From: REDACTED [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 March 2014 12:30
To: REDACTED; DECC Overseas Fuels
Subject: IMPORTED WASTE

Consultation Reference: URN 14D/010 - Consultation on the management of Overseas Origin Nuclear Fuels Held in the UK

17th March 2014

Dear Sir,

I would like to respond to the, above, consultation, as an individual. As I understand it, the material at Dounreay, is too enriched to be processed at Sellafield. There is a risk of criticality, in Sellafield's wide pipelines.

The plants that reprocess Britain's Trident nuclear warheads, are clearly able to process this 'exotic' material easily, as they handle higher enriched material.

The problem seems to be the 'Goldilocks' syndrome'. The Government is saying the material is 'too small' a quantity to send back to its original country, but its 'too large' a quantity to process at Sellafield before it closes in 2018, but interim storage is 'just right'.

I agree with storage at Sellafield in Cumbria, along with the other nuclear power station waste; but I disagree with storage at Sellafield's factory on the Wirral.

I live on Merseyside, and our local paper reported, that nuclear submarine reactors could be stored at Sellafield's factory on the Wirral. I do not want Scotland's stored nuclear submarines, and Dounraey waste; stockpiled on Merseyside, where I live. I do not want Merseyside to become Britain's nuclear dustbin.

From :-- REDACTED

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.