The relationship between dental caries and obesity in children: an evidence summary

Aim

This evidence summary explores whether dental caries and obesity are found in the same individuals and populations. It supports the dental public health and obesity teams, who may be asked about the relationship between these two outcomes.

Objectives

To review and summarise what is currently known about the relationship between dental caries and obesity in individuals and populations, using published literature and routine public health monitoring data.

Background

Dental caries and obesity are two of the most prevalent health conditions affecting children. In 2011-12 in England, 9.4% of five-year-old children were obese and 28% had dental caries experience.\(^1,2\) Both conditions increase with age and the most recent data for England shows obesity prevalence rising to 19.1% of 10 to 11-year olds in 2013-14\(^3\) and caries prevalence to 34% of 12-year olds.\(^4\)

Obesity increases the risk of chronic disease and poor mental health outcomes\(^5\) and dental caries impacts significantly on the quality of life of young children.\(^6,8\) Tooth decay was the most common reason for hospital admissions in children aged five to nine years old in 2013-14.\(^10\) For these reasons, both conditions are of great public health importance and hence why ‘tackling obesity, particularly among children’, and ‘ensuring that every child has the best start in life’ are two of PHE’s seven priorities for 2015.\(^11\)
Consumption of free sugars is a risk factor both for dental caries and obesity. In their recent report on carbohydrates and health, The Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition concluded that higher consumption of free sugars is associated with a greater risk of dental caries. In addition, increasing the percentage of total dietary energy consumed as free sugars leads to increased total energy intake. For children and adolescents, the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was found to lead to greater weight gain and increases in body mass index.

There is also a strong relationship between deprivation and both obesity and dental caries in children. Data from the National Child Measurement Programme (NCMP) shows an almost linear relationship between obesity prevalence in children and the Index of Multiple Deprivation 2010 (IMD) decile for the area where they live. Similarly, data from the National Dental Epidemiology Programme for England shows that IMD scores explain 44% of the variation in the severity of tooth decay across local authorities.

Given that excessive intake of free sugars and social deprivation are risk factors for dental caries and obesity, it has been hypothesised that these two outcomes may be more likely to co-exist within the same individuals or populations. However, there are many non-shared risk factors for both conditions. Aside from free-sugars and socio-economic deprivation, obesity in children and young people is affected by many complex behavioural and societal factors, including overall calorie intake, physical activity levels, genetic factors and the media. Dental caries is also affected by exposure to fluoride, overall dietary composition, oral bacteria, salivary composition and flow rates, and tooth enamel structure.

Published literature

Methodology

A literature search of articles published between 2000 and 2014 investigating the relationship between dental caries and weight in children was performed. The search identified 142 potentially relevant abstracts, which were screened in duplicate for relevance. Studies were included if they were either a systematic review or a primary observational study published after the systematic review cut-off dates, and undertaken in a setting comparable to the UK, as in a ‘high income country’.

Results

Four systematic reviews published between 2006 and 2013, and eight more recent primary studies were identified. Two systematic reviews were considered directly relevant to the

*All monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods by the manufacturer, cook or consumer, plus sugars naturally present in honey, syrups and unsweetened fruit juices.
research question as they only included data on children,\textsuperscript{9,10} and two were considered partially relevant as they also included data on adults.\textsuperscript{19,20} The risk of bias in the systematic reviews was evaluated using the ROBIS tool.\textsuperscript{21}

The two \textbf{directly relevant systematic reviews} in children aged 0 to 18 years were of low risk of bias, with clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, and all of the included studies used objective measures of exposure and outcome, ie, anthropometry for weight status and clinical dental examination for caries status.\textsuperscript{22,23} A summary of the findings are provided below:

- Hayden et al (2013) carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis of one cross-sectional-longitudinal study and 13 cross-sectional studies, which found that children who were obese had higher dental caries scores compared to non-obese children (standardised mean difference: 0.104, \(P=0.049\))

- Hooley et al (2012) carried out a systematic review of 48 studies (36 cross-sectional, six longitudinal, one cross-sectional-longitudinal, three case-control and one retrospective case series). The authors did not pool the data but concluded that any association may be U-shaped rather than linear, with a general pattern emerging of increasing prevalence or severity of dental caries in underweight and overweight children when compared to those of a healthy weight\textsuperscript{23}

The authors of both studies found that the association was modified by country income status, with more developed\textsuperscript{23} or higher income\textsuperscript{22} countries showing a stronger relationship between caries and obesity.

The two \textbf{partially relevant systematic reviews} that included data on adults and children were assessed as being at high risk of bias. Their inclusion criteria and search strategies were unclear, and some of the included studies used self-report and/or proxy measures of caries and obesity.\textsuperscript{19,20}

- Silva et al (2013) included 28 studies (24 cross-sectional, three prospective cohort and one case control)

- Kantovitz et al (2006) included seven studies (six cross-sectional and one retrospective case control)\textsuperscript{19,20}

These reviews found conflicting results across the studies and were unable to pool any data. As a result there was insufficient evidence to draw any conclusions about whether there is an association between dental caries and obesity in adults and children.\textsuperscript{19,20}
A further eight primary studies (three prospective cohort,24-26 one retrospective cohort27 and four cross-sectional28-31 studies) conducted after the search periods of the systematic reviews and conducted in a high-income country setting were also considered. These additional studies provided conflicting results with no clear consensus emerging.

Limitations in the evidence base

- the overall body of evidence included in all four systematic reviews was of low quality, with a high proportion of cross-sectional and case control, rather than prospective cohort studies

- many of the primary studies include underweight children in the “normal weight” category during the analysis. This may lead to inflated caries scores in the “normal weight” group if, as suggested by Hooley et al (2012), dental caries is associated with low weight as well as high weight23,24,32

- the nature and direction of the proposed relationship between caries and weight varies across the primary studies. Due to inconsistencies in the data, it is not possible to identify which variables if any should be appropriately treated as confounders

- many studies included in the systematic reviews come from low-income developing countries. This limits the generalisability of the findings to the UK context since food security, sanitation and access to healthcare all have a major impact on nutritional status33

Local authority level data

Methodology

Public health outcome data routinely collected at age five provides an opportunity to examine if there is a relationship between dental caries and obesity prevalence in this age group at the local authority population level in England.1,2 This age was specifically used in the analysis as it is the only time point where both indicators are available, although they were captured on separate occasions.

Results

There is a weak to moderate correlation (R=0.40) between increasing obesity prevalence and increasing caries prevalence (fig.1). Dental caries prevalence is moderately associated with deprivation as measured by the IMD score (R=0.58), and obesity is strongly associated with deprivation (R=0.73), which in part mediates the correlation observed between dental caries and obesity.
It should be noted that this observation is based on ecological data and therefore should not be used to comment on how much correlation there may be at the sub-population or individual level.

**Fig. 1.** Correlation between children with one or more decayed, missing or filled teeth at age five years and children who are obese at age four to five years: upper tier local authorities in England.

Data source: National Child and Maternal Health Intelligence Network PHE
Conclusions

We found some low-quality evidence that dental caries and obesity may be more likely to occur in the same populations. This evidence comes from two systematic reviews considered to be directly relevant to the research question and at low risk of bias. They included mostly cross-sectional studies and concluded that groups of children who are overweight or obese may have higher dental caries scores, compared to groups of non-obese children. The local authority data, collected at population level and also cross-sectional, confirms that a weak to moderate correlation between dental caries and obesity prevalence can be observed at age five years; however it is not currently known whether this relationship exists in older children.

Using this type of group-level cross-sectional data it is not possible to say whether an individual who is overweight or obese is at higher risk of dental caries or vice versa. Well-designed cohort studies in populations that are comparable to the UK are required to answer this type of question and there are currently insufficient studies of this type available. Despite this, because deprivation and high intakes of free-sugars are known risk factors for dental caries and for obesity, it is likely that interventions that reduce these common risk factors have the potential to impact both conditions at the population level.

Examples of population-level interventions taking a common risk factor approach

Upstream interventions that impact upon the social determinants of health and foster supportive food environments are recommended as part of the common risk factor approach to health improvement:

- examples of population-level dietary interventions include: supporting healthier catering and food procurement policies; whole-school and community engagement approaches, working with town planners and local authorities to create healthier food environments, supporting the School Food Plan and the Change4life social marketing “sugar swaps” campaign

- further details of such evidence-informed interventions can be found in ‘Commissioning better oral health’ and ‘Oral health: approaches for local authorities and their partners to improve the oral health of their communities’ and ‘Preventing obesity and helping people to manage their weight’
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