



Codes of Practice and Conduct

*for forensic science providers and practitioners
in the Criminal Justice System*

Version 1.0

December 2011

© Crown Copyright 2011

The text in this document (excluding the Forensic Science Regulator's logo) may be reproduced in any format or medium providing it is reproduced accurately, is not otherwise attributed, is not used in a misleading context and is acknowledged as Crown copyright.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Foreword

It has taken a considerable amount of detailed work and discussion to arrive at the Codes of Practice and Conduct. I would like to thank the many individuals and organisations who have contributed or lent their support through my specialist groups, correspondence with me, and as a result of the consultations.

Overall the Codes reflect the good practice that organisations with accreditation already demonstrate when achieving/maintaining accreditation. They add the UK context to BS EN ISO/IEC17025:2005, which is the internationally accepted laboratory standard for the forensic science sector. I have included greater direction for topics that I believe need greater standardisation, such as validation, contamination control and information security.

Standards are not intended to stifle innovation. Although I expect that the majority of forensic science provision will fall under this standards framework the courts will always be free to consider evidence derived from methods that, for instance, have been developed for the particular case in question and there simply hasn't been time to include the technique in their scope of accreditation.

The number of providers demonstrating their commitment for supplying a quality service to the Criminal Justice System through accreditation is increasing and will swell over the next few years. This is driven to some extent by the European Union Council Framework Decision 2009/905/JHA¹ on accreditation of forensic service providers carrying out laboratory activities. This edition of the Codes focuses on laboratory activities in order to create a level playing field across all providers, but with the intention to cover crime scenes at a later date.

In order to provide forensic science services to the police services in the UK providers have adopted accreditation, underpinned latterly by contractual requirements in England and Wales. I have drawn up a statement of requirements, which shows clearly that all providers need to have certain services in their scope of accreditation now. I do not differentiate between commercial, public or police service providers. I believe that if the standard should apply, then it should apply equally to all. I fully accept that the EU Council Decision on accreditation will have an impact on certain screening and sampling activities currently conducted in police forces. My statement of



Photo credit: Janet Wilcox, Greater Manchester Police

¹ Available from: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:322:0014:0016:EN:PDF>


Codes of Practice and Conduct

accreditation requirements differentiates between areas that need accreditation now and those that can continue without accreditation for a relatively short period, provided they are conducted under appropriate good practice guidance and a clear plan to achieve accreditation is in place.

These Codes lay out the core requirements for most laboratory-based forensic science disciplines, although with disciplines such as forensic pathology, I have agreed a separate code of practice which has an appropriate overseeing body. In the statement of requirements that follows I have identified certain disciplines where I am still considering the nature of standards frameworks required, and I will publish details in later versions of these Codes.

I believe validation is at the heart of any standards framework appropriate for this sector, so even if there is still valid debate as to the appropriateness of BS EN ISO/IEC 17025 to a particular discipline, I still urge colleagues to look towards adopting the validation protocol in the Codes.

In the coming months the United Kingdom Accreditation Service will be inviting providers to make expressions of interest to be part of pilot studies. Piloting in this context will be primarily used to allow a common commencement for the expansion of provider's scope of accreditation. Naturally, feedback during implementation will be used to inform later editions of the Codes.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Andrew Rennison', with a large, stylized flourish above the name.

Andrew Rennison M.Sc.
The Forensic Science Regulator

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Preface - Statement of Accreditation Requirements for Laboratory Activity

The Forensic Science Regulator expects that the provision of laboratory-based² forensic science, including disciplines subject to the EU Council Framework Decision 2009/905/JHA³, will be to the standards set out in Table 1.

Table 1: Standards/requirements for laboratory activity

		BS EN ISO/IEC 17025	ILAC G19: 2002⁴	Codes⁵
Visual screening for blood only, ⁶ and presumptive testing for blood		October 2013	October 2013	October 2013
Examination of items ⁷ for presence of body fluids and other biological material – other than visual screening, or presumptive testing, for blood		April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Examination of items for presence and recovery of contact trace material – other than visual screening, or presumptive testing, for blood		April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
DNA sampling ⁸	Targeted swabbing of visible blood stains with a commercially manufactured swab	October 2013	October 2013	October 2013
	Speculative swabbing with a commercially manufactured swab in property/volume crime offences ⁹	October 2013	October 2013	October 2013
	Sampling for biological material in cases other than property/volume crime offences, and in all other cases beyond swabbing	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013

² An area set aside for handling, developing, analysing or interpreting scientific evidence.

³ Failure to comply with the EU Council Framework Decision 2009/905/JHA has significant financial penalties; the time between the commencement and any statutory dates will be used for reporting non-compliance to UK Government.

⁴ International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation's document *ILAC G19:2002 Guidelines for Forensic Science Laboratories* is available from: http://www.ilac.org/documents/g19_2002.pdf

⁵ Piloting the Codes may influence the target dates for specific disciplines; changes or revisions will be notified in subsequent issues of the Codes.

⁶ Opening tamperproof packaging for screening items may preclude further examination for other contact evidence; adherence to the National Policing Improvement Agency's guidance on blood screening is required whilst a provider is working towards accreditation.

⁷ The accreditation process may not be appropriate for forensic medicine; therefore suspect and victim sampling currently remain out of scope e.g. examinations at Sexual Assault Referral Centres.

⁸ Sampling individuals, such as in custody, is not in scope. Some DNA sampling will only be permitted in a currently accredited laboratory. The Regulator requires providers without accreditation who wish to continue any DNA sampling activity up to the EU deadline to submit a risk control and accreditation plan for approval.

⁹ E.g. swabbing a can/bottle, torch or other item recovered from domestic burglary scenes.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Table 1: Standards/requirements for laboratory (cont.) activity	BS EN ISO/IEC 17025	ILAC G19: 2002	Codes
Processing recovered biological samples/material to obtain a DNA profile e.g. DNA extraction	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Enhancement, development, imaging, recording and recovery of visible/latent finger marks	October 2015	October 2015	October 2015
Forensic Pathology	A separate code of practice applies ¹⁰		
Digital data recovery	October 2015	October 2015	October 2015
Blood pattern analysis	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Presumptive drug testing under Evidential Drug Identification Testing (EDIT) guidance ¹¹	EDIT guidance for trained police officers/staff applies		
Drug analysis to evidential standards	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Firearms e.g. Firearm Discharge Residue, firing marks, ballistics	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Toolmark impression comparison	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Footwear impression screening	NPIA guidance for trained police officers/staff applies		
Footwear impression comparison to evidential standards	April 2012	April 2012	October 2013
Laboratory activity including, but not limited to, handling, developing, analysing and/or interpreting scientific evidence not listed separately here ¹¹	October 2013	October 2013	October 2013

The commencement dates for regulation¹² of 6 April and 1 October apply; laboratory activities that should already be accredited have been given the first available commencement date of 6 April 2012.

¹⁰ The Code of Practice and Performance Standards for Forensic Pathology in England and Wales and NI will commence as agreed with the Royal College of Pathologists or by the October 2012 whichever is earlier.

¹¹ An assessment of standards for anthropology, archaeology, digital data analysis, finger mark comparison, fire investigation, presumptive drug testing (inc. EDIT) and vehicle examination will be conducted in 2012.

¹² See guidance from the Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, available from: <http://www.bis.gov.uk/policies/bre/improving-regulatory-delivery/code-of-practice-on-guidance-on-regulation>

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Contents

Foreword	2
Preface - Statement of Accreditation Requirements for Laboratory Activity	4
Code of Conduct for forensic science practitioners	9
Code of Practice for providers of forensic science services	10
1. Introduction	10
2. Scope	11
3. Normative references	12
4. Terms and definitions	12
5. Management requirements	12
6. Business continuity	12
7. Independence, impartiality and integrity	12
8. Confidentiality	13
9. Document control (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.3)	13
10. Review of requests, tenders and contracts (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.4)	14
11. Subcontracting (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.5)	14
12. Packaging and general chemicals and materials (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.6)	15
13. Complaints (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.8)	15
14. Control of non-conforming testing (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.9)	16
15. Control of records (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.13)	16
15.1. General	16
15.2. Technical records (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.13.2)	16
15.3. Checking and review	18
16. Internal audits (BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 ref. 4.14)	18
17. Technical requirements (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.2)	19
17.1. Personnel	19
17.2. Code of Conduct	19
17.3. Training	19
18. Competence	20
19. Accommodation and environmental conditions (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.3)	20
19.4. Contamination avoidance, monitoring and detection	21

Codes of Practice and Conduct

20.	Test methods and method validation (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4)	23
20.1.	Selection of methods (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.2)	23
20.2.	Validation of methods (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.5)	24
20.3.	Determining the end-user's requirements and specification	24
20.4.	Risk assessment of the method	25
20.5.	Review of the end-user's requirements and specification	26
20.6.	The acceptance criteria	26
20.7.	The validation plan	27
20.8.	Validation of measurement-based methods	27
20.9.	Validation of interpretive methods	28
20.10.	Verification of the validation of adopted methods	28
20.11.	Minor changes in methods	29
20.12.	Validation outcomes	29
20.13.	Assessment of acceptance criteria compliance	30
20.14.	Validation report	30
20.15.	A statement of validation completion	31
20.15.	Validation library	32
20.16.	Implementation plan and any constraints	32
20.17.	Estimation of uncertainty of measurement (5.4.6)	33
20.18.	Control of data (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.7)	33
20.18.1	General	33
20.18.2.	Electronic information capture, storage, transfer, retrieval and disposal	34
20.18.3.	Electronic information security	34
20.18.4.	Databases	35
21.	Equipment (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.5)	36
21.1.	Computers and automated equipment	36
22.	Measurement traceability (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6)	37
22.1.	Reference standards and reference materials (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6.3)	37
22.1.1.	Intermediate checks (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6.3.3)	37
23.	Handling of test items (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.8)	37
23.1.	Receipt of cases and exhibits at the laboratory	37
23.2.	Case assessment and prioritisation	38

Codes of Practice and Conduct

23.3.	Exhibit handling, protection and storage	38
23.4.	Exhibit return and disposal	39
24.	Assuring the quality of test results (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.9)	40
24.1.	Inter-laboratory comparisons (proficiency tests and collaborative exercises)	40
25.	Reporting the results (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10)	40
25.1.	General	40
25.2.	Reports and statements to the CJS (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10.2/5.10.3)	41
25.2.3.	Report types	42
25.3.	Retention, recording, revelation and prosecution disclosure	42
25.4.	Defence examinations	43
25.5.	Opinions and interpretations (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10.5)	44
26.	Bibliography	45
26.	Abbreviations	47
27.	Glossary	48

Code of Conduct for forensic science practitioners

The Forensic Science Regulator sets out for all practitioners, whether called by the prosecution or defence the values and ideals the profession stands for.¹³ This Code of Conduct provides a clear indication to customers and the public of what they have a right to expect.

As a practitioner:

1. Your overriding duty is to the court and to the administration of justice.
2. Act with honesty, integrity, objectivity and impartiality, and declare at the earliest opportunity any personal, business and/or financial interest that could be perceived as a conflict of interest.
3. Provide expert advice and evidence only within the limits of your professional competence.
4. Take all reasonable steps to maintain and develop your professional competence, taking account of material research and developments within the relevant field.
5. Establish the integrity and continuity of items as they come into your possession and ensure these are maintained whilst in your possession.
6. Seek access to exhibits/productions¹⁴/information that may have a significant impact on your findings.
7. Conduct casework using methods of demonstrable validity.
8. Be prepared to review any casework if any new information or developments are identified that would significantly impact on your findings.
9. Inform a suitable person within your organisation if you have good grounds for believing there is a situation that may result in a miscarriage of justice.
10. Preserve confidentiality unless the law obliges, a court/tribunal orders, or a customer explicitly authorises disclosure.

¹³ Developed from former work by the Council for the Registration of Forensic Practitioners.

¹⁴ A production is a document or article produced as evidence in Scottish courts.

Code of Practice for providers of forensic science services

1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Code of Practice aligns with BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (for testing and calibration laboratories¹⁵ as interpreted by ILAC-G19:2002) and specifies the requirements for a management system for providers of laboratory-based forensic science services to demonstrate their ability to deliver consistently products and services that meet the requirements of their customers in the Criminal Justice System (CJS).
- 1.2. The United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS®)¹⁶ will assess laboratory-based providers of forensic science services against BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 utilising any of the relevant UKAS® laboratory publications¹⁷ and the supplementary requirements of this Code of Practice, and include compliance with this Code of Practice in the Schedule of Accreditation.¹⁸
- 1.3. The main headings in this Code of Practice are cross-referenced to relevant sections of the international standard BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and the interpretative document ILAC-G19:2002 for ease of use e.g. **9. Document control (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.3)**. However, this Code of Practice is not intended to be a substitute for the complete version of the international standard.
- 1.4. Appendices complementary to the Code will be produced and when they come into effect are to be read as part of the Code, expanding and interpreting it, where necessary, for specific activities, processes or evidence types.
- 1.5. The Code of Practice also incorporates, where applicable, any specific requirements determined by the CJS in England and Wales.¹⁹
- 1.6. Compliance with this Code of Practice is intended to provide the CJS and the public with confidence in the reliability of forensic science and to enhance customer satisfaction through the effective application of the management system.
- 1.7. The Code and any subsequent appendices will be updated to reflect relevant changes in the requirements of BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005, ILAC-G19:2002, and the CJS. The updated version will be made available to all interested parties.
- 1.8. Other standards used for certification or accreditation of organisations that provide scientific services – e.g. Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) regulations,

¹⁵ This Code of Practice does not specifically address the requirements of calibration laboratories. Laboratories providing calibration services should comply with the requirements of BS EN ISO/IEC 17025 for this aspect of their work.

¹⁶ UKAS® is a registered trademark of the United Kingdom Accreditation Service.

¹⁷ A list of UKAS® *Publications for Laboratory Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025* is available from: <http://www.ukas.com/technical-information/publications-and-tech-articles/publications.asp>

¹⁸ The Regulator has a Memorandum of Understanding with the national accreditation body UKAS®, agreements with other national accreditation bodies may be entered into if required.

¹⁹ The Codes can be extended or adopted by other jurisdictions with approval of the appropriate Ministers, governing bodies and prosecuting authorities.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

good manufacturing practice (GMP), ISO 15189:2003²⁰ and Clinical Pathology Accreditation (Ltd) Standards – are not alternatives to BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005, although they do overlap to some extent and provide compatible guidance on good practice.

- 1.9. All practitioners should comply with the principles contained in the Code of Conduct at the beginning of this document. Taken together with the Code of Practice these are referred to collectively from this point forward as the Codes.

2. Scope

- 2.1. The Codes are for providers of forensic science services to the CJS. Forensic science is taken to include the sciences traditionally performed by the police service and the public and private sector forensic science laboratories and, to a lesser extent, academia. They are intended to be able to cover sciences with scene and/or laboratory-based elements and therefore are not intended for disciplines such as forensic accountancy or psychiatry. Although the Codes could be extended to forensic medicine, they have not been drafted with that in mind. However, the accreditation process requires formal demonstration of competence to a third party so certain suspect and victim sampling may continue to remain out of scope e.g. examinations at Sexual Assault Referral Centres. The Codes cover the forensic science provider's work as required or applicable to the scope of accreditation:

- a. initial forensic science activity at the scene;
- b. the scene examination strategy;
- c. the recovery, preservation, transport and storage of exhibits;
- d. screening tests for use in the field;
- e. the assessment, selection, examination, sampling, testing and/or analysis of exhibits;
- f. testing activities using laboratory-based methods;
- g. the recording of actions taken;
- h. assessment/review of examination and test results; and
- i. the reporting and presentation of results with associated interpretations and opinions.

- 2.2. The Codes initially specify the general requirements for competence for laboratory activities including sampling, laboratory examinations and tests and the provision of expert testimony. Where relevant, appropriate legal, regulatory and information security is included.

- 2.3. All practitioners and providers offering forensic science services to the CJS are to be bound by these Codes; however it is accepted that experts from other

²⁰ Although this is based on BS EN ISO/IEC 17025, it is designed and assessed for a separate purpose; preliminary work is underway to look at the feasibility of whether a bolt-on enhancement and inspection could be devised to make it applicable to these Codes.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

professions will be called to give evidence from time to time and the customer should make such providers aware of, and require that they are bound by, the Code of Conduct as a minimum.

3. Normative references

3.1. The following normative references are included in **26. Bibliography**:

- a. BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories*;
- b. ILAC-G19:2002, *Guidelines for Forensic Science Laboratories*.

4. Terms and definitions

4.1. For the purposes of these Codes, the definitions of terms are given in the **27. Glossary**.

4.2. The meanings of abbreviations are given in **26. Abbreviations**.

5. Management requirements

5.1. The provider shall have a Schedule of Accreditation covering compliance with BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 and the supplementary requirements of these Codes for the methods, products and services it is routinely providing, where required by the Forensic Science Regulator.

5.2. BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 requires that the roles and responsibilities of the technical management are defined. Top management (as the International Organization for Standardization tends to refer to) should also be defined, which would usually be at Chief Officer or Board level.

6. Business continuity²¹

6.1. The provider shall develop procedures to be implemented following interruption to, or failure of, business critical processes, to maintain or restore operations and ensure continuous availability, confidentiality and integrity of information.

6.2. Providers should ensure that their business continuity plans include provision to preserve any material transferred to a subcontractor's facility should it go out of business with no legal successor.²²

6.3. Business continuity plans shall be tested on a regular defined basis and the results documented. Any identified need for action to modify the plans shall be implemented and the plans re-tested.

7. Independence, impartiality and integrity

7.1. The provider shall ensure that all of its practitioners adhere to the Code of Conduct in respect of their independence, impartiality and integrity, and that the

²¹ Further guidance can be obtained from BS 25999-1:2006: *Business continuity management – Part 1: Code of practice*.

²² Customers should ensure that their own business continuity plans have addressed the risk that a provider goes out of business with no legal successor, to ensure retained material, case files and associated paperwork is available.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

organisational structure, policies and procedures support this rather than hinder it.

- 7.2. The conflicts of interest, perceived or otherwise, and threats to impartiality may include a practitioner:
- a. having the perception of being coerced, or is being coerced, openly or secretly;
 - b. being the sole reviewer of their critical findings;
 - c. being involved with activities that could be perceived as witness coaching or being coached, rather than training or familiarisation;
 - d. being over-familiar with or trusting another person instead of relying on objective evidence;
 - e. having organisational and management structures that could be perceived to reward, encourage or support bias;
 - f. having a close/significant personal or financial relationship with a party likely to be affected by the outcome;
 - g. having a close/significant personal or financial relationship with any person acting as an expert witness in the case; or
 - h. acting in self-interest.
- 7.3. Where applicable it is expected that the expert, in assessing the results obtained, would consider the relevant hypotheses that could explain their findings prior to presenting relevant hypotheses as propositions to the case.
- 7.4. The required policies and procedures shall not only prevent internal and external influence on the results of their examinations and tests, but also cover the corrective action (such as formal disclosure) to be taken if there is a possibility of a practitioner's judgement having been, or perceived to have been, compromised.

8. Confidentiality

- 8.1. The provider shall ensure that the documented policies and procedures for confidentiality requirements, including any disclosure requirements, are applied to any subcontractors.

9. Document control (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.3)

- 9.1. The provider must ensure that document control procedures are applied to the following where they are integral to the forensic process, including:
- a. both hard copy and electronic copies;
 - b. procedures – technical and quality;
 - c. software;
 - d. technical methods;
 - e. forms;
 - f. key external documents; and

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- g. statutory documents.
- 9.2. The retention period for obsolete/superseded documents should be defined and should take into account customer, regulatory and legal requirements.
- 10. Review of requests, tenders and contracts (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.4)**
- 10.1. The processes surrounding the review of requests, tenders and contracts may occur at several different levels and at several key stages through the processing of forensic work. These may include, but not be limited to:
 - a. the processes leading to the documentation of an overarching Service Level Agreement (SLA)/contract between the customer and the provider;
 - b. the management of the adherence to the agreed SLA/contract;
 - c. the documentation and review of more detailed case-specific requirements through the use of submission forms, etc;
 - d. outcomes from case conferences; and
 - e. significant discussions with the Officer In Charge (OIC), solicitors, etc.
- 10.2. The aspects discussed and agreed as part of the review of requests, tenders and contracts may include, but not be limited to:
 - a. turnaround times;
 - b. report format;
 - c. items to be examined;
 - d. case assessment and strategy;
 - e. sequence of examination;
 - f. precautions to be taken to preserve additional evidence;
 - g. methods to be used;
 - h. products to be delivered;
 - i. costs;
 - j. collection/transfer of items; and
 - k. retention, destruction or return of items (see **23.4. Exhibit return and disposal**).
- 10.3. The documented procedure and associated records must describe all relevant instances when work requirements are discussed and reviewed such that a demonstrable audit trail, including appropriate justifications and authorisations, is available for each piece of work undertaken.
- 11. Subcontracting (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.5)**
- 11.1. A provider may need to subcontract work and in all cases the customer shall be informed in writing and approval is required.
- 11.2. Where applicable, the provider shall include in their continuity plans the arrangements that have been made to preserve retained material should their

Codes of Practice and Conduct

subcontractor provider or its contracted storage facility go out of business and have no legal successor.

- 11.3. If other necessary approvals are required by rules or convention, such as work connected to firearms examination, drug analysis or for inclusion on the National DNA Database^{®23}, the subcontracted provider must also be appropriately approved or licensed.

12. Packaging and general chemicals and materials (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.6)

- 12.1. Customers and providers shall ensure that any sample, packaging and/or collection kits they use are fit for purpose.

13. Complaints (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.8)

- 13.1. The provider shall have policies and procedures for dealing with complaints. These procedures shall define what constitutes a complaint in relation to the work undertaken by the provider, and shall ensure that appropriately thorough investigations are instigated on receipt of any complaints.
- 13.2. The Forensic Science Regulator shall be informed at the earliest opportunity about any complaint if it has significantly disaffected the customer such that it could attract adverse public interest or lead to a miscarriage of justice. The policies and procedures relating to complaints shall also indicate the escalation criteria and the individual responsible for notifying the Regulator.
- 13.3. Complaint investigations shall include examination of the potential impact on any work that has already been undertaken by the provider. In the event that it is shown that there could have been an impact on any work this should be dealt with through the non-conforming work process (see **14. Control of non-conforming testing**).
- 13.4. Records shall be retained of all complaints and of the subsequent investigations and outcomes.
- 13.5. Complaints may be received from many sources including customers, victims of crime, police forces, and other departments within the same provider (e.g. laboratory, scene of crime unit, investigation unit) and the judicial system (including adverse court decisions pertinent to the work).
- ### **14. Control of non-conforming testing (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.9)**
- 14.1. Examples of non-conforming testing that after investigation could require escalation to the Forensic Science Regulator could include, but are not limited to, significant instances of:
- a. unexpected performance in proficiency testing/inter-laboratory comparison;
 - b. unauthorised access to restricted areas or information;
 - c. missing or compromised items/case files;
 - d. equipment failing to receive timely calibration or maintenance;

²³ The National DNA Database[®] is a registered trademark of the Secretary of State for the Home Department.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- e. failure of staff to follow procedures;
 - f. contamination incidents;
 - g. technical method found to be producing erroneous results; or
 - h. any standards/reference materials, equipment or reagents found to have defects or deficiencies.
- 14.2. The Forensic Science Regulator shall be informed about any non-conforming test if it has potential to significantly disaffect the customer such that it could attract adverse public interest or lead to a miscarriage of justice.
- 14.3. The provider shall maintain a record of the nature of non-conformities capable of being used to identify trends, any concessions obtained to use non-conforming work, and any corrective and/or preventive actions taken.
- 15. Control of records (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.13)**
- 15.1. General**
- 15.1.1. The provider shall establish retention times that satisfy the requirements of legislation, its accrediting body and its customers, as appropriate.
- 15.1.2. Records should be stored and subsequently disposed of in a manner appropriate to their sensitivity and/or protective marking (e.g. incinerated or shredded).
- 15.1.3. All sensitive information relating to the CJS is normally categorised under the Government Protective Marking scheme as PROTECT unless otherwise assessed.²⁴ If information is lawfully required under the disclosure rules, it must be provided irrespective of its categorisation.²⁵
- 15.2. Technical records (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 4.13.2)**
- 15.2.1. As a minimum, the technical records shall contain all relevant information relating to the following.
- a. The collection and movement of material (physical exhibits and information), including:
 - i. the date on which the material was taken or received;
 - ii. the date of subsequent movement of the material to another party;
 - iii. from whom or where and to whom or where the material was moved; and
 - iv. the means by which the material was received or passed from/to another party (see **5.8 Handling of test items**).
 - b. Sufficient relevant detail to be able to trace any analytical output to:

²⁴ Further details can be obtained from Her Majesty's Government's (HMG's) Security Policy Framework, 2011, Security Policy No.2: and Asset Control, available from: http://interim.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/media/207318/hmg_security_policy.pdf

²⁵ See ACPO/CPS *Guidance Booklet for Experts, Disclosure: Experts' Evidence, Case Management and Unused Material*, May 2010.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- i. a specific instrument;
 - ii. instrument configuration, e.g. software version or, if relevant, firmware;
 - iii. the operator; and
 - iv. the date of the analysis.
 - c. The examination of exhibits, and materials recovered from exhibits, whether made by the practitioner or an assistant.
 - d. Verbal and other communications, including reports and statements.
 - e. Meetings attended and telephone conversations, including points of agreement or disagreement, and agreed actions.
 - f. E-mails and other electronic transmissions (e.g. images) sent or received.
- 15.2.2. The records, in whatever form, shall be clear and comprehensive, and expressed in such a manner and in sufficient detail that another practitioner in the same field, and in the absence of the original practitioner, can follow the nature of the work undertaken, any interpretations/opinions made, and the inferences drawn from the work. This is particularly important in situations where an insufficient quantity of the exhibit remains for independent re-examination or testing, or the form of the exhibit is altered.
- 15.2.3. Whenever practicable, technical records shall be produced contemporaneously. The practitioner shall normally begin making records from the time instructions are received and shall continue making records throughout their involvement in the case, although, in some circumstances, it may be appropriate to start making records prior to any formal instructions from the customer.
- 15.2.4. When an examination, test result or observation is rejected, the reasons shall be recorded.
- 15.2.5. For the period of record retention, traceability should be maintained for all names, initials and/or identifiers, and for these to be legible.
- 15.2.6. It shall be possible to associate all changes to data with the person having made those changes (e.g. timed and dated electronic-signatures). Reasons for the changes shall be given.
- 15.2.7. The practitioner's examination records shall be paginated using a page numbering system, which indicates the total number of pages.²⁶ Each page of every document in the case record shall be traceable to the analyst or examiner responsible for the sampling and/or performance of each examination or test, to a uniquely identified case and exhibit. It shall be clear from the case record who has performed all stages of the analysis or examination and when each stage of the analysis or examination was performed. Alterations or comments in the records shall be clear and be signed, or otherwise be attributable to the individual who made them, and dated.

²⁶ Alternative arrangements for demonstrating that all pages are present and the sequence of these pages are possible, but must be agreed with UKAS®.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

15.3. Checking and review

- 15.3.1. The provider shall have documented policies and procedures and authorised staff for the review of case records, including reports and statements. The review shall establish from the case notes and discussion with the practitioner that the work carried out is:
- a. appropriate to the requirements of the case;
 - b. fully documented in the case notes, with appropriate checks on critical findings, calculations and data transfers;
 - c. in compliance with the provider's documented policies and procedures; and
 - d. consistent with the contents of the report or statement.
- 15.3.2. The provider shall have a procedure for carrying out checks on critical findings²⁷ and designated staff authorised to carry out such checks. Where independent checks on critical findings are carried out by authorised staff, the records shall indicate that each critical finding has been checked and agreed, and by whom and when the checks were performed. The procedure should include a process for resolving any non-conforming results or findings.
- 15.3.3. The provider shall ensure that checks of all calculations (including those embedded in spreadsheets) and critical data transfers that do not form part of a validated electronic process are carried out, preferably by a second person, and the case record shall indicate that such checks have been carried out and by whom and when. Where other programming approaches are used to effect data manipulation and transfer, a method to ensure that these are checked shall also be established.
- 15.3.4. The case record shall indicate that the review has been carried out, by whom and when.
- 15.3.5. The checks and reviews shall be recorded as entries against each finding or on a summary of findings or on a report, as appropriate. If the checker/reviewer disagrees on any point and the matter cannot be resolved, the reason(s) for the disagreement and any action taken as a result shall be recorded.

16. Internal audits (BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 ref. 4.14)

- 16.1. The annual audit programme shall cover all aspects of the management system, including but not be limited to:
- a. implementation of the management system;
 - b. records of individual files; and
 - c. information security.²⁸

²⁷ Critical findings are observations or results that: have a significant impact on the conclusion reached, the interpretation, or an opinion provided; cannot be repeated or checked in the absence of the exhibit or sample; and/or could be interpreted differently.

²⁸ This may not be required where the Management of Police Information (MoPI) applies and this is within the scope of the required MoPI audit.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- 16.2. A risk assessment-based approach is taken to determine the frequency of the audit schedule, but methods shall be audited at least once every four-year cycle.²⁹
- 16.3. Where the provider undertakes to make statements of opinions and interpretations, the audits shall include a review of the process by which these are made and of the competence requirements of the individuals authorised to make such statements.
- 16.4. Where examination and testing activities are delivered from a number of different operational sites, the internal audits shall cover all sites and all aspects of the management system.
- 16.5. When the results of the audit cast doubt on the effectiveness of examinations, or the correctness or validity of the provider's test results to the extent that misleading information may have been reported, the provider shall treat this as a non-conforming test.

17. Technical requirements (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.2)

17.1. Personnel

- 17.1.1. The provider shall carry out appropriate background verification checks (e.g. security checks) on all candidates for employment and contractors in accordance with relevant laws, regulations and ethics. These checks shall be proportional to the business requirements, the classification of the information to be accessed and the perceived risks.
- 17.1.2. The contracts for all staff, permanent and temporary, shall contain confidentiality agreements,³⁰ their own and the provider's responsibility for information security, and details of their expected conduct.

17.2. Code of Conduct

- 17.2.1. The provider shall have a Code of Conduct compatible with the Forensic Science Regulator's; staff should be made aware of it and how it relates to the objectives of the management system.

17.3. Training

- 17.3.1. The provider and/or individual members of staff, including contracted staff, shall maintain and keep readily available appropriate records of education, training, skills and experience in sufficient detail to provide evidence of proper training and formal assessment.³¹ These records shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a. academic and/or professional qualifications;

²⁹ The frequency of audits should take account of the size of the organisation, the complexity of the work being audited, the frequency of use of specific technical methods or procedures, and the potential consequences of noncompliance with the requirements of the Standard. The value of occasional unannounced audits should also be considered.

³⁰ The confidentiality agreements should cover the intellectual property of the provider and all information relating to casework, and shall not conflict with any disclosure requirements.

³¹ This may include records of Continuous Professional Development.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- b. internal/external courses attended;
 - c. relevant training/retraining received whilst employed by the provider;
 - d. any subsequent remedial action from any substantive complaints, errors or adverse judicial comments;
 - e. any substantive accolades, commendations, etc. pertinent to skills and experience;
 - f. the tasks for which the individual has been assessed as competent and authorised to carry out; and
 - g. the date(s) on which competence and authorisation were confirmed.
- 17.3.2. The training system shall be fully documented and the provider shall have a policy for retention for training manuals and training records in line with that of case files.

18. Competence

- 18.1. The competence of staff shall be routinely reassessed at intervals to ensure that it has been maintained and is up to date.
- 18.2. Policies and procedures for on-going competency should consider any adverse judicial comments and complaints that may undermine an individual's credibility.
- 18.3. The provider shall have policies and procedures for taking remedial action when competence is found to have lapsed.
- 18.4. The provider shall determine the appropriate competence framework for technical roles.³²

19. Accommodation and environmental conditions (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.3)

- 19.1. The laboratory facilities shall include, as appropriate:
- a. suitable laboratory accommodation and appliances (e.g. laboratory benches, safety cabinets, refrigerators, freezers) and space (per employee) to carry out the work to the required standard, safely, and without cross-contamination;
 - b. provision of appropriate environmental conditions (e.g. lighting, temperature, humidity, ventilation/air flow) required to facilitate correct performance of examinations or tests, and not adversely affect the required quality of any measurement or invalidate results;
 - c. proportionate protection against likely risks, such as arson, theft or interference with exhibits;
 - d. archive/storage facilities with adequate storage conditions to prevent loss, deterioration and contamination, and to maintain the integrity and identity of documents/records/exhibits both before, during and after examinations or tests have been performed; and

³² Recommended frameworks include the National Occupational Standards, such as produced by Skills for Justice[®] (a registered trade mark of the Justice Sector Skills Council).

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- e. facilities for the secure disposal of confidential waste and the safe disposal of hazardous materials.
- 19.2. The access and use of exhibit storage areas and server rooms should be controlled in addition to laboratory areas where work is carried out. The provider shall hold on record a list of all staff who are authorised to enter these areas. This shall be reviewed and updated regularly.
- 19.3. Delivery and loading areas, and other points where unauthorised persons may enter the building, shall be isolated from casework and information processing areas and access shall also be controlled. Unauthorised persons needing to enter controlled areas shall be escorted at all times by authorised staff and a record of these entries shall be maintained.
- 19.4. Contamination avoidance, monitoring and detection**
- 19.4.1. The provider shall have policies and procedures relevant to the nature of the casework for the prevention, monitoring and detection of contamination.
- 19.4.2. The steps in establishing new processes and procedures can include, but are not restricted to:
- a. conducting a hazard or risk-based analysis of the entire process (e.g. process mapping);
 - b. identifying points in the process where contamination events could occur (e.g. consumable selection, transfers, etc.);
 - c. establishing acceptable control limits at each point or stage of the process;
 - d. establishing monitoring requirements (e.g. frequency);
 - e. establishing preventative and corrective actions (e.g. when acceptable or control limits are found to be exceeded);
 - f. establishing effective methods for both routine and deep cleaning/decontamination of facilities and surfaces;
 - g. establishing requirements for record keeping; and
 - h. establishing procedures for verifying that the system remains fit for purpose.
- 19.4.3. The processes and procedures shall also include consideration of, but not be restricted to, the following:
- a. Limiting and recording access by internal and external visitors, taking into account any recent activities relevant to casework including, but not limited to:
 - i. crime scene attendance;
 - ii. prisoner handling; and
 - iii. firearm and drug handling.
 - b. Effective separation of incompatible activities to prevent cross-contamination. This includes, but is not limited to:

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- i. un-amplified and amplified DNA;
 - ii. high and low-level drugs work;
 - iii. examination of firearms and firearm discharge residues;
 - iv. examination of accelerant and fire scene debris; and
 - v. examination of exhibits from suspects, victims and scenes.
 - c. Use of disposable equipment e.g. gloves, face masks and mob caps.
 - d. Testing and record keeping of batches of consumables and reagents in all areas of the examination/analytical processes and, where appropriate, for contaminants that could interfere with the success or interpretation of the examination or test.
 - e. Good working practices, such as:
 - i. protecting exhibits/samples in wrapping/containers when not being worked on or used;
 - ii. not introducing contaminated spatulas/pipettes into stock bottles of solvent, standard or reagent;
 - iii. not pouring unused portions of solvent, standard or reagent back into bulk supplies;
 - iv. frequent changing of solvent used for rinsing equipment.
 - f. Good housekeeping practices.
 - g. Analysis of blank controls.
 - h. Environmental sampling/monitoring with particular reference to acceptable levels of relevant potential contaminants should be carried out to include equipment, work areas, consumables and clothing to ensure that any contamination of accommodation and/or equipment that does occur is recognised and controlled.
 - i. Methods for both routine and deep cleaning/decontamination including:
 - i. the nature of contaminants significant to the operation of the laboratory;
 - ii. work surfaces, walls, doors, flooring, ceiling, ducting, other fixtures and fittings and the likely vectors of contaminant transmission;
 - iii. the materials/chemicals appropriate for use in contamination control;
 - iv. appropriate training and competence of staff deployed in cleaning/decontamination processes; and
 - v. the governance and oversight by senior management.
- 19.4.4. The policies and procedures shall ensure access to laboratory areas is restricted to authorised individuals. Where appropriate these individuals may need to be covered by relevant elimination databases and any results found in casework screened against them as detailed in policies and procedures. These databases may be locally or remotely maintained.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

19.4.5. Policies and procedures for elimination databases of laboratory staff, internal/external visitors and equipment suppliers should include, but are not limited to:

- a. reporting policies;
- b. data formats;
- c. searching policies;
- d. validation of searching procedures;
- e. security and access;
- f. retention periods;
- g. sharing agreements (i.e. between laboratories/providers);
- h. agreements/consents; and
- i. release forms.

20. Test methods and method validation (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4)

20.1. Selection of methods (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.2)

- 20.1.1. The general requirement is that all technical methods and procedures used by a provider should be validated. This section details the principles of the requirement for validated methods, the next section, **20.2. Validation of methods**, details the required processes.
- 20.1.2. Providers with methods already within the schedule of accreditation will normally only be required to compile the validation library discussed in **20.2. Validation of methods** with existing, usually comparable, documentation of the validation study.³³
- 20.1.3. Even where a method is considered standard and is in widespread use, validation will still need to be demonstrated. The topic of verification of the validation of adopted methods is discussed below although many of the other validation steps are likely also to apply. If a method is being newly included in the provider's scope of accreditation and validation has not been conducted at the laboratory site where it is to be implemented, the provider will have to follow the adopted methods procedure, which ends in the production of a validation library and statement of completion as well as demonstrating the method works in their hands.
- 20.1.4. For novel techniques or non-routine activities the provider should have validated the method, product or service in accordance with the requirements of these Codes and/or should ensure that the status of the validation, product, method or service is clearly understood by the customer prior to commissioning any such work. If these activities are to become part of the routine activities of the provider, accreditation should always be sought.

³³ Subsequent releases of these Codes may extend the requirement to existing methods. However, updates in technology, reviews of existing methods and the need for continuous improvement are expected to prompt validation studies.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

20.2. Validation of methods (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.5)

- 20.2.1. Validation should be conducted prior to implementation of the method. This may be performed by the provider, manufacturer or another provider.
- 20.2.2. Where the validation has not been conducted at the laboratory site that will be using the method, the provider must still verify the scope of the validation with the required steps, scaled according to the adequacy and relevance of the available existing validation study. The provider's own competent staff shall demonstrate such adopted methods perform reliably at the given location following the validation process.
- 20.2.3. The validation policy or procedure shall set out roles and responsibilities of staff involved in conducting validation, authorisation of key stages and reviewing outcomes.
- 20.2.4. To ensure validation studies are conducted on the final method, there should a clear boundary between development and validation. This should include consideration of how to prevent inadvertent re-entering of the development process once validation has started.
- 20.2.5. The validation procedure shall include where relevant, but is not limited to:
 - a. determining the end-user's requirements and specification;
 - b. risk assessment of the method;
 - c. a review of the end-user's requirements and specification;
 - d. the acceptance criteria;
 - e. the validation plan;
 - f. the outcomes of the validation exercise;
 - g. assessment of acceptance criteria compliance;
 - h. validation report;
 - i. statement of validation completion; and
 - j. implementation plan.
- 20.2.6. In certain circumstances implemented methods will require revalidation, e.g. when:
 - a. quality control indicates that an established method, is changing with time;
 - b. equipment that was not validated to be mobile or portable is moved to a new location;
 - c. deficiencies have become apparent after the method has been implemented; or
 - d. the end-user identifies a change in requirement.

20.3. Determining the end-user's requirements and specification

- 20.3.1. The process of innovation ending in the implementation of a validated method is more likely to be instigated by the provider than the end-user. However to meet

Codes of Practice and Conduct

the needs of the CJS, which is the end-user, the range of end-user's requirements needs to be determined.

- 20.3.2. The amount of direct input from the CJS end-user should be determined by the provider, based on the type of innovation; certain requirements may be generic and form a set of core requirements to the casework type.
- 20.3.3. The end-user's requirement shall take account of, as appropriate:
 - a. who will operate or use the new method, product or service post-delivery, and in what environment;
 - b. what the new method or product is intended to deliver for the end-user;
 - c. what statutory and regulatory requirements related to development and use of the method or product apply;
 - d. whether there are any compatibility issues to be considered, e.g. data output formats;
 - e. what level of quality performance is expected; and
 - f. by what date the new method, product or service is required for implementation.
- 20.3.4. End-user requirements should conform to the following rules:
 - a. each requirement is a single statement;
 - b. each requirement is testable;
 - c. each requirement specifies something that the solution will do, not how it will do it;
 - d. each requirement specifies in its wording whether it is mandatory or desirable; and
 - e. each requirement is written in a language that can be understood by the non-technical stakeholders.
- 20.3.5. Where the method is part of a service to be provided to a specified customer, the provider shall also ensure their formal agreement.
- 20.3.6. The end-user's requirements shall then be written as a detailed specification for the method, product or service, and shall include the technical quality standards.

20.4. Risk assessment of the method

- 20.4.1. Once the method has been designed or determined, there shall be an assessment to identify any risks, or potential risks, to the CJS related to the use of the method or amendment to the method, including ad hoc methods. The process shall include, but not be limited to:
 - a. identifying, on the basis of the use to which the results may be put, the possible impact on the CJS of any errors in the results, associated materials or procedures; and

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- b. identifying areas where the operation of the method, or interpretation of the results, requires specialist skills or knowledge to prevent ambiguous or misleading outputs or outcomes.
- 20.4.2. Where the method relies on a scientific model or theory the risk assessment should address the following in a forensic science context:
- a. the validity of the theory/model;
 - b. any assumptions incorporated within the theory/model; and
 - c. limits on the application of the theory/model.
- 20.4.3. In light of the assessment there shall be recommendations for modification of the specification, specific studies to be included in the validation exercise or additional procedures and/or safeguards that should be implemented. Examples would include, but probably not be limited to:
- a. caveats about the use of the method;
 - b. circumstances in which the use of the method would be inadvisable; and
 - c. additional work that should be undertaken in combination with the method.
- 20.4.4. Where exhibits provided by end-users, or data derived from these, are required for the development work or validation, the provider shall obtain prior permission for their use and include their use in the risk assessment.
- 20.4.5. The risk assessment shall be subject to version control and should feed into the statement of validation completion.

20.5. Review of the end-user's requirements and specification

- 20.5.1. The provider shall review the end-user's requirement to ensure that it has been translated correctly into the specification and is fit for purpose. Where appropriate the intended end-user may be involved in this review process.
- 20.5.2. When a review identifies that there are risks, compatibility, legality or ethical issues, the provider shall produce a revised end-user's requirements and/or specification.
- 20.5.3. Any subsequent changes to the specification shall then be made formally and only following further review and acceptance of the impact of the changes by the intended end-user.
- 20.5.4. The provider shall ensure that all staff involved in the development and validation/verification of the method are informed of any agreed changes to the end-user's requirements or specification.

20.6. The acceptance criteria

- 20.6.1. The acceptance criteria should be clearly stated, based upon the specification, the risk analysis and any control strategies put in place to control identified risks.
- 20.6.2. The acceptance criteria shall be used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the method and control strategy within measurable and set tolerances.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

20.7. The validation plan

- 20.7.1. The validation shall be carried out according to a documented validation plan. The validation plan shall identify and define the functional and performance requirements, the relevant parameters and characteristics to be studied and the acceptance criteria for the results obtained to confirm that the specified requirements for the method, product or service have been met.
- 20.7.2. Where appropriate, the validation plan shall also include a requirement to check the relevant parameters and characteristics of the procedures for sampling, handling and transportation. The same level of confidence in the results obtained shall be required whether the method is to be used routinely or infrequently.
- 20.7.3. The validation shall be carried out using simulated casework material in the first instance and subsequently, where possible, permitted and appropriate, with actual casework material to confirm its robustness.³⁴
- 20.7.4. The validation plan will need to be tailored depending on whether it is intended for:
- validation of measurement-based methods;
 - validation of interpretive methods;
 - verification of the validation of adopted methods; and/or
 - verification of the impact of minor changes to methods.

20.8. Validation of measurement-based methods

- 20.8.1. The validation plan should ensure the required parameters and characteristics are studied:
- using an analyst or examiner competent in the field of work under study, who has sufficient knowledge of the work to be able to make appropriate decisions from the observations made as the study progresses; and
 - using equipment that is within specification, working correctly and, where appropriate, calibrated.
- 20.8.2. The functional and performance requirements, and the relevant parameters and characteristics for measurement-based methods that shall be considered include:
- the competence requirements of the analyst/user;
 - environmental constraints;
 - the exhibit/sample size;
 - the exhibit/sample handling;
 - exhibit/sample homogeneity;

³⁴ Legal advice may be required for the use of casework material where the exemption in relevant legislation 'for law enforcement purposes' may not apply, further guidance may be issued.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- f. the ability of the sampling process to provide a representative sample of the exhibit;
- g. the efficiency of recovery of the substance(s) to be identified/measured (i.e. analyte) during sample preparation for analysis;
- h. the presence or absence of the analyte(s) of interest in the sample analysed;
- i. the minimum quantity of each analyte that can be reliably detected;
- j. the minimum amount of each analyte that can be accurately quantified;
- k. the identification/measurement relates to the analyte(s) alone, and is not compromised by the presence of some matrix or substrate effect or interfering substance;
- l. the results are consistent, reliable, accurate, robust and with an uncertainty measurement;
- m. compatibility of results obtained by other analysts using different equipment and different methods; and
- n. the limitations of applicability.

20.9. Validation of interpretive methods³⁵

- 20.9.1. The functional and performance requirements for interpretive methods are less prescriptive than for measurement-based methods. They concentrate on the competence requirements for the staff involved and how the staff shall demonstrate that they can provide consistent, reproducible, valid and reliable results that are compatible with the results of other competent staff. This may be achieved by a combination of:
- a. independent confirmation of results/opinions by another competent examiner (i.e. without prior knowledge of the first result/opinion provided);
 - b. participating in inter-laboratory comparisons (collaborative exercises or proficiency tests);
 - c. external recognition with a recognised and relevant professional body; and
 - d. designing frequent in-house assessment into the process using positive and negative competence tests.
- 20.9.2. An interpretive method shall require only the relevant subset of the parameters and characteristics for measurement-based methods to be determined.

20.10. Verification of the validation of adopted methods

- 20.10.1. Where the validation has not been conducted at the laboratory site that will be using the method, the provider must still verify the scope of the validation with the required activity scaled according to the adequacy and relevance of the available existing validation study.

³⁵ Examples of interpretive methods may include the comparison of marks, handwriting or microscopic comparisons.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- 20.10.2. Verification is defined as confirmation, through the assessment of existing objective evidence or through experiment that a method, process or device is fit (or remains fit) for the specific purpose intended.
- 20.10.3. The amount of work required to be carried out in verification exercises when introducing methods developed and validated elsewhere, shall take account of the adequacy of the available existing validation data and the familiarity and experience of the provider's staff with the techniques, equipment and facilities involved.
- 20.10.4. The provider shall check its performance against the specification for the method it is required to produce rather than simply against existing published data, as the requirements may differ.
- 20.10.5. The assessment to identify any risks, or potential risks, to the CJS related to the use of the method or amendment to the method should not be overlooked.
- 20.10.6. The 'validation' report shall have as a minimum a summary of the experimental work/review, results, staff training/competence requirement and assessment plans. The required validation library and statement of validation completion shall be produced.

20.11. Minor changes in methods

- 20.11.1. Replacing like-for-like equipment³⁶ or minor changes to methods used by the provider may not always require a full revalidation exercise. The impact of the change shall be risk assessed, verified against the original validation and authorised in line with other validation studies.
- 20.11.2. A revalidation exercise should be carried out when changes are assessed to have the potential to influence the results obtained.

20.12. Validation outcomes

- 20.12.1. A summary of the outcome of the validation exercise shall be included in the validation report, which shall normally be retained for 30 years after the last use of the method. A full record of the validation exercise will normally be retained by the provider for a similar period, but as a minimum shall be maintained for the functional life of the method and shall include:
 - a. the authorised validation plan and any subsequent changes to the plan, with justifications and authorisations for the changes;
 - b. all experimental results from the validation exercise;
 - c. a detailed comparison of the experimental results with the specified requirements;
 - d. independent evaluation of the extent to which the results obtained conform or otherwise to the specified requirements;
 - e. any corrective actions identified; and

³⁶ Replacing the same make and model may still need some assessment as minor modifications, including software and firmware, might affect the operation.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- f. independent approval of the validation.³⁷

20.13. Assessment of acceptance criteria compliance

- 20.13.1. The independent evaluation of compliance of the experimental results with specified requirements shall be carried out by a person (or persons) not involved in the development of the method or conducting the validation process.
- 20.13.2. The person(s) shall have demonstrated they have sufficient knowledge of the issues involved to be able to identify and assess the significance of any deficiencies.³⁸
- 20.13.3. The independent authorisation shall typically establish whether:
 - a. the validation work is adequate and has fully demonstrated compliance of the method with the acceptance criteria for the agreed specification; and
 - b. the method is fit for its intended use.
- 20.13.4. Should the provider plan to implement methods rated as high risk and/or likely to attract challenge once implemented, the Forensic Science Regulator ought to be consulted as to the need for any wider review and/or publication prior to implementation.

20.14. Validation report³⁹

- 20.14.1. The provider shall produce a validation report in sufficient detail to allow independent assessment of the adequacy of the work carried out in demonstrating that the method, product or service conforms to the specification and is fit for purpose. It need not contain all the experimental data, but a summary of this data shall be provided and the raw data shall be available for inspection if required.
- 20.14.2. The content of the validation report shall depend on the type and extent of validation carried out, but as a general guide it should include, as applicable:
 - a. a title and unique identifier;
 - b. a description of the purpose of the method, product or service;
 - c. the specification;
 - d. the name, version number and manufacturer of any equipment used;
 - e. the name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) accountable for the development and validation processes;

³⁷ The same person may carry out both the independent evaluation and the independent authorisation, if competent to do so.

³⁸ The person(s) may be employed by the provider, contracted by the provider to carry out the evaluation, or be wholly independent of the provider. If employed by the provider, the evaluator/authoriser would need to be able to demonstrate the appropriate level of independence.

³⁹ Providers with methods already within the schedule of accreditation will normally only be required additionally to compile the validation library, which contains a validation report in its original format and specification.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- f. the validation plan;
 - g. risk assessment;
 - h. any authorised changes to the validation plan and justifications for the changes;
 - i. a summary of the experimental work and outcomes in sufficient detail to ensure that the tests could be independently replicated by a competent person;
 - j. details of any review reports produced;
 - k. conformity with the specification and acceptance criteria (expected compared with actual results and any pass/fail criteria);
 - l. any limitations/constraints applicable;
 - m. any related published papers and similar methods in use by the provider;
 - n. any recommendations relating to the implementation of the method, product or service; and
 - o. the date of the report.
- 20.14.3. The provider shall submit the validation report for review by persons suitably qualified and independent of the validation process; any issues arising should be dealt with expeditiously.
- 20.14.4. All the required records relating to the development and validation of the method, product or service shall be archived, together with the means of accessing the records, which will normally be kept for 30 years following its last use in casework.⁴⁰
- 20.15. A statement of validation completion**
- 20.14.1. The aim of this statement is to provide those making decisions on the use of the results a short executive summary of the validation steps performed, and key issues surrounding the validation. The intention is that the statement will be no more than two sides of A4 paper in plain language.
- 20.14.2. The approval by the provider on the scope of the validation must be clear.
- 20.14.3. The provider should provide any further information that would be useful to the CJS. Examples would include, but probably not be limited to:
- a. caveats about the use of the method;
 - b. the approved uses of the method, which could be by case type or exhibit type;
 - c. circumstances in which the use of the method would be inadvisable; and
 - d. additional work that should be undertaken in combination with the result.

⁴⁰ The blanket retention period is an alternative to tracking a method's use in casework and applying the correct retention period in accordance with the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA), as amended.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

20.15. Validation library

- 20.15.1. The provider shall have available a *library* of documents relevant to the authorisation of the new method through validation or verification. Where the following are not already distinct sections in the validation report, the content of this library shall include, but need not be limited to:
- a. the specification for the method approved;
 - b. any associated supporting material, such as academic papers or technical reports that were used to support or provide evidence on the applicability of the method;
 - c. the risk assessment for the method approved;
 - d. the validation plan for the method approved;
 - e. the validation report;
 - f. the record of approval; and
 - g. the statement of validation completion.
- 20.15.2. Where the method implements a scientific theory/model or an interpretation or evaluation model, the library should include a record of information supporting the use of the theory/model.
- 20.15.3. Where the method relies on reference collections or databases, the nature, access and their availability should be described.
- 20.15.4. The information in the library shall be disclosable⁴¹ and should be prepared with that requirement in mind.

20.16. Implementation plan and any constraints

- 20.16.1. The provider shall have a plan for implementation of methods, products or services new to the provider. This plan shall address, where relevant:
- a. if revisiting old cases should be explored, where the revised or new method offers new analytical opportunities and, if relevant, the benefits or risks communicated to the customer;
 - b. the standard operating procedure (including the process for assessment/interpretation/reporting of results) or instructions for use;
 - c. requirements for staff training, competence assessment and on-going monitoring of staff competence;
 - d. integration of the method with what is already in place;
 - e. if the method is intended to be included in the scope of accreditation and what steps are required;
 - f. the monitoring mechanisms to be used to demonstrate that the method remains under satisfactory control during its use;

⁴¹ Commercial-in-confidence does not override the disclosure requirements of the CPIA and may prevent methods, products or services being used.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- g. the protocols for calibration, monitoring and maintenance of any equipment;
- h. the supply and traceability of any standards/reference materials;
- i. the supply and quality control of key materials, consumables and reagents;
- j. the exhibit handling and any anti-contamination protocols;
- k. the accommodation plan;
- l. any special health and safety, environmental protection, data protection and information security arrangements;
- m. the communication plan; and
- n. the schedule for post-implementation review.

20.17. Estimation of uncertainty of measurement (5.4.6)

- 20.17.1. Guidance on the estimation of uncertainty of measurement is contained in Appendix N of the UKAS® M 3003 publication *The Expression of Uncertainty and Confidence in Measurement*.
- 20.17.2. When a procedure is modified, in addition to any validation or verification, providers should also review the uncertainty of measurement.

20.18. Control of data (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.4.7)

20.18.1. General

- 20.18.1.1. The provider shall have procedures within its management system to ensure that all necessary information is recorded accurately, maintained so that its authenticity and integrity is not compromised, and is retained and destroyed in accordance with the provider's retention and destruction policy.

20.18.2. Electronic information capture, storage, transfer, retrieval and disposal⁴²

- 20.18.2.1. The provider shall establish procedures for the capture and retrieval of electronic information appropriate for the process or method to ensure that all the necessary information is captured without change, and that any information lost as a result of the capture process is at an acceptable level.
- 20.18.2.2. Where scanning technology is used, the provider shall establish procedures and quality control for the scanning of documents in paper form, microforms and other forms of information, as appropriate, to ensure that any potential information loss as a result of the scanning is within acceptable limits.⁴³
- 20.18.2.3. Appropriate to the associated method or process, the procedure and policies should ensure that where key information is extracted from image

⁴² Further information and guidance can be found in BS 10008:2008, *Evidential weight and legal admissibility of electronic information – Specification*.

⁴³ Further information and guidance can be found in ISO 12653-1:2000, *Electronic imaging - Test target for the black-and-white scanning of office documents - Part 1: Characteristics*.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

files the original images are retained and linked with the captured information, including metadata.

- 20.18.2.4. Where information in the form of a compound document is stored (e.g. embedded files, hyperlinks), the linkage of all elements of the compound document shall be stored in line with the provider's retention policy along with their content.
- 20.18.2.5. Critical information should be accessible throughout its period of retention.
- 20.18.2.6. When information is migrated to alternative storage media, the provider shall establish procedures to ensure that all digital objects⁴⁴ have been successfully migrated and the digital object and file format of the migrated digital objects have not changed, or that the changes are known, have been audited, and meet requirements.
- 20.18.2.7. If replacement software (e.g. an operating system or application software) is implemented, the provider shall ensure that procedures are established to retain access to the relevant information.
- 20.18.2.8. Where information is compressed during the storage and transfer processes (e.g. in order to reduce stored file size), the compression method used shall not affect the authenticity and integrity.
- 20.18.2.9. Information shall be retained in audit trails, or using other appropriate processes, which record the disposal of information as specified by the retention and disposal policy.
- 20.18.3. Electronic information security**⁴⁵
 - 20.18.3.1. The provider shall establish and document a policy and procedure for the management of electronic information based on business and security requirements and include this in the schedule of regular audit and review.
 - 20.18.3.2. The policy and procedure should include a formal method of granting and removing access rights, privileges and password control.
 - 20.18.3.3. The policy and procedure should include:
 - a. the selection and use of passwords;
 - b. that unattended equipment has appropriate protection;
 - c. a clear desk and screen policy;
 - d. management of removable storage media; and
 - e. segregation of developmental and operational IT environments.

⁴⁴ A digital object is a discrete digital structure that contains meaningful data (e.g. a text file, call record or image), metadata (e.g. details of the data format, ownership or relationship to other data) and a unique identifier.

⁴⁵ Should it be required and relevant, more detailed good practice guidance can be obtained from BS ISO/IEC 27001:2005 *Information technology – Security techniques – Information security management systems – Requirements* and BS ISO/IEC 27002:2005, *Information technology – Security techniques – Code of practice for information security management*.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

20.18.3.4. The provider shall have procedures to protect or back-up electronic records, to prevent loss, corruption (actual or suspected) and unauthorised access to and/or amendment of the records, and for maintaining an audit trail. The back-up data shall be stored for as long as necessary to meet the requirements of the CJS at a separate and secure location. The back-up and restore/recovery procedures shall be tested at regular specified intervals to ensure that information can be retrieved in the event of an information loss. Details of all recovery operations shall be retained for as long as the information to which they relate.

20.18.4. Databases

20.18.4.1. Providers shall have a process for determining the requirements of the CJS for internally developed databases used to make inferences and interpretations, e.g. through reference to case law.

20.18.4.2. Providers shall maintain a list of all databases used to make inferences and interpretation; this includes, but is not limited to, those internally developed, commercially developed or remotely accessed.

20.18.4.3. Information included in all databases used to make inferences and interpretations shall be capable of authentication through documentation to its original source, meet a minimum quality standard specified by the owner of the database, be validated for accuracy of transcription on entry to the database, and be auditable for corruption.

20.18.4.4. Any programs or script for data manipulation employed within databases to make inferences and interpretations shall be validated, either separately or as part of the process or method they are used in as laid out in these Codes, e.g. with reference to the impact of any uncertainty of measurement and the risk of false positives/negatives.

20.18.4.5. All databases used to make inferences and interpretations shall be covered by formal documentation specifying, as a minimum:

- a. their purpose;
- b. their location and identification;
- c. their scope and content;
- d. the origin of the data;
- e. any known significant limitations or restrictions;
- f. the person responsible for management of the database;
- g. the authorisation and competence requirements of organisations/practitioners contributing to the database;
- h. the arrangements and format for data collection and submission;
- i. the process for authentication or validation of the data;
- j. the arrangements and format for data storage;

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- k. the process for making updates and amendments, and maintaining audit trails;
- l. the protocols for access to the database and its interrogation and use;
- m. the quality assurance requirements, including those for data integrity, transfer, inconsistency and error checking;
- n. the confidentiality and security requirements;
- o. the format and content of results and reports from interrogation of the database, including the provision of any caveats relating to any limitations with the results provided;
- p. the projected shelf life of the data;
- q. the arrangements for review of relevance, use and effectiveness; and
- r. all relevant legal, commercial and ethical requirements covering their registration, data content, retention, accessibility or use.

21. Equipment (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.5)

21.1. Computers and automated equipment

- 21.1.1. The provider shall ensure that any software used on computers or automated equipment is assessed for its impact on results and is documented in sufficient detail based on that assessment. This includes any software, developed, configured or modified by the provider or by other outside agencies working on the provider's equipment.
- 21.1.2. Commercial off-the-shelf software and software tools whose operation has an impact in obtaining results will require validation, or any existing validation to be verified, as laid out in **5.4.5 Validation of methods**.
- 21.1.3. User acceptance testing shall be performed prior to software and/or related equipment being placed in service, e.g. when returning from calibration/maintenance or following a move.
- 21.1.4. Other commercial off-the-shelf software (e.g. Microsoft® Word and Excel) that does not directly contribute to results obtained shall be considered suitably validated for general use. However, calculations embedded in spreadsheets that do not form part of a validated electronic process should be included in the required systematic checks.
- 21.1.5. The provider shall maintain records of software products installed on computer systems critical to the production of analytical results, and shall ensure configuration control so that only specified versions of software, settings and firmware, if applicable, are used.⁴⁶ The provider shall have documented procedures for configuration management to ensure that all changes to software/hardware are controlled, and that all individual software installations are

⁴⁶ Older versions of software may be needed for compatibility with work being undertaken related to older products, or to maintain the validated systems' configuration.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

known and are periodically checked that the correct version is installed and no unauthorised modifications have occurred, e.g. by service engineers.

- 21.1.6. The provider shall have a policy for all items of equipment containing sensitive data to ensure the data:
- a. are secure during any maintenance visit;
 - b. remain secure while off-site (e.g. for servicing); or
 - c. have been removed or securely overwritten prior to removal from site or disposal.

22. Measurement traceability (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6)

22.1. Reference standards and reference materials (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6.3)

22.1.1. Intermediate checks (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.6.3.3)

- 22.1.1.1. Reference standards/materials and reagents shall not be used beyond the expiry date, where provided, unless it is verified that they remain fit for purpose beyond that date.

23. Handling of test items (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.8)

23.1. Receipt of cases and exhibits at the laboratory

- 23.1.1. There should be a documented risk-based case acceptance procedure for the handling of recoverable irregularities or rejection of an exhibit for examination arising from, but not limited to:
- a. a missing exhibit label;
 - b. an unacceptably low level of agreement between the details on an exhibit label and those on the accompanying submission documentation;
 - c. inconsistency between the details on an exhibit label and/or accompanying submission documentation and what the exhibit actually is;
 - d. illegibility in the name, identification number or any other information on an exhibit label;
 - e. there being more than one label on an exhibit;
 - f. appropriate control samples not submitted;
 - g. repeat of the same identification details on different exhibit labels;
 - h. inadequate or untimely packaging or sealing of an exhibit that could prejudice its integrity;
 - i. previous handling, storage or evidence of tampering with an exhibit that could prejudice its integrity; and
 - j. insufficient material being available for meaningful examination or analysis.
- 23.1.2. If the provider is unable to accept the submission the reasons for rejection shall be recorded.
- 23.1.3. Any apparent evidence of tampering with an exhibit shall be investigated. If the outcome of the investigation indicates a deliberate attempt has been made to

Codes of Practice and Conduct

influence the results of the laboratory examination, the provider's top management should be informed to decide the appropriate escalation, which shall include notifying the Forensic Science Regulator.

- 23.1.4. The case acceptance procedure shall also specifically address the handling and receipt or rejection of potentially hazardous exhibits that might pose a risk to the health or safety of staff,⁴⁷ potentially compromise other work carried out at the laboratory,⁴⁸ or which may not be lawfully retained or handled if accepted by the laboratory.⁴⁹

23.2. Case assessment and prioritisation

- 23.2.1. Prior to commencing work the provider shall, in consultation with the customer, identify the issue(s) in the case, develop an appropriate examination strategy and agree the timescale for the delivery of the results. This may be in an overarching SLA/contract for more routine casework.
- 23.2.2. In developing the examination strategy,⁵⁰ as appropriate and as far as is practicable the practitioner shall:
- a. ensure the relevant requirements of the police investigation and/or the instructing solicitor and associated forensic strategy are understood;
 - b. ensure that either all the necessary information and exhibits required for an effective examination strategy are provided, or that any resultant limitations to the scope of the examination are discussed with the customer and made clear to the CJS;
 - c. establish all relevant details of the incident, what exhibits have been recovered for examination, the circumstances relating to the location and recovery of the exhibits, and any examinations of the exhibits or potential for contamination or loss of integrity of the exhibits prior to their coming into their possession; or
 - d. select and prioritise the examinations according to the needs of the investigation, the instructing solicitor, and finally the CJS, with consideration to the exhibits available.

23.3. Exhibit handling, protection and storage

- 23.3.1. The provider shall ensure that exhibit handling policies and procedures address continuity requirements including, but not limited to:
- a. the exhibit or sub-sample can, at all times when in the possession or control of the provider, be uniquely identified;

⁴⁷ For example, when handling hypodermic syringe needles or blood samples.

⁴⁸ For example, firearms, bulk drugs seizures or explosives, where the laboratory also carries out gunshot residue analysis or trace drugs or explosives analysis, unless separate reception arrangements and accommodation are provided for these.

⁴⁹ For example, cases involving human tissues, drugs, firearms or explosives, for which there may be specific health and safety legislation requirements or specific licensing required.

⁵⁰ For further guidance, see Skills for Justice CN702 *Determine the forensic examinations to be undertaken*.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- b. the exhibit can be conclusively shown to be the exhibit submitted to the provider;
 - c. any material recovered from or derived from an exhibit or sub-sample of an exhibit can be conclusively linked to the exhibit or sub-sample from which it came;
 - d. any results can be conclusively linked back to the exhibit or sub-sample from which it came, or the key equipment used;
 - e. the provider can show whether the exhibit was retained, returned to the organisation that submitted it, or destroyed; and
 - f. the measures to secure exhibits/derived material that have to be left unattended, to ensure that they cannot be tampered with or otherwise compromised.
- 23.3.2. The provider shall, as far as possible, preserve the exhibit, or part of the exhibit, in its original form to allow for independent re-examination or testing. If an insufficient quantity of the exhibit remains for independent re-examination or testing, or the form of the exhibit is altered, the provider shall ensure that details of the exhibit in its original form are recorded in sufficient detail for an independent examiner to be able to check that correct procedures and techniques have been used and that the results obtained are valid.

23.4. Exhibit return and disposal

- 23.4.1. The provider shall have an agreement with its customers for the return or disposal of exhibits, and evidential material recovered from exhibits, once the laboratory examination has been completed.
- 23.4.2. The nature of forensic science is such that providers will deal with material that is subject to legal control or prohibition on possession, production or use. Policies covering such exhibits should reflect any legal control or prohibition covering retention, the return to the organisation that submitted it, or destruction. Examples of such exhibits include, but are not limited to:
- a. human tissue;⁵¹
 - b. drugs;
 - c. firearms; and
 - d. indecent images of children.
- 23.4.3. If exhibits are to be returned to the customer, or provided for use in court, the provider shall ensure that the customer or court is made aware of any potential health or safety issues relating to the exhibit or its handling, and take appropriate steps to minimise the risk to the customer or court.
- 23.4.4. Biohazardous exhibits shall be destroyed by the provider in accordance with health and safety legislation, regulations and Home Office guidelines.⁵²

⁵¹ Where relevant in England and Wales and Northern Ireland, also see the Human Tissue Act 2004.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

24. Assuring the quality of test results (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.9)

24.1. Inter-laboratory comparisons (proficiency tests and collaborative exercises)

- 24.1.1. The provider shall investigate the availability and appropriateness of schemes for inter-laboratory comparisons that are relevant to their scope of accreditation.^{53,54,55}
- 24.1.2. The provider shall participate in appropriate schemes, in order to monitor the validity of its examinations or tests, and its performance, both against its own requirements and against the performance of peer providers.⁵⁶
- 24.1.3. When participating in inter-laboratory comparison schemes, the provider's own documented methods and procedures shall be used.
- 24.1.4. Unexpected performance in inter-laboratory comparisons shall be handled as non-conforming testing (**14. Control of non-conforming testing**).

25. Reporting the results (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10)

25.1. General

- 25.1.1. The provider shall detail lines of communication in a procedure that assigns roles and responsibilities to ensure the appropriate exchange of information and authorisations where relevant. This should cover communication of reports and evaluative statements with the police and prosecuting authorities, both nationally and locally, or with the instructing solicitor, as appropriate, within agreed timescales in accordance with the requirements and needs of each specific case and the known key dates in the criminal justice process.⁵⁷

⁵² See HOC 40/73: *Handling and disposal of blood samples in criminal cases (other than those brought under the Road Traffic Act 1972)* this recommends to Chief Police Officers that on completion of examination the sample should be retained at the laboratory and the defence notified that it will be destroyed after 21 days unless they request otherwise. However, if the sample is exhibited, it should not be destroyed without the permission of the committing court. HOC 41/73 provides similar recommendations to HOC 40/73[as above and bibliography], but to the courts. HOC 125/76 extends the arrangements of HOC 40/73 and 41/73 to the handling and disposal of saliva samples. HOC74/82: *Disposal of blood samples, saliva samples and swabs stained with body fluid: handling of exhibits*: extends the arrangements of HOCs 40/73, 41/73 and 125/76 to the disposal of swabs stained with body fluid. HOC25/87 extends the provisions of HOC 74/82 to cover the disposal of urine and any other body samples not previously covered.

⁵³ Laboratories may refer to the European Proficiency Testing Information System (EPTIS) (<http://www.eptis.bam.de/en/index.htm>) or the European Network of Forensic Science Institutes (ENFSI) websites (<http://www.enfsi.eu/>) for the availability of proficiency testing (PT) schemes.

⁵⁴ BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 requires laboratories to evaluate suppliers, this includes PT providers. ISO/IEC 17043:2010, Part 1 and ILAC G13:08/2007 contain recommendations and guidance on the requirements for the operation of PT schemes. These documents should be used as a basis for such an evaluation.

⁵⁵ UKAS® accredits PT providers to ISO/IEC 17043:2010; a list of accredited schemes/providers is available on www.ukas.com. UKAS® recommends the use of an accredited scheme where one exists.

⁵⁶ See TPS 47 *UKAS Policy on Participation in Proficiency Testing*.

⁵⁷ See Protocol for the Supply of Forensic Science Services to the Police and the Crown Prosecution Service, 2006, available at

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- 25.1.2. The provider shall provide early warning of any operational or scientific issues that could unavoidably affect the timeliness of service delivery to the customer.
- 25.1.3. The reporting scientist shall be appropriately competent and have sufficient involvement in the work carried out in the case. Under exceptional circumstances another reporting scientist may attend if required, as long as they have appropriate competence.
- 25.1.4. Full records shall be kept of work done and the results obtained in line with other retention policies, even if the customer does not require a detailed report or statement.⁵⁸

25.2. Reports and statements to the CJS⁵⁹ (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10.2/5.10.3)

- 25.2.1. Providers shall ensure that all staff who provide expert evidence have a sufficient level of experience, knowledge, standing in the peer group and, where appropriate, qualifications, relevant to the type of evidence being adduced, to give credibility to the reliability of the work undertaken and the conclusions drawn. They shall also ensure that they are able to explain their methodology and reasoning, both in writing and orally, concisely in a way that is comprehensible to a lay person and not misleading.
- 25.2.2. Providers shall ensure that all staff who provide evidence based on scientific methodology are additionally able to demonstrate, if required:
 - a. whether there is a body of specialised literature relating to the field;
 - b. that the principles, techniques and assumptions they have relied on are valid and, in England and Wales, that they have complied with part 33 of the Criminal Procedure Rules;
 - c. that any database they have relied on is sufficient in size and quality to justify the nature and breadth of inferences drawn from it, that the inferences are logically sound and that alternative hypotheses in the investigative mode and alternative propositions in the evaluative mode have been properly considered;
 - d. their methodology, assumptions and reasoning have been considered by other scientists and are regarded as sound, or where challenged, the concerns have been satisfactorily addressed; and
 - e. the impact that the uncertainty of measurement associated with the application of a given method could have on any conclusion.

<http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/assets/uploads/files/protocol%20for%20the%20supply%20of%20forensic%20science%20services.doc>

⁵⁸ Documentation of work underpinning reports and statements may be kept separate where it is traceable to the correct reports and statements.

⁵⁹ For England and Wales see also the Criminal Procedure Rules 2011, available at: <http://www.justice.gov.uk/guidance/courts-and-tribunals/courts/procedure-rules/criminal/rulesmenu.htm>.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- 25.2.2. Providers shall ensure that all staff who provide expert evidence based on their practical experience and/or their professional (non-scientific) knowledge are additionally able to provide:
- a. an explanation of their methodology and reasoning;
 - b. reference to a body of specialised literature relating to the field of expertise and the extent to which this supports or undermines their methodology and reasoning;
 - c. an assessment of the extent to which their methodology and reasoning are now accepted by their peers, together with details of any outstanding concerns; and
 - d. specific instances that support or undermine their claim to expertise or accepted professional practice and methodology resulting in demonstrably valid or misleading opinion, and an explanation of how these have a bearing on the matter(s) in issue.

25.2.3. Report types

- 25.2.3.1. Providers can be required to supply both expert advice to support the investigative process and expert evidence to support the judicial process.
- 25.2.3.2. This can involve the provision of:
- a. interim progress reports to support investigations, which are the initial forensic investigation report used for an assessment of the forensic exhibits that may help an enquiry, interview or strategy. This report is non-evidential but can be used for disclosure;
 - b. streamlined forensic reports introduced for certain evidence types for use in the case management process to establish the level of agreement between the defence and the prosecution. Further work, additional analysis, statements and/or reports are only then requested in areas where agreement was not achieved; or
 - c. full evaluative statements for use in court proceedings.

25.3. Retention, recording, revelation and prosecution disclosure

- 25.3.1. If a practitioner has carried out a test at the request of the police or prosecution, or if such a test has been carried out at their laboratory and is known to the practitioner, which casts doubt on the practitioner's opinion, they shall also reveal this to the police and prosecuting authorities.
- 25.3.2. The aim of disclosure is to ensure that there is a fair system for informing the defence of relevant unused material, which may assist the defence in timely preparation of its case. The term 'material' comprises the primary records contained on the case file, any pertinent materials recovered or generated during the testing or examination, and any secondary records such as batch records, standardisation and calibration records, audio and video tapes, computer records and survey information. 'Unused material' is that which is not identified within the expert's report(s) or statements(s).

Codes of Practice and Conduct

- 25.3.3. Providers must support the disclosure process and provide access to the defence. Further guidance is set out in the *ACPO/CPS Guidance Booklet for Experts, Disclosure: Experts' Evidence Case Management and Unused Material*.
- 25.3.4. All documents, exhibits and evidential material recovered from exhibits that are retained by providers shall be archived in secure storage, in conditions to prevent damage or deterioration, and indexed so as to facilitate orderly storage and retrieval.⁶⁰
- 25.3.5. Only personnel authorised by management shall have access to the archives. Movement of material in and out of the archives shall be properly recorded.

25.4. Defence examinations

- 25.4.1. The provider for the defence shall ensure that any tests or examinations they conduct, or are conducted on their behalf by someone other than the original provider, are carried out in accordance with the requirements set out in these Codes, and that they also comply with any conditions attached by the prosecutor to the release of the exhibits, or parts of exhibits, or evidential material recovered from them.
- 25.4.2. The provider appointed by the prosecution must have defined policies and procedures to facilitate access by defence examiners to carry out a review of the work already completed by the provider in the relevant case.
- 25.4.3. The policies and procedures shall be based on appropriate guidance.⁶¹
- 25.4.4. The policies and procedures must ensure the security and integrity of the exhibits and records requested for review, but must also ensure the confidentiality of other work in progress or previously undertaken by the provider to which access has not been granted.
- 25.4.5. A provider appointed by the defence seeking pre-trial access to any case material shall first obtain approval for access to these from the prosecutor (or coroner if the prosecuting authority is not involved at that stage).
- 25.4.6. The provider shall make available to the defence's provider only what has been deemed by the prosecutor or court to be relevant. Copies of such case file records, documents and supporting information, etc. that have been reasonably requested by the defence and been deemed relevant may then be provided in hard copy or secure electronic form⁶² and be taken into their possession for examination away from the provider's premises. The provider shall require that all such supporting material is returned or destroyed, as appropriate, once it has served the specific purpose for which it was provided. Supporting information

⁶⁰ The cost of archiving documents relating to the provider's testing and examinations is a business cost to be borne by the provider. Reimbursement of the costs for archiving exhibits and evidential material recovered from exhibits is a business matter to be agreed between the provider and the police.

⁶¹ Such as, in England and Wales, the *ACPO/CPS Guidance Booklet for Experts, Disclosure: Experts' Evidence, Case Management and Unused Material*, May 2010.

⁶² It would be reasonable to charge the defence for any use of facilities or equipment, or for the provision of copies of documents in hard copy or electronic form under the disclosure regime.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

may be covered by a confidentiality agreement, if appropriate, provided it does not interfere with the disclosure requirements.

- 25.4.7. The provider shall only release exhibits to the defence, or any part of them, or evidential material recovered from them, for examination or testing away from the provider's premises, on receipt of written instructions from the prosecutor. Where the examinations or testing might affect their condition, the provider shall ensure that the prosecutor is aware of this before they are released.
- 25.4.8. The provider shall ensure that all examinations and tests carried out on the provider's premises by the defence are adequately supervised, to ensure that they are carried out in accordance with the instructions given by the prosecutor and that nothing is altered, damaged or destroyed without the prior permission of the prosecutor.
- 25.4.9. The provider shall ensure that all exhibits (or parts of exhibits, or evidential material recovered from them) that are to be released to the defence are securely packaged and labelled. The provider shall also retain a signed record of the transfers for continuity purposes.
- 25.4.10. The provider shall check the integrity and continuity records of the returned exhibits, or parts of exhibits, or evidential material for compliance with any conditions of release. Any deficiency in these respects shall be communicated immediately to the prosecutor and the customer, e.g. the police.

25.5. Opinions and interpretations (ISO 17025:2005 ref. 5.10.5)

- 25.5.1. Providers working in the forensic field are often asked to offer an opinion or an interpretation, in order to assist in the understanding of the results they have produced as part of the analysis or examination of forensic exhibits.
- 25.5.2. Where a provider wishes to have this aspect included within their accredited scope, they will need to ensure that they are in compliance with the UKAS[®] publication LAB 13.⁶³

⁶³ See UKAS[®] LAB 13: *Guidance on the Application of ISO/IEC 17025 Dealing with Expressions of Opinions and Interpretations*.

26. Bibliography

Home Office Circulars⁶⁴

HOC 40/73: *Handling and disposal of blood samples in criminal cases (other than those brought under the Road Traffic Act 1972).*

HOC 41/73: *Handling and disposal of blood samples.*

HOC 125/76: *Handling and disposal of saliva samples.*

HOC 55/80: *Risk of infection from stained exhibits.*

HOC 74/82: *Disposal of blood samples, saliva samples and swabs stained with body fluid: handling of exhibits.*

HOC 25/87: I *Agreement for the use of the Police National Computer*
II *Disposal of body samples.*

Standards and related documents

BS 10008:2008, *Evidential weight and legal admissibility of electronic information – Specification.*

BS 25999-1:2006, *Business continuity management – Part 1: Code of practice.*

BS EN ISO/IEC 15189:2007, *Medical laboratories – Particular requirements for quality and competence.*

BS EN ISO/IEC 17020:2004, *General criteria for the operation of various types of bodies performing inspection.*

BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005, *General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.*

BS ISO/IEC 27001:2005, *Information technology – Security techniques – Information security management systems – Requirements.*

BS ISO/IEC 27002:2005, *Information technology – Security techniques – Code of practice for information security management.*

Clinical Pathology Accreditation (UK) Ltd, 2010, *Standards for the Medical Laboratory.*

Available from: <http://www.cpa-uk.co.uk/files/PD-LAB-Standards_v2.02_Nov_2010.pdf> [Accessed 13/12/11].

Good Manufacturing Practice, 2007, *The Rules and Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Distributors (The Orange Guide).* Available from:

<<http://www.mhra.gov.uk/Publications/Regulatoryguidance/Medicines/CON2030291>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

ILAC-G13:08/2007, *Guidelines for the Requirements for the Competence of Providers of Proficiency Testing Schemes.*

⁶⁴ Home Office circulars are available, or can be requested, from:
<<http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/about-us/corporate-publications-strategy/home-office-circulars/#>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

Codes of Practice and Conduct

ILAC-G19:2002, *Guidelines for Forensic Science Laboratories*.

ISO 12653-1:2000: *Electronic imaging - Test target for the black-and-white scanning of office documents - Part 1: Characteristics*.

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007: *International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*.

National Occupational Standards. Available from: <<http://www.skillsforjustice.com/>> [Accessed 07/12/11].

Statutory Instrument 1999 No. 3106: *The Good Laboratory Practice Regulations 1999*.

TPS 47 *UKAS Policy on Participation in Proficiency Testing Schemes Edition 1* - Issued May 2004.

UKAS[®] LAB 13: 2001: *Guidance on the Application of ISO/IEC 17025 Dealing with Expressions of Opinions and Interpretations*.

UKAS[®] LAB 39: 2004: *UKAS Guidance on the Implementation and Management of Flexible Scopes of Accreditation within Laboratories*.

UKAS[®] M 3003: 2007: *The Expression of Uncertainty and Confidence in Measurement*. Second Edition.

Other documents

ACPO (2010) *Guidance on the management of police information*, Second Edition.

Available from: <<http://www.acpo.police.uk/documents/information/2010/201004INFMOP101.pdf>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

ACPO/CPS (2010) *Guidance Booklet for Experts, Disclosure: Experts' Evidence, Case Management and Unused Material*. Available from:

<http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/d_to_g/disclosure_manual/annex_k_disclosure_manual/index.html> [Accessed 13/12/11].

Cabinet Office (2011) *HMG Security Policy Framework*, V 7. Available from:

< <http://www.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/resource-library/security-policy-framework>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

Criminal Procedure Rules (2011). Published by the Ministry of Justice on behalf of the Criminal Procedure Rule Committee. Available from:

<<http://www.justice.gov.uk/guidance/courts-and-tribunals/courts/procedure-rules/criminal/rulesmenu.htm>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

CPS (2006) *Protocol for the Supply of Forensic Science Services to the Police and the Crown Prosecution Service*. Available from:

<<http://www.cps.gov.uk/legal/assets/uploads/files/protocol%20for%20the%20supply%20of%20forensic%20science%20services.doc>> [Accessed 13/12/11].

ENFSI (2007) *Guidance for best practice sampling in forensic science*. Available from:

<www.enfsi.eu/get_doc.php?uid=181> [Accessed 13/12/11].

SWGDM (2004) *Revised Validation Guidelines, Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods (SWGDM)*, Forensic Science Communications, July 2004, vol. 6 (3).

Available from: <http://www.cstl.nist.gov/strbase/validation/SWGDAM_Validation.doc> [Accessed 13/12/11].

Codes of Practice and Conduct

26. Abbreviations

ACPO	Association of Chief Police Officers of England, Wales and Northern Ireland
BS	British Standard
CJS	Criminal Justice System
CPIA	Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996
CPS	Crown Prosecution Service
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
EDIT	Evidential Drug Identification Testing in police stations
EN	European Norm
ENFSI	European Network of Forensic Science Institutes
EPTIS	European Proficiency Testing Information System
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice Regulations 1999
GMP	Good manufacturing practice: Medicine and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, Inspection and Standards Division, Rules and Guidance for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Distributors, 2007, Section II: Guidance on Good Manufacturing Practice
HOC	Home Office Circular
IAF	International Accreditation Forum
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission: an organisation that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.
ILAC	International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation: a network of the national standards institutes of 157 countries
MoPI	Management of Police Information
NPIA	National Policing Improvement Agency
OIC	Officer In Charge
PT	Proficiency testing
SLA	Service Level Agreement
UKAS®	United Kingdom Accreditation Service: the sole national accreditation body recognised by the Government to assess UK organisations that provide certification, testing, inspection and calibration services.

27. Glossary

Accreditation

Third-party attestation related to a conformity assessment body conveying formal demonstration of its competence to carry out specific conformity assessment tasks.

Accuracy

The closeness of agreement between the mean of a set of results or an individual result and the value that is accepted as the true or correct value for the quantity measured.

Analyte

Substance to be identified or measured.

Audit

A systematic, independent and documented process for obtaining audit evidence and evaluating it objectively to determine the extent to which audit criteria are fulfilled.

Internal audit: sometimes called a first-party audit, conducted by, or on behalf of, the organisation itself for internal purposes.

External audit: includes what are generally termed a 'second-' or 'third-party' audit. Second-party audits are conducted by parties having an interest in the organisation, such as customers, or by other persons on their behalf. Third-party audits are conducted by external independent organisations. Such organisations provide certification or registration of conformity with requirements such as those of BS EN ISO 9001:2008.

Blank

A sample containing none of the analyte of interest, used in analysis for detecting the background level of the analyte in the matrix or contamination.

Calibration

The set of operations that establish, under specified conditions, the relationship between values indicated by a measuring instrument or measuring system, or values represented by a material measure, and the corresponding known values of a measurand.

Collaborative exercise

An inter-laboratory exercise to determine the performance characteristics of a method or procedure, to establish the effectiveness and comparability of new tests or measurement methods, or to assign values to reference materials and assess their suitability for use in specific test or measurement procedures. Collaborative exercises do not require known expected outcomes.

Competence

The skills, knowledge and understanding required to carry out a role, evidenced consistently over time through performance in the workplace.

Contamination

Codes of Practice and Conduct

The undesirable introduction of substances or trace materials.

Control sample

A matrix-matched standard used to determine the linearity and stability of a quantitative test or determination over time, prepared from a reference material (weighed or measured separately from the calibrators), purchased or obtained from a pool of previously analysed samples.

A **positive control** contains the analyte at a concentration above a specified limit.

A **negative control** contains the analyte at a concentration below a specified limit.

The term is used in the forensic science context to refer to a sample obtained from a known source against which material from an unknown source (recovered sample) is to be compared to consider the strength of the evidence in support of a common origin.

Critical findings

Typically observations or results that meet one or more of the following criteria:

- a. have a significant impact on the conclusion reached and the interpretation and opinion provided;
- b. cannot be repeated or checked in the absence of the exhibit or sample;
- c. could be interpreted differently.

Customer

Whether internal or external, it is the organisation or a person that receives a product or service (e.g. the consumer, end-user, retailer, beneficiary or purchaser).

Databases

Collections of information designed to provide information rather than for archive, which are stored systematically in hard copy or electronic format and are, e.g. used for:

- a. providing information on the possible origin of objects or substances found in casework; and/or
- b. providing statistical information.

End user

The end-user of forensic science is the Criminal Justice System, essentially the courts. A method or tool may not be directly used by the courts, but it is assumed the results will need to be.

Expert (witness)

An appropriately qualified and/or experienced person familiar with the testing, evaluation and interpretation of test or examination results and recognised by the court to provide live testimony to the court in the form of admissible hearsay evidence.

Firmware

A term sometimes used to denote the mainly fixed, usually rather small, programs that internally control various electronic devices (e.g. mobile phones, digital cameras, calculators, hard disks, keyboards, memory cards). There are no strict, or well defined,

Codes of Practice and Conduct

boundaries between firmware and software, but firmware is typically involved with very basic low-level operations in a device, without which the device would be completely non-functional.

Investigating body

A relevant law-enforcement body as defined in s63A(1A) and (1B) of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, as amended

Measurand

A physical quantity, property, or condition quantity that is being determined by measurement.

Method

A logical sequence of operations, described generically for analysis (e.g. for the identification and/or quantification of drugs or explosives, or the determination of a DNA profile) or for comparison of items to establish their origin or authenticity (e.g. fingerprint/shoemark/toolmark examination; microscopic identifications).

Nonconformity

The non-fulfilment of a requirement, either within the organisation's policies, procedures or in the specification of the customer.

Organisation

A group of people and facilities with an arrangement of responsibilities, authorities and relationships (e.g. a company, corporation, firm, enterprise, institution, charity, sole trader, association, or parts or combination thereof).

Practitioner

An individual providing a forensic science service at any level or stage in the criminal investigation and trial process.

Product

A product is a discrete manufactured item used in the application of a method (e.g. a sampling kit or a piece of software). Its contents and performance will have defined characteristics, normally provided as a product specification.

Proficiency tests

Tests to evaluate the competence of analysts and the quality performance of a laboratory.

Open or declared proficiency test: a test in which the analysts are aware that they are being tested.

Blind or undeclared proficiency test: a test in which the analysts are not aware that they are being tested.

External proficiency test: a test conducted by an agency independent of the analysts or laboratory being tested.

Precision

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Precision is synonymous with reproducibility or repeatability, whereas accuracy is about obtaining the true or correct value for the quantity measured. An incorrectly calibrated device may be capable of giving reproducibly precise readings even though data generated are not accurate.

Provider

The term is used to include all providers of forensic science, whether commercial, public sector or internal to the police service (e.g. scenes of crime, fingerprint bureau).

Quality

The totality of features and characteristics of a product or service that bear on its ability to satisfy stated or implied needs.

Quality manual

A document specifying the management system of an organisation.

Recovered sample

A term used in the forensic science context to refer to a sample obtained from an unknown source against which material from a known source (control sample) is to be compared to consider the strength of the evidence in support of a common origin.

Reference material

A quality control material or substance, traceable to its source, one or more of whose property values are sufficiently homogeneous and well established to be used for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method, the correct functioning of reagents, or for assigning values to materials.

Reference standard

A standard, generally of the highest quality available at a given location, from which measurements made at that location are derived.

Requirement

The need or expectation that is stated, generally implied or obligatory.

Risk

The probability that something might happen and its effect(s) on the achievement of objectives.

Robustness

The capacity of an analytical procedure to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate, variations in method parameters.

Ruggedness

The capacity of an analytical procedure to withstand small uncontrolled or unintentional changes in its operating conditions.

Sample

A representative portion of the whole material to be tested.

Codes of Practice and Conduct

Scene

A person, vehicle or location associated with an incident, on or at which may be found evidence to indicate what has happened, when and how, who was involved, and whether a criminal offence may have been committed.

Schedule of accreditation

A document issued by the national accreditation organisation specifying the examinations or tests the organisation has been accredited for, and for which it could issue certificates or reports bearing the testing mark.

Scope of accreditation

The range of examinations or tests for which the organisation has been accredited by the national accreditation organisation.

Selectivity (or specificity)

The ability of a method to determine accurately and specifically the analyte of interest in the presence of other components in a sample matrix under the stated conditions of the test.

Standard operating procedure

A written procedure that describes how to perform certain examination or test activities.

Subcontractor

A person or organisation contracted to do work for the provider within the subcontractor's own legal entity and under the subcontractor's own quality system.

Supplier

An organisation or person that provides a product (e.g. a producer, distributor, retailer or vendor of a product, or provider of a service or information).

Uncertainty of measurement

The estimation of the uncertainty of measurement is a BS EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 requirement and is based upon the principle that all measurements are subject to uncertainty and that a value is incomplete without a statement of accuracy. Sources of uncertainty can include unrepresentative samples, rounding errors, approximations and inadequate knowledge of the effect of external factors.

Validation

The process of providing objective evidence that a method, process or device is fit for the specific purpose intended.

Verification

Confirmation, through the assessment of existing objective evidence or through experiment that a method, process or device is fit (or remains fit) for the specific purpose intended.

Published by:

The Forensic Science Regulator
5 St Philip's Place
Colmore Row
Birmingham
B3 2PW

<http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/>

ISBN: 978-1-84987-624-7