Executive summary

Much of the international evidence is based on the experience in the USA which has seen a significant expansion of shale gas fracking over the past few years.

Whilst this evidence is useful it is important to note that the USA experience is not directly transferrable to the UK context for a number of reasons. For example, the USA have a different regulatory regime for the treatment of waste water which is not the same in the UK, where there are tighter environmental permit controls that will reduce the risks of ground and surface water pollution. In addition property rights for mineral extraction are owned by landowners in the USA creating a financial incentive for private owners to allow the disruptions associated with shale operations. REDACTED These regulatory control and incentive differences are likely to lead to different scenarios in the expansion and impact of shale gas operations in the UK. Despite these differences it is still useful to learn lessons from the USA experience and consider how applicable they are in the UK context.

In assessing the impact of Shale Gas exploration and drilling it is important to differentiate between short and long-term impacts on rural communities. In order to do this there is a need to understand what will drive the pace and scale of drilling and associated boom and bust cycle as operators enter and exit the market. This will have implications for the potential benefits, costs, job creation and longer term economic development prospects for rural communities where shale gas drilling is taking place. REDACTED

Unfortunately none of the international reports reviewed contained any robust quantitative assessment of the cost and benefits or impacts from shale gas on rural communities. However, they did include qualitative information that described (rather than measured) the effects with a general discussion. REDACTED
An assessment of the significant environmental and economic impacts for the UK has been undertaken by DECC along with another study by the Institute of Directors that estimated the job creation potential associated with shale gas operations. The Environment Agency have also recently commissioned Ricardo-AEA consultants to undertake an assessment on what the future industry in shale gas and coal bed methane may look like, if and when it moves to commercial production. It describes the processes involved and the infrastructure required, although it does not consider environmental impact. The results from these studies is contained in the summary findings from the literature review, however, these do not breakdown the impacts at a rural community level. **REDACTED**

### Section 1: Findings from literature review

#### DECC Environmental Impact Assessment for Shale Gas Exploration and Drilling

DECC commissioned AMEC to undertake an EIA that examined the likely significant environmental effects of further onshore oil and gas licensing to comply with the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (2001/42/EC). Consideration was given to all the stages in the oil and gas production and development lifecycle, under high and low activity scenarios for both conventional and unconventional oil and gas.

**Likely significant effects of shale gas drilling for the UK**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Employment could create 16,000 – 32,000 new full time equivalent positions (including direct, indirect and induced jobs). Increase of up to 7% in the level of employment supported by the UK oil and gas industry sector. The extent to which these jobs might directly benefit local communities would depend on the availability of skills and experience in the local labour market.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocarbon reserves</td>
<td>The high activity scenario could generate in total some 0.12 to 0.24 trillion cubic metres (4.32 to 8.64 trillion cubic feet) of gas, more than six times the 0.037 trillion cubic metres (1.31 trillion cubic feet) of gas produced in the UK in 2012 or more than twice the approximate 0.1 trillion cubic metres (3.52 trillion cubic feet) of gas consumed in the UK per annum.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REDACTED**

**REDACTED**

**Likely significant effects for Local Communities**

Under the commitments of the United Kingdom Onshore Operators’ Group (2013) Community Engagement Charter, shale gas exploration could provide a community contribution of £100,000 per hydraulically fractured site as an initial benefit, equivalent to total UK payments of between £3 million and £12 million. A further £2.4 to £4.8 million per site (or nearly £0.6 billion in total) could be generated in a production phase, reflecting the 1% contribution from revenue over the lifetime of each well.

**REDACTED**
Institute of Directors report: Getting Shale Gas working

IOD report examines the potential impact of Shale Gas which it argues could represent a multi-billion pound investment, create tens of thousands of jobs, reduce imports, generate significant tax revenue and support British manufacturing. It could potentially meet a third of the UK’s gas demand with a very small surface footprint, benefitting the environment at the same time. The table below provides estimates of the potential gas exploration reserves and applies a number of different recovery rates. At the low end, a recovery rate of 5% who equate to a recoverable resource of 15.5 trillion cubic feet (tcf) of gas which is more than five years of total UK consumption. At the high end, a recovery rate of 25% of the gas in place would imply a recoverable resource of 77.3 tcf which is over 25 years of total UK consumption. They expect that a recovery rate of 10% may be more realistic as a conservative assumption. This would equate to a recoverable resource of 30.9 tcf based on the findings of the exploration companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas in place (tcf)</th>
<th>Recovery rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recoverable resource (tcf)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of total UK consumption (tcf/year)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of potentially recoverable conventional gas resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Economic and environmental benefits of Shale Gas

Investment could reach £3.7 billion a year, supporting 74,000 jobs. Geologists, engineers, construction workers, business analysts, truck drivers and public relations staff are examples of the people needed by the industry. Cement and steel manufacturers, equipment manufacturers, drilling services companies and water treatment specialists would form important parts of the supply chain. Spending by the employees of the industry and its supply chain would benefit local businesses, including restaurants, shops, pubs, theatres and hotels.

Cuadrilla report by Regneris consultants:

**Economic Impact of Shale Gas Exploration & Production in Lancashire and the UK**

Cuadrilla Resources Ltd are exploring the potential for commercial shale gas extraction in the Lancashire area via a series of test wells. Exploration commenced in mid-2010. Regeneris
Consulting were appointed by Cuadrilla to quantify the economic impact of both the current exploration phase and the likely economic impact of a subsequent and far more extensive phase of commercial extraction. This modelled the impact for both the county of Lancashire and the UK as a whole.

**Economic benefits of Shale Gas exploration in Lancashire**

Regeniris estimate the test well activity will support some 250 FTE jobs over a 12 month period across the UK. Half of the jobs will occur within Cuadrilla and its extensive range of 1st tier suppliers. Over a tenth of the jobs result from the expenditure patterns of employees across the wider UK economy. Just over 15% of the jobs (circa 40) are estimated to be taken by Lancashire residents.

**Economic Impacts of Commercial Extraction**

At the UK Level, the FTE employment impact peaks at some 5,600 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019 with a build-up in the years from 2013 onwards. At the peak some 4,000 jobs are directly within the eventual lead producer and within both first and subsequent tier suppliers. 610 FTE jobs (direct and indirect) are required for the installation of the conversion infrastructure. Induced jobs resulting from the expenditure of staff account for 850 FTEs nationally, although this estimate does not appear to take any account of displacement or crowding out.

At a Lancashire level, the FTE employment impact peaks at 1,700 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019. Evidence from the US, measured at the State level, puts the FTE per well ratio at between 32 to 58 FTEs per well. The scale of these operations will lead to substantial new clustering of a supplier base in Lancashire and some attraction of specialist overseas suppliers to other UK locations.

**Ricardo AEA report commissioned by the Environment Agency:**

*Unconventional Gas in England, description of infrastructure and future scenarios*

The Environment Agency commissioned Ricardo AEA to undertake an analysis of how the unconventional gas industry in England may grow from the exploration phase. It used three future scenarios to outline a range of possibilities for the future scale of the industry, designed to represent low growth, medium growth and high growth. The scenarios are a current best estimate, based on experience from the US. Although it recognises that the situation in the UK is likely to vary from the US due to differences in planning rules, property rights, tax and financial incentives etc.

**Results of analysis – numbers of wells drilled**

**High scenario**

It was estimated that under the high US-style scenario, the total number of wells drilled would be about 12,500 with a peak of 1,100 wells drilled per year. Under this scenario, UK shale gas production at its peak could potentially reach 80 billion m³ per year, approximately 89% of the UK’s current gas consumption. However, differences between the US market, regulatory, environmental and geological conditions mean that this scenario is highly unlikely to occur.

**Mid scenario**

In the mid case scenario, production would peak at around 9.8 billion m³ per year, around 11% of the UK’s current demand for natural gas.
Low Scenario

In the low case scenario, total cumulative production would reach 12 billion m³ from a total of about 580 wells. Under this scenario, production would peak at about 1 billion m³ per year, about 1.1% of the UK’s current consumption.

Consideration of gas price predictions indicates that the high and mid case scenarios could potentially be commercially viable, whereas the low case scenario seems unlikely to be viable.

Comparison of findings

The tables below show the total jobs and production estimates with assumptions (where available) used as basis for the calculations in the various reports. The numerous assumptions make it difficult to disentangle the basis for variation between these estimates. However, differences in the expected number of wells and variation in drilling processes that affect the maximum level of shale gas production are likely to be key factors that explain the differences. Despite this there is a degree of consistency in the peak level of production expected around 2020 and that overall it will lead to a positive impact on employment and greater energy security.

Jobs comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DECC</th>
<th>IOD</th>
<th>Ricardo</th>
<th>Cuadrilla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs created</td>
<td>16,000 – 32,000 FTEs in UK</td>
<td>74,000 FTEs</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>5,600 FTEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Based on evidence from USA with adjustments made for UK context. Unclear how these have been derived from tables in report.</td>
<td>Assume that each £1 million of capex and opex leads to the creation of 20 jobs in UK</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>Estimate the test well activity will support some 250 FTE jobs over a 12 month period across the UK.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Production comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DECC</th>
<th>IOD</th>
<th>Ricardo</th>
<th>Cuadrilla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak in production</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of wells</td>
<td>360 wells at maximum production</td>
<td>At peak, 50 rigs would be drilling 400 laterals a year. This equates to a recoverable resource of 0.9 billion m³ per annum</td>
<td>580 wells in low growth scenario, 3,095 medium growth scenario and 12,478 in a high 'US-style' scenario.</td>
<td>190 wells drilled in low growth scenario over 6 years. The higher end scenario = 800 wells drilled over a period of 16 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Assumes the high activity scenario would generate between 120 billion m³ and 240 billion m³ of gas cumulatively in total.</td>
<td>Assume an initial production rate of 0.07 million m³ per day in the central scenario 0.06 million m³ per day in the low scenario and 0.09 million m³ per day in the high</td>
<td>Cumulative gas production could range from 11.8 billion m³ (low growth), 133 billion m³ (medium growth) to 1,040 billion (high 'US style' growth).</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environment Agency comparative analysis
The Environment Agency has also undertaken a comparative analysis between the Ricardo study and the DECC (AMEC) SEA. This stressed that both sets of scenarios are illustrative only. Neither provides a forecast of future activity. Little drilling or testing has taken place and therefore it is not possible to make meaningful estimates at this stage. The DECC SEA notes that a series of assumptions have been made, that these assumptions do not represent any definitive view but rather a representative view based on present knowledge.

The Ricardo AEA reports that there are significant uncertainties facing the unconventional gas industry. These include knowledge about the geology, potential impacts to planning, the economy, taxation and future benefits. The scenarios represent a best estimate only, based on US experience, which may differ in key respects from the UK. As a result the approach was to develop a range of illustrative future scenarios, rather than to develop forecasts for industry growth.

The scenarios are based on different methodologies. For shale gas, both draw heavily on experience in the USA. The DECC SEA Scenarios are based primarily on past activity in previous licensing rounds for conventional oil and gas and coal bed methane (CBM). Shale gas predictions are based on evidence from literature, much of which is based on the US experience, and applied to the UK. The Ricardo-AEA report scenarios are based on expected future growth, based on US experience. It develops separate scenarios for shale gas and coal bed methane. The high growth level represents growth in the UK similar to that experienced in the USA, which it recognises is highly unlikely to occur given the different market, regulatory, environmental and geological conditions. The medium case is based on industry well drilling forecasts and the low case draws on UK experience of new energy infrastructure.

Both reports do not expect production to be at full tilt until the 2020s. The SEA report assumes that all of the wells granted licences under the 14th round will be drilled and completed within the first 12 years. Wells are expected to have a 20 year life span. The Ricardo AEA report expects production to start no earlier than 2016/7, based on the Institute of Directors report. It presents estimates of well growth scenarios up to 2035, peaking in the 2020s. Neither report gives the precise locations of where unconventional sites may be in the future. The SEA outlines the broad areas under consideration and thinks that most activity is likely to be close to existing licensed areas. The Ricardo AEA report uses statistics on resources in prospective areas in its methodology but the end results are not broken down geographically.

Section 2: Areas likely to be effected by Shale Gas licensing
Shale gas is now regulated by DECC through the office for unconventional gas and oil. The main areas that have been identified for exploration are illustrated in the diagram below which shows the extent of the reserves and current licences that have been awarded. This indicates that large numbers of rural communities may be affected by the expansion of shale gas activities in the North East, West and Southern regions of England.
REDACTED
Section 3: Impacts on rural communities from Shale Gas drilling

3.1 Economic Impacts

To fully assess the economic impacts of shale gas drilling on rural communities it is important to consider a wide set of questions:

- Who will get the jobs that are created?
- What are the externality costs (pollution, waste, noise) associated with shale gas production and the extent these will be borne by local rural communities?
- How will the costs and benefits be distributed?
- How will other regional industries, such as tourism, be affected?
- Where will the tax revenue or rebates given to Local Authorities be spent?
- Will local communities benefit from skills and training?
- How do the short-term impacts compare to the longer term impacts?

It is often claimed that the overall effect of shale gas operations will be positive with benefits such as lower energy prices that are more secure and tax revenues that can compensate communities for the impact of externalities. Indeed the government recently announced that English councils which give the go-ahead to shale gas developments will be allowed to keep 100 per cent of the business rates they collect from consented sites\(^1\). This is estimated to be worth up to £1.7m a year for a typical site. In 2013 the industry also announced that local communities would receive £100,000 when a test well is fracked – and a further one per cent of revenues if shale gas is discovered. This could be worth £5m-10m for a typical producing site over its lifetime. The industry will consult further on how this money can best be shared with the local community, with options including direct cash payments to people living near the site, plus the setting up of local funds directly managed by local communities.

Energy Minister Michael Fallon said: “We already knew that the development of shale gas could bring growth, jobs and energy security to the country, and now local councils and people will benefit from millions of pounds of additional investment.” For example, the IOD estimate that a two-hectare site could potentially support a 10-well pad of 40 laterals, representing an investment of £514 million and supporting 1,104 jobs at peak. It could produce 126.2 bcf of gas and, at peak, power 747,000 homes. It could use 544,000 m³ of water and see 11,155-31,288 truck movements over 20 years.

At a national level the IOD estimate that shale gas investment could reach £3.7 billion a year, supporting 74,000 jobs. Geologists, engineers, construction workers, business analysts, truck drivers and public relations staff are examples of the people needed by the industry. Cement and steel manufacturers, equipment manufacturers, drilling services companies and water treatment specialists would form important parts of the supply chain. Spending by the employees of the industry and its supply chain would benefit local businesses, including restaurants, shops, pubs, theatres and hotels.

\[\text{REDACTED}\]

\[\text{REDACTED}\]

\[\text{REDACTED}\]

The international evidence on this is weak but there are some positive examples in Australia where shale gas funds have been used to improve human capital (via skills training) which has reduced rural decline. Research in the US that examined the Marcellus shale gas exploration (Cornell University) highlighted the potential boom and bust scenario in which an expansion of economic activity is followed by a significant contraction as drilling ends and

income falls. The timing of the cycle will depend on geological factors such as the quantity of shale gas and the technologies being used in the drilling and extraction processes.

The literature review that has been undertaken did not contain any robust quantitative assessment of the cost and benefits or impacts from shale gas on rural communities. Most of the reports included qualitative information that described (rather than measured) the effects with a general discussion. REDACTED

REDACTED

REDACTED

---

**Case study – Blackpool**

Cuadrilla Resources Ltd are currently exploring the potential for commercial shale gas extraction in the Lancashire area via a series of test wells. Exploration commenced in mid 2010. Regeneris Consulting\(^2\) were appointed by Cuadrilla to quantify the economic impact of both the current exploration phase and the likely economic impact of a subsequent and far more extensive phase of commercial extraction. This modelled the impact for both the county of Lancashire and the UK as a whole. Key findings from this research are summarised below:

Lancashire represents a large and complex economic area spanning urban areas exhibiting strong economic growth, towns with very weak historic performance and a substantial rural economy. Economic strategies for the county call for considerable diversification away from declining and lower value sectors, and prioritise actions that will attract higher value industries with strong growth potential. In the Fylde Coast sub-region REDACTED the challenges and diversification needs are even more acute. GVA growth has been minimal, the second lowest of all areas across the North West, and there is considerable reliance on a visitor economy that has been in long term decline. Blackpool, the main town within the Fylde Coast is the 3rd most deprived local authority in England.

Regeneris estimate the test well activity will support some 250 FTE jobs over a 12 month period across the UK, although it is unclear from the report whether these estimates take account of displacement and crowding out effects. Half of the jobs will occur within Cuadrilla and its extensive range of 1st tier suppliers. Over a tenth of the jobs result from the expenditure patterns of employees across the wider UK economy with just over 15% of the jobs (circa 40) estimated to be taken by Lancashire residents

\(^2\) Details of the Cuadrilla report with assumptions used in the analysis can be found at this link

At the UK Level, the FTE employment impact peaks at some 5,600 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019 with a build-up in the years from 2013 onwards. At the peak some 4,000 jobs are directly within the eventual lead producer and within both first and subsequent tier suppliers. 610 FTE jobs (direct and indirect) are required for the installation of the conversion infrastructure. Induced jobs resulting from the expenditure of staff account for 850 FTEs nationally. At a Lancashire level, the FTE employment impact peaks at 1,700 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019. At the peak the implied FTE per well ratio stands at 95 FTEs per well at the UK level, reducing to 30 FTEs per well at the Lancashire level. Evidence from the US, measured at the State level, puts the FTE per well ratio at between 32 to 58 FTEs per well. The scale of these operations will lead to substantial new clustering of a supplier base in Lancashire and some attraction of specialist overseas suppliers to other UK locations.

### Table 7.5: Bowland Shale FTE jobs generated by three test wells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lancashire</th>
<th>Rest of UK</th>
<th>All of UK total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jobs within Cuadrilla/1st Round Suppliers</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs due to subsistence expenditure</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs within the rest of the Supply Chain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobs from Induced Impacts</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implied Jobs per Well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Regeneris Consulting

At the UK Level, the FTE employment impact peaks at some 5,600 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019 with a build-up in the years from 2013 onwards. At the peak some 4,000 jobs are directly within the eventual lead producer and within both first and subsequent tier suppliers. 610 FTE jobs (direct and indirect) are required for the installation of the conversion infrastructure. Induced jobs resulting from the expenditure of staff account for 850 FTEs nationally. At a Lancashire level, the FTE employment impact peaks at 1,700 FTE jobs in the period 2016 through to 2019. At the peak the implied FTE per well ratio stands at 95 FTEs per well at the UK level, reducing to 30 FTEs per well at the Lancashire level. Evidence from the US, measured at the State level, puts the FTE per well ratio at between 32 to 58 FTEs per well. The scale of these operations will lead to substantial new clustering of a supplier base in Lancashire and some attraction of specialist overseas suppliers to other UK locations.

Figure 9-1: Bowland Shale Central Case Extraction Scenario – FTEs at UK level

Source: Regeneris Consulting

### 3.2 Social Impacts

Evidence from the literature review suggests that rural communities face three major social impacts associated with shale gas drilling activities, which are set out below.

REDACTED

REDACTED
a) Impact on housing demand and property prices

A 2010 study in Texas\(^3\) concluded that houses valued at more than $250,000 and within 1,000 feet of a well site saw their values decrease by 3 to 14 percent. Boxall et al. (2005) looked at the impact of property prices in Alberta Canada near sour gas wells and flaring oil batteries. They found a reduction in house prices of between 4% to 7% within 4 km of the wells. The results are statistically significant and robust. However, the use of a small dataset, sample of 532 observations, make disentangling impacts difficult in the presence of confounding variables and the study considered sour gas wells alongside other gas wells, which may not be comparable in a UK context.

Gopalakrishnan and Klaiber (2013) looked at the impact on property prices in Pittsburgh, US, between 2008 and 2010 within a mile of a well pad with 7 wells. Property prices for households dependent on well water within a mile of the gas wells are found to be reduced by 5.6% on average. The sample data included 4,123 housing transactions in the period. The results are statistically significant and robust. However, the following limitations are worth highlighting: impacts relate to houses dependent on well water which may not be comparable to a UK setting; the period covered is relatively short term; and the authors also acknowledge that the lack of data to control for variables like higher property demand for working near wells and other factors, means that it’s difficult to eliminate other factors explaining the results.

Muehlenbachs et al. (2012) looked at the impact of property prices in Pennsylvania, US, within 2km of gas wells. They used a sample of 19,055 property transaction between 2004 and 2009. They find a positive price effect with living near a well on homes dependent on commercially piped water supply. Whilst they also find a reduction in property prices of up to 12.9% for groundwater dependent homes, this is not statistically significant result. The study is robust. However there are a number of limitations worth highlighting: there is not enough data to disentangle positive impacts (ie lease payments to homeowners living near wells, higher rental prices and other economic activity) from the negative impacts (drilling activity and noise impacts, increased traffic, and air and light pollution); and local impacts that determine the results may not be the same in UK setting.

b) Local Services

As new workers arrive to commence jobs within the shale gas sector there is likely to a proportion that prefer to live in rural areas, particularly if this is close to the operation site. Some of the workers will also bring families and children with them into the area who will require school and access to other local services (doctors, dentists, libraries). This may create additional pressures on local services if insufficient capacity is available to cope with the increase in demand.

Unfortunately only qualitative evidence is available from the international literature, which is not directly comparable to the situation in England. For example, the USA and Australia often have shale gas operations being sited in rural areas which had previously experienced significant depopulation and so had capacity to meet additional demand from existing local services.

\(^3\) [http://www.environmentamerica.org/reports/ame/costs-fracking](http://www.environmentamerica.org/reports/ame/costs-fracking)
The government has announced that local authorities will benefit by receiving a tax rebate from business rates which can be invested in local services. REDACTED

Section 4: Conclusion

This report has examined the potential economic, social and environmental impacts that are likely to be associated with an expansion in shale gas exploration. REDACTED

To a large extent these effects are already experienced by those rural communities located near established extraction activities e.g. quarrying, mining and conventional gas extraction. REDACTED

Current proposals from both government and operators appear to be following a similar approach. Under the commitments of the UK Onshore Operators’ Group (2013), shale gas exploration could provide a community contribution of £100,000 per hydraulically fractured site
as an initial benefit, equivalent to total UK payments of between £3 and £12 million. Meanwhile, the government recently announced that English councils which give the go-ahead to shale gas developments will be allowed to keep 100 per cent of the business rates they collect from consented sites. This is estimated to be worth up to £1.7m a year for a typical site.
### i. Annex: Shale Gas reference sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>What it covers</th>
<th>Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health policy issues related to shale gas extraction</td>
<td>Number of articles with literature reviews, which include: The Economic Impact</td>
<td><a href="http://www.prendergastlibrary.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/New-Solutions-23-1Binder.pdf">http://www.prendergastlibrary.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/New-Solutions-23-1Binder.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic appraisal of shale gas plays in Continental Europe</td>
<td>This study evaluates the economic feasibility of five emergent shale gas plays on</td>
<td><a href="http://www.alboran.com/files/2013/07/SR-7.pdf">http://www.alboran.com/files/2013/07/SR-7.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Economic Consequences of Marcellus Shale Gas</td>
<td>examines the Boom-Bust Cycle of Shale Gas Extraction Economies and impact on</td>
<td><a href="http://www.greenchoices.cornell.edu/downloads/development/shale/Economic_Consequences.pdf">http://www.greenchoices.cornell.edu/downloads/development/shale/Economic_Consequences.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution of Gas engineers and managers, The time for shale gas is now</td>
<td>Overview of shale gas market and how to mitigate risks</td>
<td><a href="https://www.igem.org.uk/media/312796/Shale%20Gas%20the%20time%20is%20now.pdf">https://www.igem.org.uk/media/312796/Shale%20Gas%20the%20time%20is%20now.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts of unconventional gas development on rural community decline in Australia</td>
<td>Australian study looking at the impacts of unconventional gas, on rural decline. Rural decline is defined as comprising loss of rural youth, reduced human capital and increasing rural poverty. The results show signs of mitigating (and in some cases reversing) rural community decline.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.gisera.org.au/publications/tech_reports_papers/socioeco-proj-1-rural-decline-workingpaper.pdf">http://www.gisera.org.au/publications/tech_reports_papers/socioeco-proj-1-rural-decline-workingpaper.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltire projects: UK Shale Gas Development: Legal, Economic, Environmental and Political Challenges posed to the ambitious UK Shale Gas Plan</td>
<td>The objective of this report is to investigate the benefits that shale gas development could bring to the UK’s economy drawing on the U.S paradigm. It also aims at examining the potential challenges that are likely to obstruct the advancement of shale gas and the controversies associated with shale gas activities (horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing or “fracking”)</td>
<td><a href="http://www.saltireprojects.co.uk/perch/resources/the-development-of-shale-gas-in-the-uk-2.pdf">http://www.saltireprojects.co.uk/perch/resources/the-development-of-shale-gas-in-the-uk-2.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic implications of unconventional gas. Report from Ohio rural development organisation</td>
<td>Examines short- and long term impacts of energy development. These often include increased employment, though the largest impact appears to be on local incomes of select groups. An accurate estimate of the short and long term economic impacts of shale development is essential for a community to manage its economic future. In particular, communities should take steps to mitigate the long-term effects associated with the resource curse and ensure they benefit from energy development in the long term.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.nardep.info/uploads/Brief15_EconomicsFossilFuel.pdf">http://www.nardep.info/uploads/Brief15_EconomicsFossilFuel.pdf</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuadrilla report by Regeneris consultants: Economic Impact of Shale Gas Exploration &amp;</td>
<td>Regeneris Consulting were appointed by Cuadrilla to quantify the economic impact of both the current exploration phase and the likely economic impact of a subsequent and</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cuadrillaresources.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/Full_Report_Economic_Impa">http://www.cuadrillaresources.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/Full_Report_Economic_Impa</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production in Lancashire and the UK</td>
<td>far more extensive phase of commercial extraction. This modelled the impact for both the county of Lancashire and the UK as a whole.</td>
<td>ct_of_Shale_Gas_14_Sep.pdf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEA Ricardo report: Unconventional Gas in England</td>
<td>Description of infrastructure and future scenarios</td>
<td>Pending</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Other sources of information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of source</th>
<th>Type of information</th>
<th>Web link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gov.uk</td>
<td>The Prime Minister will announce that councils can keep 100 per cent of business rates they collect from shale gas sites – double the current 50 per cent figure.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/news/local-councils-to-receive-millions-in-business-rates-from-shale-gas-developments">https://www.gov.uk/government/news/local-councils-to-receive-millions-in-business-rates-from-shale-gas-developments</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGS</td>
<td>The British Geological Survey (BGS) in association with DECC has completed an estimate for the resource (gas-in-place) of shale gas in part of central Britain in an area between Wrexham and Blackpool in the west, and Nottingham and Scarborough in the east.</td>
<td><a href="https://www.bgs.ac.uk/research/energy/shaleGas/home.html#ad-image-0">https://www.bgs.ac.uk/research/energy/shaleGas/home.html#ad-image-0</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EurActiv</td>
<td>The EU’s competition commissioner, Joaquin Almunia, has said that Brussels will investigate the UK’s plans for incentivising shale gas production “if needed”, as more lawmakers and NGOs call for an EU state aid probe to be launched.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.euractiv.com/energy/state-aid-row-engulfs-uk-shale-g-news-532827?utm_source=EurActiv%20Newsletter&amp;utm_campaign=a4ad7efc47-newsletter_daily_update&amp;ut">http://www.euractiv.com/energy/state-aid-row-engulfs-uk-shale-g-news-532827?utm_source=EurActiv%20Newsletter&amp;utm_campaign=a4ad7efc47-newsletter_daily_update&amp;ut</a> m_medium=email&amp;utm_term=0_bab5f0ea4e-a4ad7efc47-245766509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE</td>
<td>CPRE Policy Guidance Note on Shale Gas</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cpresussex.org.uk/campaigns/fracking/item/download/650">http://www.cpresussex.org.uk/campaigns/fracking/item/download/650</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UK onshore operators group

Community Engagement Charter
Oil and Gas from Unconventional Reservoirs

INSEAD blog

Europe’s shale gas competitiveness challenge and consequences for the petrochemical sector
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