

. Please tell us about yourself

No Response

2. Which role best describes you?

Trading Standards Officer

3. Do you agree with the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010?

Yes

Age restricted enforcement is not concerned with 'crackers' as they are not a problem.

5. What benefits will the amendment of the Pyrotechnic Articles (Safety) Regulations 2010 have? Can you quantify these?

Little benefit, possibly more sales for retailers.

7. Do you agree with the revocation of the Bunk Beds (Entrapment Hazards) (Safety) Regulations 1987?

Yes

I agree that the 1987 regulation has now been superceded

9. What benefits will the revocation of the Bunk Beds (Entrapment Hazards) (Safety) Regulations 1987 have? Can you quantify these?

Little or no impact anticipated. I am not aware of any enforcement of this regulation.

11. Do you agree with the revocation of the Children's Clothing (Hood Cords) Regulations 1976?

Yes

I agree that the 1976 regulation has been superceded

12. Are there any other consequences to the revocation of the Children's Clothing (Hood Cords) Regulations 1976 not outlined in this document?

No

13. What benefits will the revocation of the Children's Clothing (Hood Cords) Regulations 1976 have? Can you quantify these?

Little or no effect

15. Do you agree with the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993?

No

Recently there has been a noticable surge in so called 'bling dummies' aimed at children and adults!

16. Are there any other consequences to the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993 not outlined in this document?

A usable tool that is effective against idiots that stick plastic gems to dummies amongst other adaptations

17. What benefits will the revocation of Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993 have? Can you quantify these?

None. The current regulations don't effect legitimate manufactures/retailers.

Boots for example do not fall foul of this regulation, market traders however are non-compliant

18. Are there any costs for business that have not been anticipated as a result of the revocation of the Imitation Dummies (Safety) Regulations 1993? Can you quantify these?

No additional cost to legitimate business

19. Do you agree with the revocation of the Pencils and Graphic Instruments (Safety) Regulations 1998?

Yes

Little known or used regulation

23. Do you agree with the revocation of the Wheeled Child Conveyances (Safety) Regulations 1997?

Yes

I agrees that this has been superceded

27. Do you agree with the revocation of the Gas cooking Appliances (Safety) Regulations 1989?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

31. Do you agree with the revocation of the Heating Appliances (Fireguards) Regulations 1991?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

35. Do you agree with the revocation of the Gas Catalytic Heaters (Safety) Regulations 1984?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

39. Do you agree with the revocation of the All-Terrain Motor Vehicle (Safety) Regulations 1989?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

43. Do you agree with the revocation of the Cooking Utensils (Safety) Regulations 1972?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

47. Do you agree with the revocation of the Indication of Prices (Beds) Order 1978?

Yes

Old and ineffectual regulation

51. Do you agree with the removal of the Child Resistant Packaging and Tactile Danger Warning (Safety) (Revocation) Regulations 1992 from the statute book?

Yes

Ineffective regulation

52. Do you agree with the removal of the Stands for Carry-cots (Safety) (Revocation) Regulations 1996 from the statute book?

Yes

Ineffective regulation

53. Do you agree with the removal of the Magnetic Toys (Safety) (Revocation) Regulations 2009 from the statute book?

Yes

Ineffective regulation

54. Do you have any other comments that might aid the consultation process as a whole?

With current budget pressures an over reliance on the GPSRs 2005 will lead to more harm to consumers. This is because either the enforcement authorities are reacting after the harm is done or are unwilling to gain evidence because of the cost of expert testing/testimony.

56. At BIS we carry out our research on many different topics and consultations. As your views are valuable to us, would it be okay if we were to contact you again from time to time either for research or to send through consultation documents?

Yes

