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## Introduction

This Official Statistics Release provides the latest information on 3 measures of Widening Participation in Higher Education:

- The estimated percentages of 15 year olds, by Free School Meals (FSM) status, who progressed to Higher Education (HE) by age 19 in 2010/11. The information is presented at national and local authority level.
- The estimated percentages of A level students, by school/college type, who progressed to Higher Education and to the most selective Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) by age 19 in 2010/11.
- Estimated proportions of young 2011/12 graduates in different occupation classifications by social background on entry to Higher Education. This uses Standard Occupation Classification (SOC) at both points, split into two groups.

The first two measures cover pupils from English maintained schools who have progressed to Higher Education in UK Higher Education Institutions and English Further Education Colleges. The measure on graduate outcomes covers English domiciled graduates from UK Higher Education Institutions. The first two measures use matched data which takes longer to become available for use than the sources used for the graduate outcomes measure.

These measures are intended to contribute to the understanding of widening participation issues as part of a range of measures, which have different strengths and limitations. Annex E provides information on some of the other measures available.

This publication updates the previous release of August 2012. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/widening-participation-in-higher-education-august-2012

## Key Findings

## Table 1

This table compares the progression of pupils with and without Free School Meals to Higher Education.

Table 1: Estimated percentage of maintained school pupils aged 15 by Free School Meal status who entered HE by age 19
Academic Years 2005/06 to 2010/11
UK Higher Education Institutions and English Further Education Colleges

|  | Estimated \% who entered HE |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | FSM $^{[1]}$ | Non-FSM $^{[1]}$ | Gap (pp) $^{[2]}$ | AlI |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 0 6}$ | $13 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 19 | $30 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $14 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 19 | $31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $15 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 18 | $31 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $17 \%$ | $35 \%$ | 18 | $33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $18 \%$ | $36 \%$ | 18 | $34 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ | $20 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 18 | $35 \%$ |

pp = percentage points
[1] FSM and Non-FSM refer to whether pupils were receiving Free School Meals or not.
[2] Gap is the difference between FSM and non-FSM expressed in percentage points. Percentage figures are rounded; gap figures are calculated from un-rounded data and therefore may not correspond to the gap between rounded percentages.

The table shows that an estimated 13\% of maintained school pupils who received Free School Meals (FSM) at age 15 entered Higher Education by age 19 in 2005/06. This rose steadily to an estimated 20\% in 2010/11. The estimated progression rate for pupils not receiving Free School Meals has risen from 33\% in 2007/08 to 38\% in 2010/11. The estimated gap between FSM and Non-FSM rates was 19 percentage points in 2005/06 and 2006/07 and has remained steady at 18 percentage points from 2007/08 to 2010/11.

Prior attainment is not accounted for in this measure. Many pupils will not continue their education; therefore may not hold the qualifications to progress to Higher Education. See Annex A, in particular the caveats, for more details on this measure.

## Table 2

This table breaks down the 2010/11 progression rates by Local Authority.
Table 2: Estimated percentage of maintained school pupils aged 15 in 2006/07 by Free School Meal status who entered HE in 2009/10 at age 18 or 2010/11 at age 19, by Local Authority
UK Higher Education Institutions and English further education colleges

|  | Estimated percentage who entered HE |  |  |  | \% of pupils with FSM ${ }^{[4]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Authority ${ }^{[1]}$ | FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Non-FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Gap (pp) ${ }^{[3]}$ | All |  |
| Camden | 40\% | 50\% | 9 | 46\% | 31\% |
| Greenwich | 24\% | 35\% | 10 | 31\% | 26\% |
| Hackney | 35\% | 43\% | 8 | 39\% | 36\% |
| Hammersmith and Fulham | 41\% | 54\% | 13 | 51\% | 22\% |
| Islington | 37\% | 33\% | -3 | 34\% | 33\% |
| Kensington and Chelsea | 44\% | 51\% | 7 | 49\% | 24\% |
| Lambeth | 30\% | 45\% | 16 | 39\% | 39\% |
| Lewisham | 30\% | 43\% | 13 | 39\% | 23\% |
| Southwark | 38\% | 42\% | 3 | 40\% | 35\% |
| Tower Hamlets | 37\% | 41\% | 4 | 38\% | 59\% |
| Wandsworth | 39\% | 49\% | 10 | 45\% | 23\% |
| Westminster | 51\% | 48\% | -3 | 48\% | 28\% |
| Barking and Dagenham | 26\% | 34\% | 7 | 31\% | 26\% |
| Barnet | 37\% | 59\% | 23 | 56\% | 16\% |
| Bexley | 20\% | 37\% | 17 | 35\% | 9\% |
| Brent | 39\% | 56\% | 17 | 52\% | 22\% |
| Bromley | 20\% | 43\% | 23 | 40\% | 10\% |
| Croydon | 30\% | 44\% | 14 | 41\% | 17\% |
| Ealing | 45\% | 55\% | 10 | 52\% | 26\% |
| Enfield | 34\% | 51\% | 17 | 47\% | 18\% |
| Haringey | 36\% | 46\% | 10 | 42\% | 34\% |
| Harrow | 44\% | 62\% | 18 | 59\% | 17\% |
| Havering | 15\% | 37\% | 22 | 35\% | 9\% |
| Hillingdon | 24\% | 41\% | 17 | 38\% | 19\% |
| Hounslow | 39\% | 51\% | 12 | 49\% | 16\% |
| Kingston upon Thames | 40\% | 55\% | 15 | 54\% | 8\% |
| Merton | 34\% | 40\% | 6 | 39\% | 13\% |
| Newham | 45\% | 49\% | 4 | 47\% | 35\% |
| Redbridge | 43\% | 62\% | 19 | 59\% | 16\% |
| Richmond upon Thames | 19\% | 43\% | 24 | 40\% | 13\% |
| Sutton | 25\% | 55\% | 30 | 52\% | 7\% |
| Waltham Forest | 36\% | 43\% | 7 | 41\% | 23\% |
| Birmingham | 25\% | 43\% | 19 | 37\% | 32\% |
| Coventry | 17\% | 37\% | 20 | 34\% | 15\% |
| Dudley | 15\% | 36\% | 21 | 33\% | 14\% |
| Sandwell | 19\% | 28\% | 9 | 27\% | 17\% |
| Solihull | 18\% | 42\% | 24 | 39\% | 12\% |
| Walsall | 13\% | 34\% | 20 | 30\% | 16\% |
| Wolverhampton | 17\% | 37\% | 21 | 33\% | 18\% |
| Knowsley | 10\% | 25\% | 15 | 20\% | 32\% |
| Liverpool | 16\% | 39\% | 23 | 32\% | 29\% |


|  | Estimated percentage who entered HE |  |  |  | \% of pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Authority ${ }^{[1]}$ | FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Non-FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Gap (pp) ${ }^{[3]}$ | AII | with FSM ${ }^{[4]}$ |
| St. Helens | 14\% | 38\% | 25 | 34\% | 17\% |
| Sefton | 18\% | 40\% | 22 | 36\% | 15\% |
| Wirral | 16\% | 46\% | 31 | 38\% | 28\% |
| Bolton | 21\% | 36\% | 15 | 34\% | 16\% |
| Bury | 20\% | 41\% | 21 | 38\% | 11\% |
| Manchester | 19\% | 35\% | 16 | 29\% | 38\% |
| Oldham | 20\% | 38\% | 19 | 34\% | 20\% |
| Rochdale | 19\% | 33\% | 15 | 30\% | 23\% |
| Salford | 16\% | 31\% | 15 | 28\% | 19\% |
| Stockport | 15\% | 39\% | 25 | 37\% | 9\% |
| Tameside | 12\% | 32\% | 19 | 29\% | 16\% |
| Trafford | 22\% | 49\% | 27 | 46\% | 9\% |
| Wigan | 15\% | 36\% | 21 | 33\% | 12\% |
| Barnsley | 9\% | 26\% | 17 | 23\% | 14\% |
| Doncaster | 9\% | 29\% | 20 | 26\% | 13\% |
| Rotherham | 13\% | 31\% | 19 | 29\% | 14\% |
| Sheffield | 16\% | 35\% | 19 | 32\% | 16\% |
| Bradford | 25\% | 34\% | 10 | 32\% | 23\% |
| Calderdale | 19\% | 39\% | 20 | 36\% | 12\% |
| Kirklees | 20\% | 38\% | 18 | 35\% | 15\% |
| Leeds | 14\% | 34\% | 20 | 31\% | 17\% |
| Wakefield | 12\% | 30\% | 18 | 28\% | 11\% |
| Gateshead | 13\% | 36\% | 23 | 32\% | 17\% |
| Newcastle upon Tyne | 12\% | 34\% | 21 | 30\% | 18\% |
| North Tyneside | 13\% | 38\% | 25 | 35\% | 10\% |
| South Tyneside | 10\% | 31\% | 21 | 26\% | 23\% |
| Sunderland | 11\% | 31\% | 20 | 28\% | 11\% |
| Isles of Scilly ${ }^{[5]}$ | - | 59\% | - | 59\% | - |
| Bath and North East Somerset | 10\% | 35\% | 26 | 34\% | 6\% |
| Bristol, City of | 9\% | 24\% | 15 | 21\% | 16\% |
| North Somerset | 16\% | 38\% | 22 | 36\% | 8\% |
| South Gloucestershire | 10\% | 30\% | 20 | 29\% | 5\% |
| Hartlepool | 17\% | 38\% | 21 | 34\% | 15\% |
| Middlesbrough | 19\% | 38\% | 19 | 32\% | 31\% |
| Redcar and Cleveland | 17\% | 42\% | 24 | 37\% | 18\% |
| Stockton-on-Tees | 11\% | 41\% | 29 | 36\% | 16\% |
| Kingston Upon Hull, City of | 10\% | 22\% | 12 | 21\% | 14\% |
| East Riding of Yorkshire | 17\% | 41\% | 25 | 40\% | 7\% |
| North East Lincolnshire | 10\% | 29\% | 19 | 27\% | 14\% |
| North Lincolnshire | 10\% | 34\% | 24 | 31\% | 10\% |
| North Yorkshire | 15\% | 41\% | 26 | 40\% | 5\% |
| York | 14\% | 42\% | 28 | 40\% | 6\% |
| Bedfordshire | 17\% | 38\% | 22 | 36\% | 8\% |
| Luton | 33\% | 41\% | 8 | 39\% | 21\% |
| Buckinghamshire | 23\% | 52\% | 29 | 49\% | 6\% |
| Milton Keynes | 14\% | 36\% | 21 | 33\% | 10\% |
| Derbyshire | 13\% | 35\% | 23 | 33\% | 9\% |
| Derby | 16\% | 33\% | 17 | 30\% | 14\% |
| Dorset | 13\% | 34\% | 21 | 33\% | 6\% |
| Poole | 13\% | 39\% | 26 | 38\% | 5\% |


|  | Estimated percentage who entered HE |  |  |  | \% of pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Authority ${ }^{[1]}$ | FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Non-FSM ${ }^{[2]}$ | Gap (pp) ${ }^{[3]}$ | All | with FSM ${ }^{\text {[4] }}$ |
| Bournemouth | 9\% | 37\% | 28 | 34\% | 10\% |
| Durham | 9\% | 34\% | 25 | 30\% | 17\% |
| Darlington | 17\% | 39\% | 22 | 36\% | 13\% |
| East Sussex | 11\% | 29\% | 18 | 27\% | 9\% |
| Brighton and Hove | 13\% | 33\% | 20 | 30\% | 13\% |
| Hampshire | 11\% | 36\% | 25 | 34\% | 6\% |
| Portsmouth | 14\% | 23\% | 9 | 22\% | 14\% |
| Southampton | 7\% | 26\% | 20 | 24\% | 11\% |
| Leicestershire | 16\% | 39\% | 23 | 38\% | 5\% |
| Leicester | 26\% | 38\% | 12 | 36\% | 19\% |
| Rutland | 14\% | 40\% | 26 | 39\% | 3\% |
| Staffordshire | 16\% | 37\% | 21 | 35\% | 7\% |
| Stoke-on-Trent | 13\% | 29\% | 16 | 26\% | 17\% |
| Wiltshire | 9\% | 37\% | 28 | 36\% | 5\% |
| Swindon | 12\% | 26\% | 14 | 25\% | 7\% |
| Bracknell Forest | 14\% | 36\% | 22 | 35\% | 5\% |
| Windsor and Maidenhead | 16\% | 43\% | 27 | 42\% | 4\% |
| West Berkshire | 12\% | 41\% | 28 | 39\% | 5\% |
| Reading | 11\% | 41\% | 29 | 37\% | 11\% |
| Slough | 31\% | 53\% | 22 | 50\% | 14\% |
| Wokingham | 22\% | 45\% | 24 | 44\% | 5\% |
| Cambridgeshire | 12\% | 38\% | 27 | 36\% | 7\% |
| Peterborough | 15\% | 35\% | 20 | 32\% | 14\% |
| Cheshire | 13\% | 42\% | 29 | 39\% | 8\% |
| Halton | 13\% | 31\% | 18 | 28\% | 15\% |
| Warrington | 12\% | 42\% | 29 | 39\% | 7\% |
| Devon | 16\% | 32\% | 16 | 30\% | 7\% |
| Plymouth | 13\% | 33\% | 20 | 31\% | 10\% |
| Torbay | 14\% | 38\% | 24 | 35\% | 12\% |
| Essex | 13\% | 35\% | 22 | 33\% | 7\% |
| Southend-on-Sea | 17\% | 43\% | 26 | 40\% | 10\% |
| Thurrock | 5\% | 26\% | 20 | 23\% | 12\% |
| Herefordshire | 13\% | 35\% | 22 | 34\% | 6\% |
| Worcestershire | 12\% | 37\% | 26 | 35\% | 7\% |
| Kent | 11\% | 38\% | 26 | 35\% | 8\% |
| Medway | 13\% | 35\% | 23 | 33\% | 9\% |
| Lancashire | 17\% | 40\% | 23 | 38\% | 10\% |
| Blackburn with Darwen | 28\% | 42\% | 15 | 39\% | 21\% |
| Blackpool | 14\% | 32\% | 18 | 28\% | 19\% |
| Nottinghamshire | 10\% | 34\% | 24 | 31\% | 11\% |
| Nottingham | 13\% | 28\% | 15 | 24\% | 26\% |
| Shropshire | 15\% | 38\% | 23 | 37\% | 5\% |
| Telford and Wrekin | 16\% | 36\% | 20 | 33\% | 15\% |
| Cornwall | 19\% | 33\% | 14 | 32\% | 8\% |
| Cumbria | 11\% | 37\% | 26 | 34\% | 11\% |
| Gloucestershire | 10\% | 39\% | 29 | 37\% | 6\% |
| Hertfordshire | 22\% | 45\% | 24 | 44\% | 5\% |
| Isle of Wight | 17\% | 30\% | 14 | 28\% | 13\% |
| Lincolnshire | 11\% | 37\% | 26 | 35\% | 6\% |
| Norfolk | 8\% | 30\% | 22 | 28\% | 10\% |


|  | Estimated percentage who entered HE |  |  | \% of pupils |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Local Authority $^{[1]}$ | FSM $^{[2]}$ | Non-FSM $^{[2]}$ | Gap (pp) $^{[3]}$ | AlI | with FSM ${ }^{[4]}$ |
| Northamptonshire | $14 \%$ | $34 \%$ | 20 | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Northumberland | $13 \%$ | $38 \%$ | 25 | $35 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Oxfordshire | $14 \%$ | $37 \%$ | 23 | $35 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Somerset | $11 \%$ | $23 \%$ | 22 | $31 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Suffolk | $14 \%$ | 21 | $33 \%$ | $8 \%$ |  |
| Surrey | $14 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $41 \%$ | 28 | $40 \%$ |

pp = percentage points - = less than $0.5 \%$ or suppressed
[1] Local authority refers to the location of the school the pupil attended, rather than their home address.
[2] FSM and Non-FSM refer to whether pupils were receiving Free School Meals or not.
[3] Percentage figures are rounded; gap figures are calculated from un-rounded data and therefore may not correspond to the gap between rounded percentages.
[4] Percentage of pupils with Free School Meals according to the matched data used to produce this table; figures may vary slightly from other sources.
[5] Percentages below 0.5 are not shown in the table, nor are related figures which could potentially disclose these.

The figures in the table suggest that 96 Local Authorities out of 149 (64\%) have a larger gap between the progression rates for FSM and Non-FSM pupils than the England level gap (of 18 percentage points). The equivalent proportion of authorities in the previous year was 62\%.

In addition, an Excel table associated with this publication on the webpage gives a time series of this information.

Figures are estimates. Care should be taken when comparing progression rates across Local Authorities. In particular, it is not possible to conclude that the gaps in progression rates shown for different Local Authorities are a reflection of the performance of educational institutions in those authorities. This is because the composition of the NonFSM group (and to a lesser extent the FSM group) will vary considerably in terms of levels of affluence and other factors that will impact on educational attainment and progression. The proportion of pupils with FSM varies considerably between authorities, as illustrated in the last column of the table. Note that there is a potential for minor errors in the matching process deployed. See Annex A for more details on this measure and Annex D for information about the matching process.

## Table 3

This table compares progression to HE from the state and independent sector for A level students, with selective state and other state schools/colleges shown separately for the latest three years.

Table 3a: Estimated number and percentage of A level students who entered HE by age 19 and the percentage who progressed to the most selective HE Institutions, by independent and state school/college ${ }^{\text {[1] }}$
Academic Years 2007/08 to 2010/11

|  |  | Progressed to HE by age 19 in 2007/08 |  | of which; Most selective HE ${ }^{[2]}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School/college type | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total age } 17 \\ \text { in } 2005 / 06 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Number | \% of total age <br> 17 in 2005/06 | Number | \% of total age 17 in 2005/06 |
| Independent | 30,350 | 25,325 | 83\% | 19,195 | 63\% |
| State ${ }^{[3]}$ | 234,860 | 158,655 | 68\% | 58,195 | 25\% |
| Total | 265,210 | 183,980 | 69\% | 77,385 | 29\% |


|  |  | Progressed to HE by age 19 in 2008/09 |  | of which; Most selective HE ${ }^{[2]}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School/college type | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total age } 17 \\ \text { in } 2006 / 07 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Number | \% of total age 17 in 2006/07 | Number | \% of total age 17 in 2006/07 |
| Independent | 30,435 | 24,935 | 82\% | 19,005 | 62\% |
| Selective state | 20,305 | 17,995 | 89\% | 11,705 | 58\% |
| Other state | 215,570 | 145,730 | 68\% | 48,690 | 23\% |
| Total State | 235,875 | 163,725 | 69\% | 60,395 | 26\% |
| Total | 266,310 | 188,660 | 71\% | 79,400 | 30\% |


|  | Progressed to HE by <br> age 19 in 2009/10 |  | of which; <br> Most selective HE ${ }^{[2]}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| School/college type | Total age 17 <br> in 2007/08 | Number | \% of total age <br> $\mathbf{1 7}$ in 2007/08 | Number | \% of total age <br> $\mathbf{1 7}$ in 2007/08 |
| Independent | 28,510 | 24,710 | $87 \%$ | 18,535 | $65 \%$ |
| Selective state | 23,630 | 21,055 | $89 \%$ | 14,065 | $60 \%$ |
| Other state | 223,280 | 153,425 | $69 \%$ | 49,970 | $22 \%$ |
| Total state | 246,915 | 174,485 | $71 \%$ | 64,035 | $26 \%$ |
| Total | 275,420 | 199,195 | $72 \%$ | 82,570 | $30 \%$ |


|  | Progressed to HE by <br> age 19 in 2010/11 |  | of which; <br> Most selective HE ${ }^{[2]}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| School/college type | Total age 17 <br> in 2008/09 | Number | \% of total age <br> 17 in 2008/09 | Number | \% of total age <br> $\mathbf{1 7}$ in 2008/09 |
| Independent | 29,595 | 25,520 | $86 \%$ | 18,845 | $64 \%$ |
| Selective state | 23,915 | 21,580 | $90 \%$ | 13,885 | $58 \%$ |
| Other state | 240,320 | 164,490 | $68 \%$ | 48,955 | $20 \%$ |
| Total state | 264,230 | 186,065 | $70 \%$ | 62,840 | $24 \%$ |
| Total | 293,830 | 211,585 | $72 \%$ | 81,685 | $28 \%$ |

[1] Excludes a small number with unknown school type.
[2] The most selective are defined as the top third of HEIs when ranked by mean UCAS tariff score from the top three A level grades of entrants.
[3] Indicators to separately identify selective state schools are not available on the matched datasets before 2006/07, so progression rates to HE by age 19 are not available for these schools before 2008/09.

The number of A level entrants to the most selective HE fell slightly in the latest year, while overall entrants to HE increased.

This table summarises progression rates from table 3 a and includes an earlier year.
Table 3b: Estimated percentage of A level students who entered HE by age 19 and the percentage who progressed to the most selective HE Institutions, by independent and state school/college Academic Years 2006/07 to 2010/11

Progression rate to all HE

| School/college type | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Independent | $85 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Selective state $^{[1]}$ | .. | .. | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Other state $^{[1]}$ | .. | .. | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Total state | $72 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| All | $74 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Independent/ State Gap (pp) | 13 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 16 |

Progression rate to the most selective HE ${ }^{\text {[2] }}$

| School/college type | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Independent | $63 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
| Selective state $^{[1]}$ | .. | .. | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Other state $^{[1]}$ | .. | .. | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total state | $26 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| All | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Independent/ State Gap (pp) | 37 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 40 |

.. = not available
[1] Indicators to separately identify selective state schools are not available on the matched datasets before 2006/07, so progression rates to HE by age 19 are not available for these schools before 2008/09.
[2] The most selective are defined as the top third of HEls when ranked by mean UCAS tariff score from the top three A level grades of entrants.

An estimated 70\% of those who studied A levels in state schools and colleges at age 17 in 2008/09 progressed to Higher Education by age 19 in 2010/11. For independent school and college pupils the estimated progression rate was $86 \%$. The gap between these progression rates has fluctuated between 13 and 16 percentage points from 2006/07 to 2010/11.

The estimated progression rate for state school and college pupils to the most selective Higher Education Institutions was 24\% in 2010/11, which compares to $26 \%$ in the previous year. The equivalent progression rate for independent school and college pupils was 64\% in 2010/11, which had fallen by 1 percentage point since 2009/10. The gap between state
and independent progression rates to the most selective institutions has fluctuated between 37 and 40 percentage points from 2006/07 to 2010/11.

Note that the student numbers shown are from matched data which has been produced for the purpose of calculating progression rates, other sources may give different student numbers. See Annex $B$ for more information on this measure and Annex $D$ for more information on the matching procedure.

## Table 4

For young graduates in full-time employment six months after graduating, this table gives graduate outcomes by social background on entry to Higher Education.

Table 4: English domiciled full-time first degree graduates in full-time employment six months after graduating: estimated percentages for Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) on entry to Higher Education and in employment Aged 20-22 in graduation year


|  | Employment SOC ${ }^{[1]}$ of 2011/12 graduates ${ }^{[3]}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOC ${ }^{[1]}$ on entry to HE | Most advantaged (SOC 1 to 3) | Less advantaged (SOC 4 to 9 ) | Total |
| Most advantaged (SOC 1 to 3) | 71\% | 29\% | 100\% |
| Less advantaged (SOC 4 to 9) | 67\% | 33\% | 100\% |
| Gap (pp) ${ }^{[2]}$ | 4 |  |  |

Source: HESA Student Record and DLHE survey
(pp) = percentage points
[1] Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) classifies jobs in terms of skill level and skill content. SOC 1 to 3 is sometimes used as a proxy for graduate level jobs. Figures should be treated as estimates and considered to be broadly indicative rather than precise measures, due to data limitations. See Annex C for details.
[2] Percentage figures are rounded; gap figures are calculated from un-rounded data and therefore may not correspond to the gap between rounded percentages.
[3] A change to the UCAS question on occupation in 2008/09 means that 2010/11 and 2011/12 graduate figures are not comparable with other years. See Annex C for details.

The table covers young graduates in full-time employment six months after graduating. An estimated $71 \%$ of those who came from the most advantaged backgrounds before higher education were in the most advantaged occupation groups six months after graduating in 2011/12. 67\% of those from less advantaged backgrounds were in the most advantaged occupation groups. 2010/11 and 2011/12 figures are not comparable with other years, due to a change to the UCAS question on occupation. See Annex Cor details.

The analysis looks at the position six months after graduation. At this point many people have not yet reached their career destination and may not be in a graduate level job yet.

## Technical Annexes

## Annex A

## The estimated percentages of maintained schools pupils aged 15, by free school meal status, who progressed to Higher Education by age 19

For the most recent data, the denominator used in this measure covers pupils aged 15 in 2006/07 in English maintained schools. This denominator is broken down by free school meal (FSM) status.

The numerator is calculated by identifying pupils who progressed to UK Higher Education (HE) in either 2009/10 at age 18, or in 2010/11 at age 19. HE students are those on programmes of study for which the level of instruction is above that of level 3 of the National Qualifications Framework, e.g. courses leading to the Advanced Level of the General Certificate of Education (GCE A-levels), the Advanced Level of the Vocational Certificate of Education (VCE A-levels) or the Advanced Higher Grade and Higher Grade of the Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA) Advanced Highers/Highers).

This measure is calculated using matched data. This matches the National Pupil Database to the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) Individualised Learner Record and the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Student Record. This allows pupils to be tracked from English schools at age 15 to higher education (HE) by age 19. The measure covers HE courses at both UK Higher Education Institutions and English Further Education Colleges. It must be noted, due to the matching procedures deployed, all figures in this measure should be treated as estimates. Further details of the matching procedure can be found in Annex D.

The following table helps to demonstrate how the cohort is tracked through to entry into HE.

| Academic Year | Age at start of <br> academic year | Stage of Education |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2006 / 07$ | 15 | GCSEs or equivalent (Key Stage 4) |
| $2007 / 08$ | 16 | AS Levels or equivalent (Key Stage 5) |
| $2008 / 09$ | 17 | A Levels or equivalent (Key Stage 5) |
| $2009 / 10$ | 18 | Entry to HE |
| $2010 / 11$ | 19 | Entry to HE (following a gap year) |

Free School Meals status refers to whether or not a successful claim for Free School Meals has been made for the pupil. Those who are entitled but do not claim will not appear in the analysis. Free school meals may be claimed if parents receive any of the following:

- Income Support
- Income-based Jobseeker's Allowance
- Income-related Employment and Support Allowance
- Support under Part VI of the Immigration and Asylum Act 1999
- The Guarantee element of State Pension Credit
- Child Tax Credit, provided they are not entitled to Working Tax Credit and have an annual income (as assessed by HM Revenue \& Customs) that does not exceed £16,190
- Working Tax Credit 'run-on' - the payment someone may receive for a further four weeks after they stop qualifying for Working Tax Credit


## Caveats

1. There may be pupils who are eligible for FSM but do not claim for a number of reasons. Such pupils will not be classified as in receipt of FSM for the purposes of this measure.
2. Pupils may have claimed FSM in earlier school years, but not when age 15. Such pupils will not be recorded as in receipt of FSM in this measure.
3. This measure only tracks entry to HE by age 19. A number of pupils may enter HE at a later age and are not included in this measure.
4. Prior attainment is not accounted for in this measure. Many pupils will not continue their education; therefore will not hold the qualifications to progress to HE.
5. Due to the matching procedures deployed, all figures in this measure should be treated as estimates.

The following table gives the figures underlying the percentages given in table 1. Note that these figures are obtained from the matched data which has been produced for the purpose of calculating progression rates; other sources may give different pupil numbers.

Table A: Estimated number and percentage of maintained school pupils aged 15 by Free School Meal status who entered HE by age 19
Academic Years 2005/06 to 2010/11
UK higher education institutions and English further education colleges

|  | Pupils aged $15^{[1]}$ | \% of all ${ }^{[2]}$ | Estimated number who entered HE by age 19 | \% of all ${ }^{[2]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in 2001/02 |  | in 2005/06 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 79,745 | 14\% | 10,760 | 6\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 475,205 | 85\% | 156,005 | 93\% |
| Unrecorded FSM status | 1,670 | - | 310 | - |
| All | 556,615 | 100\% | 167,070 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in 2002/03 |  | in 2006/07 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 81,115 | 14\% | 11,405 | 7\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 492,610 | 86\% | 163,955 | 93\% |
| All | 573,730 | 100\% | 175,360 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in 2003/04 |  | in 2007/08 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 82,785 | 14\% | 12,550 | 7\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 508,415 | 86\% | 169,545 | 93\% |
| All | 591,205 | 100\% | 182,095 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in 2004/05 |  | in 2008/09 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 80,320 | 14\% | 13,845 | 7\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 503,125 | 86\% | 176,240 | 93\% |
| All | 583,445 | 100\% | 190,085 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in 2005/06 |  | in 2009/10 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 78,215 | 13\% | 14,380 | 7\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 514,860 | 86\% | 186,820 | 93\% |
| Unrecorded FSM status ${ }^{[4]}$ | 3,425 | 1\% | 135 | - |
| All | 596,495 | 100\% | 201,335 | 100\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | in 2006/07 |  | in 2010/11 |  |
| FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 76,990 | 13\% | 15,285 | 7\% |
| Non-FSM ${ }^{[3]}$ | 523,055 | 87\% | 197,140 | 93\% |
| Unrecorded FSM status ${ }^{[4]}$ | 3,290 | 1\% | 135 | - |
| All | 603,335 | 100\% | 212,565 | 100\% |

- = less than 0.5\%
[1] Numbers of pupils according to the matched data used to produce this table; figures may vary from other sources.
[2] Percentage figures are rounded and calculated from un-rounded data; rounded figures may appear to have inconsistencies between totals and sums of constituent parts.
[3] FSM and Non-FSM refer to whether pupils were receiving Free School Meals or not.
[4] A small number of pupils had no FSM status recorded in the data used (this coincided with the change from annual to termly census in 2005/06).

The table shows that there were an estimated 76,990 pupils who were in receipt of Free Schools Meals at age 15 in 2006/07 who represented around 13\% of all 15 year olds on the matched dataset. It is estimated that around 7\% of Higher Education entrants had received Free School Meals when they were aged 15.The table shows that the proportion of 15 year old pupils with Free School Meals has remained broadly steady at around 13\% to $14 \%$ between 2001/02 and 2006/07. The estimated percentage of Higher Education entrants who received Free School Meals at the age of 15 has been consistent from 2006/07 to 2010/11.

## Annex B

## The estimated percentages of A level students, by school and college type, who progressed to higher education and the most selective higher education institutions by age 19

For the most recent data, the denominator is defined as pupils aged 17 in 2008/09 studying at least one GCE A level at English schools and colleges. This denominator is broken down by the school/college type: state, selective state or independent.

The numerator is calculated by identifying the pupils who progress to UK HE in 2009/10 at age 18, or in 2010/11 at age 19, and of those, which pupils progress to the most selective HEIs. HE students are those students on programmes of study for which the level of instruction is above that of level 3 of the National Qualifications Framework, e.g. courses leading to the Advanced Level of the General Certificate of Education (GCE A-levels), the Advanced Level of the Vocational Certificate of Education (VCE A-levels) or the Advanced Higher Grade and Higher Grade of the Scottish Qualifications Authority (SQA) Advanced Highers/Highers). This measure only covers HE courses at UK Higher Education Institutions and excludes HE courses at English Further Education Colleges.

This measure is calculated using matched data. This matches the National Pupil Database to the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) Individualised Learner Record and the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Student Record. It must be noted, due to the matching procedures deployed, all figures in this measure should be treated as estimates. Further details of the matching procedure can be found in Annex D.

The following school types make up the state school/college group in this measure: Community, Voluntary aided, Voluntary controlled, Foundation, City Technology College, Community special, Non-maintained special, Pupil referral unit, Further Education, Miscellaneous, Academies, Higher Education Institutions, Sixth form centres. Schools with sixth forms comprise selective and non-selective institutions. Information on school type comes from DfE records.

## Caveats

1. Prior attainment is not accounted for in this measure. Many pupils may not achieve the required Level 3 qualifications to progress to HE.
2. Due to the matching procedures deployed, all figures in this measure should be treated as estimates.

## Most Selective HE

The "most selective" Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) are defined as the top third of HEIs when ranked by mean UCAS tariff score from the top three A level grades of entrants.

This measure is calculated using matched data from the DfE National Pupil Database, the Skills Funding Agency Individualised Learner Record and the Higher Education Statistics

Agency Student Record. It uses pupils aged 17 studying A levels at schools and colleges in England, who have progressed to UK HEIs by age 19. It excludes HE courses at Further Education colleges. It takes the mean UCAS tariff score from the top three A levels of these entrants to each HEI.

This is a way of grouping HEls for statistical purposes using available information. It does not cover all students and all qualifications and is not intended as a comprehensive measure of qualifications on entry to Higher Education. Users should be aware that the Higher Education sector has a diverse mix of institutions and courses, so any grouping of HEIs has limitations as an indicator of quality.

The HEls included in this group change every year; although 94\% of HEls remained in the top third for 5 consecutive years, from 2006/07 to 2010/11. The calculation is restricted to the top three A level attainment; pupils who study other qualifications at Key Stage 5 will be excluded. Institutions with a considerable proportion of entrants who studied a combination of A levels and other qualifications may appear to have low scores. As the analysis covers students from schools and colleges in England, some institutions in other UK countries have scores based on small numbers of students. As this measure uses matched data, all figures should be treated as estimates.

| A level Grade | UCAS points |
| :--- | :--- |
| A | 120 |
| B | 100 |
| C | 80 |
| D | 60 |
| E | 40 |

Further information on UCAS tariff scores is available on the UCAS website: http://www.ucas.com/how-it-all-works/explore-your-options/entry-requirements/tariff-tables

For each Higher Education Institution, Table B shows the estimated mean tariff score of entrants and whether the institution is included in the most selective group.

Table B: Estimated mean UCAS tariff score from the top three A-level grades of entrants to each Higher Education Institution (HEI) by age 19 in 2010/11 From schools and colleges in England

| Institution | Mean points | Most selective group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aberystwyth University | 225.3 |  |
| Anglia Ruskin University | 141.1 |  |
| Arts Institute at Bournemouth | 201.1 |  |
| Aston University | 254.7 | MS |
| Bangor University | 213.8 |  |
| Bath Spa University | 197.9 |  |
| Birkbeck College | 175.5 |  |
| Birmingham City University | 156.6 |  |
| Bishop Grosseteste University College Lincoln | 183.4 |  |
| Bournemouth University | 188.6 |  |
| Brunel University | 222.6 |  |
| Buckinghamshire New University | 126.5 |  |
| Canterbury Christ Church University | 155.4 |  |
| Cardiff University | 308.4 | MS |
| Central School of Speech and Drama | 201.6 |  |
| City University | 249.3 | MS |
| Conservatoire for Dance and Drama | 203.3 |  |
| Courtauld Institute of Art | 330.5 | MS |
| Coventry University | 167.5 |  |
| De Montfort University | 163.9 |  |
| Edge Hill University | 135.3 |  |
| Edinburgh College of Art | 271.2 | MS |
| Glasgow Caledonian University | 179.1 |  |
| Glasgow School of Art | 300.6 | MS |
| Glyndwr University | 120.0 |  |
| Goldsmiths College | 255.1 | MS |
| Guildhall School of Music and Drama | 249.4 | MS |
| Harper Adams University College | 166.4 |  |
| Heriot-Watt University | 237.4 |  |
| Heythrop College | 257.2 | MS |
| Imperial College of Science, Technology and |  |  |
| Medicine | 341.3 | MS |
| Kings College London | 312.8 | MS |
| Kingston University | 163.1 |  |
| Leeds College of Music | 204.9 |  |
| Leeds Metropolitan University | 170.7 |  |
| Leeds Trinity and All Saints | 160.3 |  |
| Liverpool Hope University | 162.7 |  |
| Liverpool Institute for Performing Arts | 199.3 |  |
| Liverpool John Moores University | 168.9 |  |
| London Metropolitan University | 131.8 |  |
| London School of Economics and Political Science | 336.6 | MS |
| London South Bank University | 109.2 |  |


| Institution | Mean points | Most selective group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loughborough University | 290.9 | MS |
| Manchester Metropolitan University | 175.0 |  |
| Middlesex University | 129.8 |  |
| Napier University | 195.1 |  |
| Newman University College | 141.0 |  |
| Norwich University College of the Arts | 174.1 |  |
| Nottingham Trent University | 208.9 |  |
| Open University | 173.3 |  |
| Oxford Brookes University | 233.1 |  |
| Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh | 208.4 |  |
| Queen Mary and Westfield College | 282.0 | MS |
| Queens University of Belfast | 273.6 | MS |
| Ravensbourne College of Design and Communication | 157.6 |  |
| Robert Gordon University | 181.5 |  |
| Roehampton University | 181.8 |  |
| Rose Bruford College | 167.8 |  |
| Royal Academy of Music | 270.7 | MS |
| Royal Agricultural College | 206.1 |  |
| Royal College of Music | 255.9 | MS |
| Royal Holloway and Bedford New College | 284.6 | MS |
| Royal Northern College of Music | 252.4 | MS |
| Royal Scottish Academy of Music and Drama | 267.3 | MS |
| Royal Veterinary College | 282.4 | MS |
| School of Oriental and African Studies | 300.7 | MS |
| School of Pharmacy | 316.4 | MS |
| Scottish Agricultural College | 75.0 |  |
| Sheffield Hallam University | 181.7 |  |
| Southampton Solent University | 147.2 |  |
| St Georges Hospital Medical School | 279.8 | MS |
| St Marys University College, Twickenham | 161.6 |  |
| Staffordshire University | 127.5 |  |
| Stranmillis University College | 100.0 |  |
| Swansea Metropolitan University | 113.9 |  |
| Swansea University | 241.5 |  |
| Thames Valley University | 96.4 |  |
| Trinity Laban | 199.6 |  |
| UHI Millennium Institute | 90.0 |  |
| University Campus Suffolk | 114.7 |  |
| University College Birmingham | 94.8 |  |
| University College Falmouth | 194.0 |  |
| University College London | 330.6 | MS |
| University College Plymouth St Mark and St John | 132.2 |  |
| University for the Creative Arts | 153.2 |  |
| University of Aberdeen | 242.1 | MS |
| University of Abertay Dundee | 167.8 |  |
| University of Bath | 308.9 | MS |
| University of Bedfordshire | 112.5 |  |
| University of Birmingham | 309.8 | MS |
| University of Bolton | 110.4 |  |
| University of Bradford | 160.8 |  |


| Institution | Mean points | Most selective group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University of Brighton | 196.5 |  |
| University of Bristol | 335.0 | MS |
| University of Buckingham | 197.3 |  |
| University of Cambridge | 342.7 | MS |
| University of Central Lancashire | 141.2 |  |
| University of Chester | 176.8 |  |
| University of Chichester | 145.9 |  |
| University of Cumbria | 143.1 |  |
| University of Derby | 141.0 |  |
| University of Dundee | 253.1 | MS |
| University of Durham | 333.8 | MS |
| University of East Anglia | 262.2 | MS |
| University of East London | 111.2 |  |
| University of Edinburgh | 325.7 | MS |
| University of Essex | 197.8 |  |
| University of Exeter | 317.0 | MS |
| University of Glamorgan | 178.1 |  |
| University of Glasgow | 311.3 | MS |
| University of Gloucestershire | 182.7 |  |
| University of Greenwich | 127.1 |  |
| University of Hertfordshire | 156.8 |  |
| University of Huddersfield | 156.1 |  |
| University of Hull | 206.5 |  |
| University of Keele | 230.4 |  |
| University of Kent | 240.0 |  |
| University of Lancaster | 289.8 | MS |
| University of Leeds | 303.4 | MS |
| University of Leicester | 295.0 | MS |
| University of Lincoln | 183.7 |  |
| University of Liverpool | 297.2 | MS |
| University of Manchester | 310.2 | MS |
| University of Newcastle-upon-Tyne | 305.7 | MS |
| University of Northampton | 141.9 |  |
| University of Northumbria at Newcastle | 205.6 |  |
| University of Nottingham | 306.1 | MS |
| University of Oxford | 345.3 | MS |
| University of Plymouth | 169.2 |  |
| University of Portsmouth | 208.3 |  |
| University of Reading | 275.7 | MS |
| University of Salford | 154.6 |  |
| University of Sheffield | 318.2 | MS |
| University of Southampton | 297.8 | MS |
| University of St Andrews | 332.1 | MS |
| University of Stirling | 229.2 |  |
| University of Strathclyde | 238.2 |  |
| University of Sunderland | 134.4 |  |
| University of Surrey | 263.0 | MS |
| University of Sussex | 289.5 | MS |
| University of Teesside | 113.1 |  |
| University of the Arts, London | 213.7 |  |


|  | Mean <br> points | Most <br> selective <br> group |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Institution | 192.6 |  |
| University of the West of England, Bristol | 136.8 |  |
| University of the West of Scotland | 112.3 |  |
| University of Ulster | 175.6 |  |
| University of Wales Institute, Cardiff | 184.9 |  |
| University of Wales, Lampeter | 165.5 |  |
| University of Wales, Newport | 329.7 | MS |
| University of Warwick | 190.2 |  |
| University of Westminster | 192.4 |  |
| University of Winchester | 148.3 |  |
| University of Wolverhampton | 308.4 | MS |
| University of Worcester | 95.2 |  |
| University of York | 193.3 |  |
| Writtle College |  |  |
| York St John University |  |  |

## Annex C

## Graduates in full-time employment six months after graduating: Estimated proportions of young graduates in different occupation classifications by social background on entry to Higher Education.

The table covers English domiciled first degree graduates who studied full-time, were aged 20 to 22 at the start of their last academic year in UK Higher Education and were in fulltime employment six months after graduating. Data is taken from the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Student Record, and the Destination of Leavers from Higher Education (DLHE) survey. Only those with Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) information in both sources are covered.

The table gives the SOC groups on entry to Higher Education and in employment, split two ways into the "top three" group and the others. The background SOC on entry to Higher Education will often reflect the occupation of the student's parents, while the employment SOC will reflect the occupation of the graduate.

SOC codes 1 to 3 are: Managers and senior officials; Professional occupations; Associate professional and technical occupations. This is a widely used approximation of graduate level occupations.

The remaining SOC codes 4 to 9 are: Administrative and secretarial occupations; Skilled trades occupations; Personal service occupations; Sales and customer service occupations; Process, plant and machine operatives; Elementary occupations.

SOC data on entry to Higher Education is collected by UCAS and appears on the HESA Student Record. It is therefore not available for students who did not apply via UCAS or did not provide this information on their UCAS application. Around $80 \%$ of young entrants have SOC details recorded.

The occupation question on the UCAS form was changed for entrants in 2008/09 and changed back in the following year. It previously asked: if you are under 21, please give the occupation of your parent, step-parent or guardian who earns the most. If he or she is retired or unemployed, give the most recent occupation. If you are 21 or over, please give your own occupation. For 2008/09 the age criteria was replaced with whether the applicant was in full-time education. Young entrants not in full-time education would therefore give their own occupation in 2008/09, but a parent's occupation in other years. This may have caused a shift from most advantaged to less advantaged SOC groups, distorting the trend. 2008/09 entrants completing 3 year courses graduated in 2010/11, those who deferred entry or completed 4 year courses graduated in 2011/12. For this reason figures on 2010/11 and 2011/12 graduates are not comparable with other years. The change would have had a different impact on 2011/12 than on 2010/11, as different proportions of graduates and different courses would be affected.

The Destination of Leavers from Higher Education (DLHE) survey, used to derive Standard Occupation Classification in employment, has a response rate of around 80\%.

Not all graduates will be settled into their career path six months after graduating. Figures should be treated as estimates and considered to be broadly indicative rather than precise measures, due to data limitations.

## Annex D <br> Details of the matching process

Tables 1, 2 and 3 use matched data from the DfE National Pupil Database, the HESA Student Record and the SFA ILR.

The National Pupil Database (NPD) contains administrative data on all pupils in schools in England, collected by the Department for Education. Key Stage 1 (KS1) to Key Stage 5 (KS5) data and Pupil Level Annual School Census (PLASC) records were matched to the Higher Education Statistics Agency's (HESA) Student Record and the Skills Funding Agency (SFA) Individualised Learner Record (ILR). The matching process allows for school pupils to be tracked through to Higher Education. It has been estimated that coverage is at least $95 \%$ of the HE students that we would most expect to be matched. Although it is not possible to know for certain - it is highly unlikely that matching errors would cause the estimate to vary by more than the level of rounding used. The match achieved is called a "fuzzy match" where we rely on names, postcodes, dates of birth, etc and there is some potential for minor errors in the matching process. For this reason, the figures are deemed estimates due to the reliability of the matching procedure used and are subsequently rounded to allow for a small margin of error that arises as result of the matching procedure deployed.

## Annex E <br> Other widening participation measures

The measures given in the main body of this publication could be considered alongside other statistics on widening participation in Higher Education. Some other measures are described in this annex.

Universities and Colleges Admissions Service (UCAS): End of cycle report 2012 UCAS released widening participation data in this publication, including analysis of entry rates by POLAR and entry to higher tariff institutions. http://www.ucas.com/data-analysis/key-analysis. Entry rates give the number of students from England entering Higher Education via UCAS by age 19 as a proportion of the population of 18 year olds in England.
POLAR: Participation of Local Area (POLAR) was developed by HEFCE and classifies small areas across the UK into five groups according to their level of young participation in HE. Each of these groups represents around 20 per cent of young people and are ranked from quintile $1(\mathrm{Q} 1)$ (areas with the lowest young participation rates, considered as the most disadvantaged) to quintile 5 (Q5) (highest young participation rates, considered most advantaged). This has been updated over the years to POLAR2 and POLAR3.
Higher tariff institutions: UCAS grouped institutions into 3 groups based on their average level of attainment of their accepted applicants (summarised through UCAS tariff points) in recent cycles. Each group had around a third of all UK 18 year old acceptances in recent cycles. This measure covers the group of institutions with entrants with the highest attainment.

## Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE): Trends in young participation in higher education core results for England

HEFCE have an established programme of analysis tracking the proportion of young people from different backgrounds who enter higher education at age 18 or 19 ('young participation'), and periodically report progress on this measure. The latest publication (January 2010) reports on trends from 1994/95, with provisional results (based upon applications data) up to 2009/2010:
http://www.hefce.ac.uk/pubs/hefce/2010/10 03/
The results cover young people from England in UK Higher Education Institutions.
The starting point for the participation rate is an estimate of the population size of the young cohort at age 15, as they start their final year of compulsory education. The cohort is then allowed three years to undertake their GCSEs and further education before entrants to higher education (typically aged 18) are recorded followed by a further year of entrants (typically aged 19). The young participation rate is then simply the proportion that those HE entrants form of the population of that cohort when it was aged 15. HEFCE reference the cohorts by the two years in which they can enter HE. For example the participation rate for the 2006/07 cohort relates to that group of young people who were aged 15 on 31 August 2003, aged 18 on 31 August 2006, with those who entered HE doing so in academic years 2006/07 or 2007/08.

This young participation rate can then be directly interpreted as the proportion of a particular cohort of young people who enter higher education. The HE entrants in the
measure are drawn from a single real cohort of young people followed across academic years - rather than combining young entrants from different cohorts who enter HE in a single academic year. This makes the participation rate less susceptible to distortions from demographic or behaviour changes (for example, from young people delaying their entry to HE to age 19 rather than age 18) that do not reflect a change in the proportion of young people entering HE .

## Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA): Performance Indicators in Higher Education

HESA has published Performance Indicators in Higher Education since 2002/03. The latest publication is available from the HESA website: http://www.hesa.ac.uk/index.php/content/view/2072/141/

In addition to data about young peoples' socio-economic class, the Performance Indicators (PIs) provide information about the proportion of entrants who are from state schools and low participation neighbourhoods. The indicator for state schools reflects the percentage of young, full-time entrants to first degrees in English Higher Education Institutions who had previously attended a school or college in the state sector.

The Low Participation Neighbourhood (LPN) indicator is the percentage of young, full-time entrants to first degree courses in English HEls whose home area (as denoted by their postcode) is identified as being within Quintile 1 of the POLAR system. POLAR is described in the UCAS entry above. POLAR2 (Participation of Local Area 2) data is not comparable with the more recent POLAR3 data.

Table C shows widening participation measures from these sources. It is important to realise that none of these measures are directly comparable, as there are differences in definitions, coverage and data sources.

Table C: widening participation in higher education - basket of measures

. not applicable .. not available
[1] Young cohort entry rates (aged 18 or 19 on entry) by POLAR2 groups for England. The entry rates give students from England entering Higher Education via UCAS by age 19 as a proportion of the population of 18 year olds in England, based on ONS and HEFCE data. From Figure 55 of UCAS End of cycle report 2012.
[2] Entry rates for Quintile 1 low participation and Quintile 5 high participation areas by POLAR. See text for description of POLAR.
[3] Entry rates for English state school pupils by Free School Meal (FSM) status at age 15. The cycle entry rates give students from England entering Higher Education from a UCAS application cycle as a proportion
of the base population of England, based on ONS population estimates. From Figure 60 of UCAS End of cycle report 2012.
[4] English 18 year olds, entry rates (cycle) to higher tariff institutions by POLAR2 groups. The cycle entry rates give students entering Higher Education from a UCAS application cycle as a proportion of the base population, based on ONS population estimates. From figure 58 of the UCAS End of cycle report 2012. See text for definition of higher tariff institutions.
[5] Young full-time first degree UK domiciled students in Higher Education Institutions in England.
[6] National Statistics Socio-Economic Classification 4 to 7; comparable figures for 2008/09 are not available.
[7] Used POLAR2.
Department for Education (DfE): Destinations of Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 5 pupils DfE has produced education destination measures showing the percentage of students progressing to further learning in a school, further education or sixth-form college, apprenticeship, work-based learning provider or higher education institution. The KS4 measure is based on activity at academic age 16 (i.e. the year after the young person finished compulsory schooling). The KS5 measure is based on activity in the year after the young person took their A Level or equivalent qualification/s. The latest information is available from the GOV website.
https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/department-for-education/series/statisticsdestinations

Details of KS5 destinations by gender, ethnicity and free-school meals status are available in the publication: Destinations of key stage 4 and key stage 5 pupils by characteristics: academic year 2010 to 2011, which can be accessed from the same link above.

## Main differences between DfE KS5 measure and BIS progression to HE by school type measure

- The DfE figures cover those in the first year after KS5 exams, the BIS figures are those entering HE by age 19. So these BIS figures take into account those who took a gap year before entering Higher Education at age 19.
- The DfE figures cover all Level 3 qualifications equivalent to A levels (excluding AS levels), BIS figures are A level only.
- DfE figures cover those entered for A levels or equivalent qualifications at ages 16 to 18 (at the start of the academic year), BIS figures cover those studying A levels aged 17 at the start of the academic year.
- DfE figures cover only those who stayed in the education destination (in this case HE) for at least the first two terms, BIS covers all entrants except those who dropped out in the first two weeks.

The DfE publication shows that 48\% of KS5 leavers progressed to HE in 2010/11, 14\% to the most selective HE. As we would expect, the BIS measures show higher proportions, as we look at progression by age 19, rather than the year after KS5. Also our measure looks at A level students only, rather than those taking any KS5 qualifications equivalent to $A$ levels. BIS figures show $72 \%$ of A level students progressed to HE by age 19, 28\% to the most selective.

The DfE KS5 destination Free School Meal (FSM) measure covers those KS5 leavers who had free school meals in year 11 (generally aged 15 at the start of the year). This shows
$46 \%$ of KS5 students who had FSM progressed to HE in 2010/11, compared to $48 \%$ for non-FSM. The BIS measure that looks at progression by FSM status is very different because it covers all 15 year olds, not just those who go on to complete KS5. It shows that 15 year old pupils with FSM are substantially less likely to progress to Higher Education than non-FSM pupils, whereas the DfE measure suggests that for KS5 pupils the progression rates are much closer.
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