

What is your organisation? - Organisation	Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS FT
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that providers of services in the tariff in operation at the time at which Monitor consults on the next tariff should count towards the thresholds? - If not, can you suggest an alternative method to base this on?	
If yes, do you agree that this should include any such providers who are exempt from the requirement to hold a licence? - Q2	Yes
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the data used to calculate an objection threshold should be based on total tariff income, as reported in financial accounts? - Q3b	
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - Y/N	No
Are there any other providers who should count towards the threshold? - If yes, please give details and reasons.	
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for commissioners? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that the objection percentage threshold should be set at 51% for providers? - If not, what figure would you propose, and why?	The figure is too high, it is at a level which would indicate that objections are not welcome - I would suggest that if 49% objected then there is a case for review and that something may be wrong. I would also argue that one sound objection that is seem to have serious merit should be considered as well. I would suggest 20% as a threhsold as a maximum, though I do not believe any % should be applied. Further, all objections should be made public within the 28 day period as it could easily be the case that one provider has spotted something that no-one else has, and therefore would attract support. One high quality objection made that is correct that no-one else has identified is important in a publicly funded, fair and transparent tariff.
Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - Y/N	Yes

Do you agree that a provider's share of supply should be calculated across all tariff services covered by the tariff in force at the time at which the consultation takes place? - If not, how should their share of supply be calculated?	
Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - Y/N	Yes
Do you agree that providers should be weighted based on income received from tariff services, as stated in the previous years financial years accounts, minus local area adjustments? - If not, on what basis should they be weighted?	
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - Y/N	No
Do you agree that the share of supply percentage threshold should be set at the same figure as for the objection percentage thresholds, ie 51% of the total supply? - If not, what percentage should be set, and why?	<p>The figure is too high, it is at a level which would indicate that objections are not welcome - I would suggest that if 49% objected then there is a case for review and that something may be wrong. I would also argue that one sound objection that is seem to have serious merit should be considered as well.</p> <p>I would suggest 20% as a threhsold as a maximum, though I do not believe any % should be applied.</p> <p>Further, all objections should be made public within the 28 day period as it could easily be the case that one provider has spotted something that no-one else has, and therefore would attract support. One high quality objection made that is correct that no-one else has identified is important in a publicly funded, fair and transparent tariff.</p>
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Y/N	No
Do you have any evidence that the proposals in this document will impact adversely or unfairly on any protected groups? - Q10	