSUL/MSDL	Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load
OCGT	Open Cycle Gas Turbine
TNP	Transitional National Plan

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the Variation Notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the Consolidated Variation Notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The Consolidated Variation Notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of “tailor-made” or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information relating to the requirements of Chapter III of and Annex V to the IED

We issued a Notice under Regulation 60(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2010 (a Regulation 60 Notice) on 31/10/14 requiring the Operator to provide information for each LCP they operate, including:

- The type of plant, size and configuration,
- The proposed compliance routes,
- Minimum start up and shut down loads,
- The proposed emission limits and how they accord with the 2014 BAT review paper,
- For higher efficiency gas turbines where they wish to apply for the NO_x emission derogation, the energy efficiency details of the LCP.
- For gas turbines, proposed emission limits for each unit between the MSUL/MSDL and 70% load, with a justification,

The Regulation 60 Notice response from the Operator was received on 31/03/15.

We considered that the response did not contain sufficient information for us to commence determination of the permit review. We therefore issued a further information request to the Operator. Suitable further information was provided by the Operator on 26/05/15.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review, but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that determination.

The Operator made no claim for commercial confidentiality. We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 60 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

2.2 Requests for Further Information during determination

Although we were able to consider the Regulation 60 Notice response generally satisfactory at receipt, we did in fact need more information in order to complete our permit review assessment, and issued further information

requests about emission point W2, by email on 26/08/15 and 01/09/15. Copies of the emails have been placed on our public register.

2.3 Alternative compliance routes

In their Regulation 60 Notice response, the operator initially requested multiple compliance routes be considered for their LCP because at that point they had not decided which route they wanted to apply for. The routes requested were: Article 30(2) Annex V Part 1 – ELV, Article 30(2) Annex v Part 1 – 500 hour emergency operation and Article 32 – Transitional National Plan (TNP).

We were only able to issue the variation notice for single compliance routes per LCP (other than TNP which can apply by pollutant), and the operator confirmed which routes they wanted in the variation notice by email on 21/12/15. The confirmed routes were:

TNP – LCP 267

This is what is considered in this decision document.

3 The legal framework

The Consolidated Variation Notice will be issued, under Regulations 18 and 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an *installation* as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that, in issuing the Consolidated Variation Notice, it will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

Meeting the requirements of the IED

The table below shows how each requirement of the IED has been addressed by the permit conditions.

IED Article Reference	IED requirement	Permit condition
30(6)	If there is an interruption in the supply of gas, an alternative fuel may be used and the permit emission limits deferred for a period of up to 10 days, except where there is an overriding need to maintain energy supplies. The EA shall be notified immediately.	Not applicable
32(4)	For installations that have applied to derogate from the IED Annex V emission limits by means of the transitional national plan, the monitoring and reporting requirements set by UK Government shall be complied with.	3.1.3 Schedule 3, Table S3.4
33(1)b	For installations that have applied to derogate from the IED Annex V emission limits by means of the Limited Life Derogation, the operator shall submit annually a record of the number of operating hours since 1 January 2016;	Not applicable
37	Provisions for malfunction and breakdown of abatement equipment including notifying the EA.	No applicable
38	Monitoring of air emissions in accordance with Ann V Pt 3	3.5, 3.6
40	Multi-fuel firing	Not applicable
41(a)	Determination of start-up and shut-down periods	2.3.5 Schedule 1, Table S1.5
72b	For combustion plants which do not operate more than 1500 operating hours per year as a rolling average over a period of 5 years, the number of operating hours per year.	Not applicable
Ann V Pt 1(1)	All emission limit values shall be calculated at a temperature of 273,15 K, a pressure of 101,3 kPa and after correction for the water vapour content of the waste gases and at a standardised O ₂ content of 6 % for solid fuels, 3 % for combustion plants, other than gas turbines and gas engines using liquid and gaseous fuels and 15 % for gas turbines and gas engines.	Schedule 6, Interpretation
Ann V Pt 1	Emission limit values	3.1.2 Schedule 3, Table S3.1
Ann V Pt 1	For plants operating less than 500 hours per year, record the used operating hours	Not applicable
Ann V Pt 1(6(1))	Definition of natural gas	Schedule 6, Interpretation
Ann V Pt 2	Emission limit values	3.1.2 Schedule 3, Table S3.1
AnnV Pt 3(1)	Continuous monitoring for >100MWth for specified substances	3.5, 3.6 Schedule 3, Table S3.1

AnnV Pt 3(2, 3, 5)	Monitoring derogations	3.5.1 Schedule 3, Table S3.1
AnnV Pt3(4)	Measurement of total mercury	Not applicable
AnnV Pt3(6)	EA informed of significant changes in fuel type or in mode of operation so can check Pt3 (1-4) still apply	2.3.1 Schedule 1, Table S1.2
AnnV Pt3(7)	Monitoring requirements	3.5.1 Schedule 3, Table S3.1
AnnV Part 3(8,9,10)	Monitoring methods	3.5, 3.6
AnnV Pt 4	Monthly, daily, 95%ile hourly emission limit value compliance	3.5.1 Schedule 3, Table S3.1
AnnV Pt7	Refinery multi-fuel firing SO2 derogation	Not applicable

4. Key Issues

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Where relevant and appropriate, we have incorporated the techniques described by the Operator in their Regulation 60 Notice response as specific operating techniques required by the permit, through their inclusion in Table S1.2 of the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The variation notice uses an updated LCP number in accordance with the most recent DEFRA LCP reference number. The LCP reference have changed as follows:

- **LCP 194** is changed to **LCP 267**

This LCP consists of 1 x 710 MWth CCGT which vents its gases via a dedicated HRSG stack at emission point A1. The unit burns natural gas.

Compliance Route:

The operator has proposed to operate this LCP under the TNP

For plant operating under the TNP, ELVs are set which have been derived for the period 2016 – 30 June 2020 (the duration of the TNP). At the end of this period it is expected that both Annex V and the revised LCP BREF will become applicable, in which case Annex V or the BAT conclusions must be achieved (whichever is stricter), or operators must have applied for a derogation from the BAT conclusion (if that is stricter: Annex V will apply in any event. The operator will apply, at the appropriate time, to vary the permit again to reflect this.

The operator's current proposals to achieve the stricter ELVs by 30 June 2020, are to apply the necessary pollution abatement techniques. Should the operator decide the plant cannot comply with the ELV's that will apply from this date, the plant will be closed. This information is not in any way binding upon the operator and may change.

Net Rated Thermal Input:

The Applicant has stated that the Net Thermal Input is 710MWth. This is based on the contractual guarantee performance test carried out in 2002, which was carried out in accordance with ASME PTC-46 (1996). The heat rate was found to be 6365 kj/kWh at the time of the test and the generator output was 401.9 MW.

The applicant has stated that the efficiency of CCGT LCP 267 at ISO base load conditions is 56.55%. This is based on the same contractual guarantee performance test from which the net rated thermal input was obtained. We confirm we are satisfied with the justification for this figure.

Minimum start up load and Minimum shut-down load:

The Operator has defined the “minimum start up load” and “minimum shut-down load” for the LCP in their response to question 6 of the Reg 60, in terms of the output load (i.e. electricity, heat or power generated) as one of three criteria that suit the technical characteristics of the plant, which can be met at the end of start-up or start of shut-down.

The output load and percentage of the rated output is based on the rated electrical output from the LCP 267.

We agree with all of these definitions and have set these thresholds in the Permit in table S1.5 accordingly. Standard permit condition 2.3.5 has been set to define the period of start up and shut down, referring to the thresholds in this table.

Emission limits:

The operator has proposed limits in line with annex V of the IED and the 2014 BAT review paper. Consequently we have accepted the proposed limits and incorporated them into table 3.1 of the permit.

For TNP ELV’s, the BAT paper only specifies NO_x monthly and 95%ile for daily and hourly ELVs whereas the reviewed permit sets absolute ELVs, this requirement has been included to ensure there is no backsliding and deterioration of the current emission limits. For CO, Annex V limits have to be set.

The existing permit states the hourly mean NO_x ELV as 90mg/Nm³ and an assessment of the impact of these emissions on air quality was received in an email dated 14th July 2015.

The ELVs for >70% load were derived as shown in the table below. The tighter hourly average compared to the IED has been set to ensure no deterioration of emissions limits.

NO _x (mg/m ³)	Reference Period		
	Monthly average	Daily average	Hourly average
Existing permit	-	-	90
Annex V	75	82.5	150 (95%ile)
New permit limit	75	82.5	90

CO (mg/m ³)	Reference Period		
	Monthly average	Daily average	Hourly average
Existing permit	-	-	150
Annex V	100	110	200(95%ile)
New permit limit	100	110	150

ELVs for MSUL/MSDL to base load have been set identical to the above, again reflecting no deterioration from existing operation.

The ELV limit of 140mg/m³ for NO_x in the 28 MWth auxiliary boiler contained within the existing permit has been retained as the boiler operates in excess of 500 hours per annum.

Gas fired plant:

Sulphur dioxide emissions from natural gas firing of gas turbines and boilers will be reported as six monthly concentrations on the basis of the fuel sulphur content without continuous or periodic monitoring since only trace quantities of sulphur are present in UK natural gas. Dust emissions for natural gas fired boilers will, likewise, be reported on the basis of emission factors without continuous or periodic monitoring. For gas turbines we have not required any reporting as the dust emissions will always be reported as zero. This is because natural gas is an ash-free fuel and high efficiency combustion in the gas turbine does not generate additional particulate matter. The fuel gas is always filtered and, in the case of gas turbines, the inlet air is also filtered resulting in a lower dust concentration in the flue than in the surrounding air.

The IED Annex V ELVs for oxides of nitrogen and carbon monoxide apply to OCGTs, CCGTs and mechanical drive gas turbines when the load is >70%. This has been interpreted as 70% of the rated output load. The rated output load used here is the same as that used for calculating the percentage load when specifying the end of start-up and beginning of shut-down.

Reporting efficiency:

In order to ensure the efficiency of plant using fossil fuels is maximised and regularly recorded, condition 1.2.1(c), condition 4.2.2(b) and table S4.2 have been added to the permit.

Monitoring & standards:

Standards for assessment of the monitoring location and for measurement of oxygen, water vapour, temperature and pressure have been added to the permit template for clarity.

A row has been included in table S3.1 which requires the operator to confirm compliance with BS EN 15259 in respect of monitoring location and stack gas velocity profile in the event there is a significant operational change (such as a change of fuel type) to the LCP.

Resource efficiency metrics:

A more comprehensive suite of reporting metrics has been added to the permit template for ESI plant. Table S4.2 "Resource Efficiency Metrics" has been added requiring the reporting of various resource parameters, as this is an Electrical Supply Industry (ESI) power plant. This table is being used for all ESI plant.

Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

Condition 3.1.4 relating to protection of soil, groundwater and groundwater monitoring, has been added in compliance with IED requirements.

Conditions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 relating to notifications, have been amended in compliance with IED requirements.

Annex 1: Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the Chapter III IED derived permit review.

The requirement to sample Total Hydrocarbons on a weekly basis at sample point W2 has been removed from Table S3.2 and an updated requirement to sample prior to discharge to the seal well has been included and placed into Table S3.5 process monitoring requirements. This change has been initiated by the Environment Agency, but with the operators agreement. The amendment has been implemented to update an error in a previous report after further clarification of the actual source and discharge point for sample W2. W2 is surface water from the site (excluding the turbine hall), that is directed to a storm water basin before being discharged into the seal well, at sample point W1. There is therefore no separate discharge point for W2 as it is included within sample point W1.

Before discharge to the seal well the oil/hydrocarbons and pH of the basin are checked within RWE internal laboratories, as externally accredited sites would not provide a suitably fast turnaround to stop the basin overflowing. The results of the hydrocarbon test are reported in the quarterly returns, but are generally Below Detection Limit (i.e. no oil has been detected). As it is a manually controlled, batch process, there is no fixed interval for the monitoring, it is done as and when the storm water pond contents are discharged.

The permit review will delete reference to W2 but, instead, will include checking the quality of the storm water discharge to the seal well in the process monitoring requirements as indicated below:

Table S3.8 Process monitoring requirements				
Emission point reference or source or description of point of measurement	Parameter	Monitoring frequency	Monitoring standard or method	Other specifications
Storm water pond discharge	Hydrocarbon	Prior to discharge to seal well	As agreed in writing with the Environment Agency	

This inclusion ensures no deterioration of performance. The Agency will, however, need to agree in writing the updated method for monitoring the storm water pond discharge which includes your internal action limits and should also include pH.