## Differences in life

 expectancy between those aged 20, 50 and 80 - in 2011 and at birthAugust 2011

## Methodology

Cohort life expectancy tables for England and Wales were used to compare life expectancies across generations. These 2008-based statistics were obtained from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) on request. Similar statistics are available on the ONS website; however those provided by ONS cover a longer historical timeframe, and include the chances of the selected cohorts living to age $100^{1}$.

The following analysis shows life expectancy for those aged 20, 50 and 80 in 2011. These ages were chosen because ages 50 and 80 are commonly used to highlight statistics on ageing. In addition, a period of 30 years is a realistic period for the length of a generation ${ }^{2}$. Therefore these three ages allow the comparison of life expectancy of someone joining the workforce at aged 20, with that of their parents' and grandparents' generations. The chosen measure of life expectancy was the chance of living to age 100.
Previous work has been undertaken on the number of people of each age currently alive who can expect to reach age 100. This is available at: http://statistics.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd1/adhoc analysis/2011/centenarians by age gro ups.pdf

[^0]
## Results

Table 1 shows the chances of living to 100 for those aged 20, 50 and 80 in 2011, from which it can be calculated that:

- those aged 20 in 2011 are 1.6 times more likely to reach age 100 than those aged 50; and
- those aged 20 in 2011 are 3.0 times more likely to reach age 100 than those aged 80.

Table 1: Chance of living to 100 for those aged 20, 50 and 80 in 2011.

| Age in <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Chance of living to age 100 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Unweighted average $^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $19.5 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $11.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $6.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 . 7 \%}$ |

Table 2 shows the chance of living to age 100 for these same age cohorts from birth. This view of life expectancy is more relevant to the funding arrangements for the cohorts' future pensions. Those aged 20, 50 and 80 in 2011 were born respectively in 1991, 1961 and 1931. It can be calculated from table 2 that:

- those born in 1991 (aged 20 in 2011) were 1.7 times more likely to reach age 100 than those born in 1961 (aged 50 in 2011); and
- those born in 1991 (aged 20 in 2011) were 6.0 times more likely to reach age 100 than those born in 1931 (aged 80 in 2011).

Table 2: Chance of living from birth to 100 for those aged 20, 50 and 80 in 2011.

| Year of <br> birth | Chance of living to age 100, from birth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Unweighted average $^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $19.2 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 \%}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 6 1}$ | $10.5 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 3} \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 3 1}$ | $2.5 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: Office for National Statistics, 2008-based period and cohort life expectancy tables. Similar statistics for all age cohorts are provided as an appendix.

## James Evans (James.Evans1@dwp.gsi.gov.uk) <br> Department for Work and Pensions

## July 2011

[^1]
## Appendix

Table 3: Chance of living from 'age in 2011' to 100.

| Age in 2011 | Chance of living to age 100 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Unweighted average ${ }^{3}$ |
| 0 | 26.0\% | 33.7\% | 29.9\% |
| 1 | 25.8\% | 33.5\% | 29.6\% |
| 2 | 25.5\% | 33.1\% | 29.3\% |
| 3 | 25.1\% | 32.7\% | 28.9\% |
| 4 | 24.8\% | 32.4\% | 28.6\% |
| 5 | 24.4\% | 32.0\% | 28.2\% |
| 6 | 24.1\% | 31.6\% | 27.9\% |
| 7 | 23.7\% | 31.3\% | 27.5\% |
| 8 | 23.4\% | 30.9\% | 27.2\% |
| 9 | 23.1\% | 30.5\% | 26.8\% |
| 10 | 22.7\% | 30.2\% | 26.5\% |
| 11 | 22.4\% | 29.8\% | 26.1\% |
| 12 | 22.1\% | 29.5\% | 25.8\% |
| 13 | 21.7\% | 29.1\% | 25.4\% |
| 14 | 21.4\% | 28.7\% | 25.1\% |
| 15 | 21.1\% | 28.4\% | 24.7\% |
| 16 | 20.7\% | 28.0\% | 24.4\% |
| 17 | 20.4\% | 27.7\% | 24.0\% |
| 18 | 20.1\% | 27.3\% | 23.7\% |
| 19 | 19.8\% | 27.0\% | 23.4\% |
| 20 | 19.5\% | 26.6\% | 23.0\% |
| 21 | 19.1\% | 26.3\% | 22.7\% |
| 22 | 18.8\% | 25.9\% | 22.4\% |
| 23 | 18.5\% | 25.6\% | 22.0\% |
| 24 | 18.2\% | 25.2\% | 21.7\% |
| 25 | 17.9\% | 24.9\% | 21.4\% |
| 26 | 17.6\% | 24.5\% | 21.1\% |
| 27 | 17.3\% | 24.2\% | 20.8\% |
| 28 | 17.0\% | 23.9\% | 20.4\% |
| 29 | 16.7\% | 23.5\% | 20.1\% |
| 30 | 16.5\% | 23.2\% | 19.8\% |
| 31 | 16.2\% | 22.9\% | 19.5\% |
| 32 | 15.9\% | 22.5\% | 19.2\% |
| 33 | 15.6\% | 22.2\% | 18.9\% |
| 34 | 15.3\% | 21.9\% | 18.6\% |
| 35 | 15.1\% | 21.5\% | 18.3\% |
| 36 | 14.8\% | 21.2\% | 18.0\% |
| 37 | 14.5\% | 20.9\% | 17.7\% |
| 38 | 14.3\% | 20.6\% | 17.4\% |
| 39 | 14.0\% | 20.3\% | 17.1\% |
| 40 | 13.7\% | 20.0\% | 16.8\% |
| 41 | 13.5\% | 19.6\% | 16.6\% |
| 42 | 13.2\% | 19.3\% | 16.3\% |
| 43 | 13.0\% | 19.0\% | 16.0\% |
| 44 | 12.7\% | 18.7\% | 15.7\% |
| 45 | 12.5\% | 18.4\% | 15.5\% |
| 46 | 12.3\% | 18.1\% | 15.2\% |
| 47 | 12.0\% | 17.9\% | 14.9\% |
| 48 | 11.8\% | 17.6\% | 14.7\% |
| 49 | 11.6\% | 17.3\% | 14.4\% |


| Age in$2011$ | Chance of living to age 100 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Unweighted average ${ }^{3}$ |
| 50 | 11.4\% | 17.0\% | 14.2\% |
| 51 | 11.1\% | 16.8\% | 13.9\% |
| 52 | 10.9\% | 16.5\% | 13.7\% |
| 53 | 10.7\% | 16.2\% | 13.5\% |
| 54 | 10.5\% | 16.0\% | 13.2\% |
| 55 | 10.3\% | 15.7\% | 13.0\% |
| 56 | 10.2\% | 15.5\% | 12.8\% |
| 57 | 10.0\% | 15.2\% | 12.6\% |
| 58 | 9.8\% | 15.0\% | 12.4\% |
| 59 | 9.6\% | 14.8\% | 12.2\% |
| 60 | 9.5\% | 14.5\% | 12.0\% |
| 61 | 9.3\% | 14.3\% | 11.8\% |
| 62 | 9.1\% | 14.0\% | 11.6\% |
| 63 | 9.0\% | 13.8\% | 11.4\% |
| 64 | 8.8\% | 13.6\% | 11.2\% |
| 65 | 8.7\% | 13.4\% | 11.0\% |
| 66 | 8.6\% | 13.2\% | 10.9\% |
| 67 | 8.4\% | 13.0\% | 10.7\% |
| 68 | 8.3\% | 12.9\% | 10.6\% |
| 69 | 8.3\% | 12.7\% | 10.5\% |
| 70 | 8.2\% | 12.6\% | 10.4\% |
| 71 | 8.1\% | 12.4\% | 10.2\% |
| 72 | 7.9\% | 12.2\% | 10.1\% |
| 73 | 7.8\% | 12.0\% | 9.9\% |
| 74 | 7.6\% | 11.7\% | 9.6\% |
| 75 | 7.4\% | 11.3\% | 9.4\% |
| 76 | 7.2\% | 10.9\% | 9.0\% |
| 77 | 6.9\% | 10.4\% | 8.7\% |
| 78 | 6.7\% | 10.0\% | 8.3\% |
| 79 | 6.4\% | 9.6\% | 8.0\% |
| 80 | 6.2\% | 9.2\% | 7.7\% |
| 81 | 6.0\% | 8.9\% | 7.5\% |
| 82 | 5.9\% | 8.7\% | 7.3\% |
| 83 | 5.9\% | 8.5\% | 7.2\% |
| 84 | 6.0\% | 8.5\% | 7.2\% |
| 85 | 6.1\% | 8.6\% | 7.4\% |
| 86 | 6.3\% | 8.8\% | 7.5\% |
| 87 | 6.6\% | 9.0\% | 7.8\% |
| 88 | 7.0\% | 9.4\% | 8.2\% |
| 89 | 7.5\% | 9.9\% | 8.7\% |
| 90 | 8.1\% | 10.5\% | 9.3\% |
| 91 | 9.0\% | 11.4\% | 10.2\% |
| 92 | 10.2\% | 12.7\% | 11.5\% |
| 93 | 11.9\% | 14.5\% | 13.2\% |
| 94 | 14.5\% | 17.2\% | 15.8\% |
| 95 | 18.2\% | 21.2\% | 19.7\% |
| 96 | 23.8\% | 27.1\% | 25.4\% |
| 97 | 32.3\% | 35.9\% | 34.1\% |
| 98 | 45.5\% | 49.0\% | 47.2\% |
| 99 | 66.3\% | 69.0\% | 67.6\% |

Table 4: Chance of living from birth to 100, by year of birth

| Year of birth | Chance of living to age 100, from birth |  |  | Year of birth | Chance of living to age 100, from birth |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Unweighted average ${ }^{3}$ |  | Male | Female | Unweighted average ${ }^{3}$ |
| 2011 | 26.0\% | 33.7\% | 29.9\% | 1961 | 10.5\% | 16.2\% | 13.3\% |
| 2010 | 25.7\% | 33.3\% | 29.5\% | 1960 | 10.2\% | 15.9\% | 13.1\% |
| 2009 | 25.3\% | 32.9\% | 29.1\% | 1959 | 10.0\% | 15.6\% | 12.8\% |
| 2008 | 25.0\% | 32.6\% | 28.8\% | 1958 | 9.8\% | 15.2\% | 12.5\% |
| 2007 | 24.6\% | 32.2\% | 28.4\% | 1957 | 9.5\% | 14.9\% | 12.2\% |
| 2006 | 24.3\% | 31.8\% | 28.1\% | 1956 | 9.3\% | 14.7\% | 12.0\% |
| 2005 | 23.9\% | 31.5\% | 27.7\% | 1955 | 9.1\% | 14.4\% | 11.7\% |
| 2004 | 23.6\% | 31.1\% | 27.3\% | 1954 | 8.8\% | 14.0\% | 11.4\% |
| 2003 | 23.2\% | 30.7\% | 27.0\% | 1953 | 8.6\% | 13.7\% | 11.2\% |
| 2002 | 22.9\% | 30.4\% | 26.6\% | 1952 | 8.4\% | 13.5\% | 10.9\% |
| 2001 | 22.6\% | 30.0\% | 26.3\% | 1951 | 8.2\% | 13.1\% | 10.6\% |
| 2000 | 22.2\% | 29.6\% | 25.9\% | 1950 | 7.9\% | 12.8\% | 10.4\% |
| 1999 | 21.9\% | 29.3\% | 25.6\% | 1949 | 7.7\% | 12.5\% | 10.1\% |
| 1998 | 21.5\% | 28.9\% | 25.2\% | 1948 | 7.4\% | 12.2\% | 9.8\% |
| 1997 | 21.2\% | 28.5\% | 24.9\% | 1947 | 7.1\% | 11.8\% | 9.5\% |
| 1996 | 20.9\% | 28.2\% | 24.5\% | 1946 | 6.9\% | 11.5\% | 9.2\% |
| 1995 | 20.5\% | 27.8\% | 24.2\% | 1945 | 6.6\% | 11.1\% | 8.9\% |
| 1994 | 20.2\% | 27.5\% | 23.8\% | 1944 | 6.4\% | 10.9\% | 8.6\% |
| 1993 | 19.9\% | 27.1\% | 23.5\% | 1943 | 6.2\% | 10.6\% | 8.4\% |
| 1992 | 19.5\% | 26.7\% | 23.1\% | 1942 | 6.0\% | 10.3\% | 8.1\% |
| 1991 | 19.2\% | 26.4\% | 22.8\% | 1941 | 5.7\% | 9.9\% | 7.8\% |
| 1990 | 18.9\% | 26.0\% | 22.4\% | 1940 | 5.4\% | 9.6\% | 7.5\% |
| 1989 | 18.5\% | 25.6\% | 22.1\% | 1939 | 5.2\% | 9.3\% | 7.2\% |
| 1988 | 18.2\% | 25.3\% | 21.7\% | 1938 | 5.0\% | 8.9\% | 6.9\% |
| 1987 | 17.9\% | 24.9\% | 21.4\% | 1937 | 4.6\% | 8.4\% | 6.5\% |
| 1986 | 17.6\% | 24.5\% | 21.1\% | 1936 | 4.3\% | 7.9\% | 6.1\% |
| 1985 | 17.3\% | 24.2\% | 20.7\% | 1935 | 4.0\% | 7.3\% | 5.6\% |
| 1984 | 17.0\% | 23.8\% | 20.4\% | 1934 | 3.6\% | 6.8\% | 5.2\% |
| 1983 | 16.6\% | 23.5\% | 20.1\% | 1933 | 3.2\% | 6.2\% | 4.7\% |
| 1982 | 16.3\% | 23.1\% | 19.7\% | 1932 | 2.9\% | 5.6\% | 4.2\% |
| 1981 | 16.0\% | 22.8\% | 19.4\% | 1931 | 2.5\% | 5.1\% | 3.8\% |
| 1980 | 15.7\% | 22.4\% | 19.1\% | 1930 | 2.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.4\% |
| 1979 | 15.4\% | 22.1\% | 18.7\% | 1929 | 2.0\% | 4.2\% | 3.1\% |
| 1978 | 15.1\% | 21.7\% | 18.4\% | 1928 | 1.7\% | 3.8\% | 2.8\% |
| 1977 | 14.8\% | 21.4\% | 18.1\% | 1927 | 1.6\% | 3.5\% | 2.5\% |
| 1976 | 14.5\% | 21.1\% | 17.8\% | 1926 | 1.4\% | 3.2\% | 2.3\% |
| 1975 | 14.2\% | 20.7\% | 17.4\% | 1925 | 1.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.1\% |
| 1974 | 13.9\% | 20.4\% | 17.1\% | 1924 | 1.1\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% |
| 1973 | 13.6\% | 20.0\% | 16.8\% | 1923 | 1.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% |
| 1972 | 13.3\% | 19.7\% | 16.5\% | 1922 | 0.8\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% |
| 1971 | 13.1\% | 19.4\% | 16.2\% | 1921 | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% |
| 1970 | 12.8\% | 19.0\% | 15.9\% | 1920 | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% |
| 1969 | 12.5\% | 18.7\% | 15.6\% | 1919 | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.3\% |
| 1968 | 12.3\% | 18.4\% | 15.3\% | 1918 | 0.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.1\% |
| 1967 | 12.0\% | 18.1\% | 15.0\% | 1917 | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% |
| 1966 | 11.8\% | 17.7\% | 14.7\% | 1916 | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 0.8\% |
| 1965 | 11.5\% | 17.4\% | 14.5\% | 1915 | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.8\% |
| 1964 | 11.2\% | 17.1\% | 14.2\% | 1914 | 0.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.7\% |
| 1963 | 11.0\% | 16.8\% | 13.9\% | 1913 | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% |
| 1962 | 10.7\% | 16.5\% | 13.6\% | 1912 | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Period and cohort expectation of life tables (2008-based): http://www.statistics.gov.uk/downloads/theme population/Interim Life/period cohort tables index08.p df
    ${ }^{2}$ For further discussion see: http://www.ancestry.co.uk/learn/library/article.aspx?article=11152

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This is a simple average of the male and female survival figures and does not account for the relative size of the male and female populations. This ensured the averages used in tables 1 and 2 (and tables 3 and 4 in the appendix) were consistent.

